Tutorial on World-Level Model Checking

Armin Biere

Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenbergerstr. 69, 4040 Linz, Austria

armin.biere@jku.at

Abstract-In SMT bit-vectors and thus word-level reasoning is common and widely used in industry. However, it took until 2019 that the hardware model checking competition started to use word-level benchmarks. Reasoning on the word-level opens up many possibilities for simplification and more powerful reasoning. In SMT we do see advantages due to operating on the wordlevel, even though, ultimately, bit-blasting and thus transforming the word-level problem into SAT is still the dominant and most important technique. For word-level model checking the situation is different. As the hardware model checking competition in 2019 has shown bit-level solvers are far superior (after bit-blasting the model through an SMT solver though). On the other hand wordlevel model checking shines for problems with memory modeled with arrays. In this tutorial we revisit the problem of word level model checking, also from a theoretical perspective, give an overview on classical and more recent approaches for word-level model checking and then discuss challenges and future work. The tutorial covered material from the following papers.

REFERENCES

- Z. S. Andraus, M. H. Liffiton, and K. A. Sakallah, "Refinement strategies for verification methods based on datapath abstraction," in *Proc. ASP-DAC'06*. IEEE, 2006, pp. 19–24.
- [2] —, "Reveal: A formal verification tool for Verilog designs," in Proc. LPAR'08, ser. LNCS, vol. 5330. Springer, 2008, pp. 343–352.
- [3] C. Barrett, P. Fontaine, and C. Tinelli, "The Satisfiability Modulo Theories Library (SMT-LIB)," www.SMT-LIB.org, 2016.
- [4] A. Biere, "The AIGER And-Inverter Graph (AIG) format version 20071012," FMV Reports Series, JKU Linz, Tech. Rep., 2007.
- [5] A. Biere, K. Heljanko, and S. Wieringa, "AIGER 1.9 and beyond," FMV Reports Series, JKU Linz, Tech. Rep., 2011.
- [6] A. Biere and M. Preiner, "Hardware model checking competition 2019," http://fmv.jku.at/hwmcc19.
- [7] A. Biere, T. van Dijk, and K. Heljanko, "Hardware model checking competition 2017," in *Proc. FMCAD'17*. IEEE, 2017, p. 9.
- [8] P. Bjesse, "A practical approach to word level model checking of industrial netlists," in *Proc. CAV'08*, ser. LNCS, vol. 5123. Springer, 2008, pp. 446–458.
- [9] —, "Word-level sequential memory abstraction for model checking," in *Proc. FMCAD'08*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 1–9.
- [10] —, "Word level bitwidth reduction for unbounded hardware model checking," *Formal Methods Syst. Des.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 56–72, 2009.
- [11] R. Brummayer, A. Biere, and F. Lonsing, "BTOR: Bit-precise modelling of word-level problems for model checking," in *Proc. SMT'08*. ACM, 2008, pp. 33–38.
- [12] G. Cabodi, C. Loiacono, M. Palena, P. Pasini, D. Patti, S. Quer, D. Vendraminetto, A. Biere, and K. Heljanko, "Hardware model checking competition 2014: An analysis and comparison of solvers and benchmarks," *JSAT*, vol. 9, pp. 135–172, 2014 (published 2016).
- [13] R. Cavada, A. Cimatti, M. Dorigatti, A. Griggio, A. Mariotti, A. Micheli, S. Mover, M. Roveri, and S. Tonetta, "The nuXmv symbolic model checker," in *Proc. CAV'14*, ser. LNCS, vol. 8559. Springer, 2014, pp. 334–342.
- [14] L. De Moura, S. Owre, and N. Shankar, "The SAL language manual," Computer Science Laboratory, SRI Intl., Tech. Rep. CSL-01-01, 2003.
- [15] S. M. German, "A theory of abstraction for arrays," in *Proc. FMCAD'11*. FMCAD Inc., 2011, pp. 176–185.
- [16] A. Goel and K. A. Sakallah, "Empirical evaluation of IC3-based model checking techniques on verilog RTL designs," in *Proc. DATE*'19. IEEE, 2019, pp. 618–621.

- [17] —, "Model checking of Verilog RTL using IC3 with syntax-guided abstraction," in *Proc. NFM*'19, ser. LNCS, vol. 11460. Springer, 2019, pp. 166–185.
- [18] —, "AVR: abstractly verifying reachability," in *Proc. TACAS'20*, ser. LNCS, vol. 12078. Springer, 2020, pp. 413–422.
- [19] Y. Ho, A. Mishchenko, and R. K. Brayton, "Property directed reachability with word-level abstraction," in *Proc. FMCAD'17*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 132–139.
- [20] K. Hoder, N. Bjørner, and L. M. de Moura, "µZ- an efficient engine for fixed points with constraints," in *Proc. CAV'11*, ser. LNCS, vol. 6806. Springer, 2011, pp. 457–462.
- [21] A. Irfan, A. Cimatti, A. Griggio, M. Roveri, and R. Sebastiani, "Verilog2SMV: A tool for word-level verification," in *Proc. DATE'16*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1156–1159.
- [22] H. Jain, D. Kroening, N. Sharygina, and E. M. Clarke, "Word-level predicate-abstraction and refinement techniques for verifying RTL Verilog," *IEEE TCAD*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 366–379, 2008.
- [23] T. Jussila and A. Biere, "Compressing BMC encodings with QBF," ENTCS, vol. 174, no. 3, pp. 45–56, 2007.
- [24] A. Kölbl, R. Jacoby, H. Jain, and C. Pixley, "Solver technology for system-level to RTL equivalence checking," in *Proc. DATE'09*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 196–201.
- [25] G. Kovásznai, A. Fröhlich, and A. Biere, "Complexity of fixed-size bitvector logics," *Theory Comp. Sys.*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 323–376, 2016.
- [26] G. Kovásznai, H. Veith, A. Fröhlich, and A. Biere, "On the complexity of symbolic verification and decision problems in bit-vector logic," in *MFCS'14*, ser. LNCS, vol. 8635. Springer, 2014, pp. 481–492.
- [27] D. Kroening, "Computing over-approximations with bounded model checking," *ENTCS*, vol. 144, no. 1, pp. 79–92, 2006.
- [28] D. Kroening and S. A. Seshia, "Formal verification at higher levels of abstraction," in *Proc. ICCAD*'07. IEEE Comp. Soc., 2007, pp. 572–578.
- [29] S. Lee and K. A. Sakallah, "Unbounded scalable verification based on approximate property-directed reachability and datapath abstraction," in *Proc. CAV'14*, ser. LNCS, vol. 8559. Springer, 2014, pp. 849–865.
- [30] J. Long, S. Ray, B. Sterin, A. Mishchenko, and R. K. Brayton, "Enhancing ABC for stabilization verification of SystemVerilog/VHDL models," in *Proc. DIFTS'11*, ser. CEUR Work. Proc., vol. 832, 2011.
- [31] P. Manolios, S. K. Srinivasan, and D. Vroon, "Automatic memory reductions for RTL model verification," in *Proc. ICCAD'06*. ACM, 2006, pp. 786–793.
- [32] R. Mukherjee, P. Schrammel, D. Kroening, and T. Melham, "Unbounded safety verification for hardware using software analyzers," in *Proc. DATE'16*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1152–1155.
- [33] R. Mukherjee, M. Tautschnig, and D. Kroening, "v2c A Verilog to C translator," in *Proc. TACAS'16*, ser. LNCS, vol. 9636. Springer, 2016, pp. 580–586.
- [34] A. Niemetz, M. Preiner, C. Wolf, and A. Biere, "Btor2, BtorMC and Boolector 3.0," in *Proc. CAV'18*, ser. LNCS, vol. 10981. Springer, 2018, pp. 587–595.
- [35] M. Sagiv, "Harnessing SMT solvers for verifying low level programs," 2020, invited talk, SMT'20.
- [36] N. Szabo, "Formalizing and securing relationships on public networks," *First Monday*, 1997.
- [37] T. Welp and A. Kuehlmann, "QF BV model checking with property directed reachability," in *Proc. DATE*'13, 2013, pp. 791–796.
- [38] —, "Property directed invariant refinement for program verification," in *Proc. DATE'14*. Europ. Design and Automation Ass., 2014, pp. 1–6.
- [39] —, "Property directed reachability for QF_BV with mixed type atomic reasoning units," in *Proc. ASP-DAC'14*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 738–743.
- [40] C. Wolf, "Yosys," https://github.com/YosysHQ/yosys.

