

# **IVS Training School**



# **L11: How do we model observations - Signal propagation**

Johannes Böhm March 25, 2022





Ionosphere:

Number of free electrons and ions is large enough to affect propagation of electromagnetic waves



#### **Ionosphere**

- Dispersive medium
	- Propagation velocity of an electromagnetic wave is dependent on its frequency
	- Velocity of a sinusoidal wave and a wave group are different
		- **Prophase vs. group velocity**

$$
\nu_{ph}=\frac{c}{n_{ph}}\qquad \nu_{gr}=\frac{c}{n_{gr}}
$$

• Group refractive index

$$
n_{gr}^{ion}=1+C_2\frac{N_e}{f^2}=1+40.31\frac{N_e}{f^2}
$$



#### **Ionosphere**

• Total Electron Content (TEC) represents the total amount of free electrons in a cylinder with a cross section on  $1 \text{ m}^2$  and a height equal to the slant signal path

$$
STEC=\int N_e(s)ds
$$

Measured in TEC Units (TECU): 1 TECU is equivalent to  $10^{16}$  electrons/m<sup>2</sup>

- 1 TECU corresponds to
	- 7.6 cm at S-band (2.3 GHz)
	- 0.6 cm at X-band (8.4 GHz)





#### **Ionosphere and X/S VLBI**



$$
\tau_{gx} = \tau_{if} + \frac{\alpha}{f_{gx}^2} \qquad \tau_{gs} = \tau_{if} + \frac{\alpha}{f_{gs}^2}
$$

$$
\alpha = \frac{40.31}{c} \left( \int N_e ds_1 - \int N_e ds_2 \right) = \frac{40.31}{c} \left( STEC_1 - STEC_2 \right)
$$



#### **Ionosphere and X/S VLBI**

• Ionosphere-free group delay based on effective frequencies

$$
\tau_{if} = \frac{f_{gx}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} \tau_{gx} - \frac{f_{gs}^2}{f_{gx}^2 - f_{gs}^2} \tau_{gs}
$$



- Vertical TEC estimation from VLBI
	- only possible with appropriate use of constraints





- Phases are connected across the whole band
- Differential ionosphere delays are estimated together with the group delays in the fringe-fitting process





# **Neutral atmosphere**

- "Troposphere delays"
	- strictly speaking delays in neutral atmosphere up to 100 km
	- Refractivity as function of pressure, temperature and humidity

 $N = (n-1) \cdot 10^6$ 

– Separation into hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic ("wet") refractivity





# **Definition of tropospheric delay**

• Electric path length L is minimized

$$
L=\int_S n(s)\,\mathrm{d} s
$$



• Tropospheric delays: 
$$
\Delta L = L - G = \int_S n(s) \mathrm{d}s - G = \Delta L_h + \Delta L_w + S - G
$$

• Bending effect S – G about 2 dm at 5 degrees elevation (part of hydrostatic mf)



• Refractivity from radiosonde data





• Equation by Saastamoinen (1972)

$$
\Delta L_h^z = 0.0022768 \frac{p_0}{f\left(\theta, h_0\right)} \qquad \approx \textbf{2.3 m (8 nsec) at sea level}
$$

- We need the pressure at the site to determine the hydrostatic zenith delay very accurately
	- local recordings at the site (preferable if available)
	- gridded values from numerical weather models
	- empirical (blind) models like GPT2 etc
		- Caveat: do not use atmosphere loading corrections!



• Mapping functions for a priori hydrostatic delay and estimating zenith wet delays

 $\Delta L(e) = \Delta L_b^z \cdot m f_h(e) + \Delta L_w^z \cdot m f_w(e)$ 

• Zenith wet delays estimated every 20 to 60 minutes





- Correlation between height, clocks and zenith delays
- Partials are  $sin(e)$ , 1, and mf(e)
	- Mapping function mf(e) not perfectly known, in particular at low elevations
	- Low elevations necessary to de-correlate heights, clocks, and zenith delays
- Mapping function errors via correlations also in station heights (and clocks)
- Trade-off  $\rightarrow$  about 5 degrees cut off elevation angle
	- sometimes with down-weighting



• The station height error is about 1/5 of the delay error at 5 degrees elevation



• The decrease of the zenith delay is about half of the station height increase



• The station height error is about 1/5 of the delay error at 5 degrees elevation



• The decrease of the zenith delay is about half of the station height increase



• Continued fraction form (Herring, 1992)



- **Example Vienna Mapping Functions** 
	- Empirical functions for b and c coefficients
	- Coefficients 'a' by ray-tracing and inversion using 6h data of the ECMWF
	- Available for all IVS sites and on global grid



• Global Mapping Functions GMF (GPT2, ..) is an averaged VMF

VMF1 versus GMF at Fortaleza (Brazil) at 5 deg. elevation





- http://vmf.geo.tuwien.ac.at/
- Vienna Mapping Functions coefficients (from analysis and forecast data)
	- including zenith hydrostatic (and wet) zenith delay
- Empirical mapping functions, e.g. GPT3

- ftp://ftp.gfz-potsdam.de/pub/GNSS/products/gfz-vmf1
- Potsdam Mapping Factors @ GFZ Data Server
- UNB-VMF1



• ...

• Describe asymmetric delays

$$
\Delta L(a, e) = \Delta L_0(e) + m f_g(e) (G_n \cos(a) + G_e \sin(a))
$$

$$
m f_g(e) = \frac{1}{\sin(e) \tan(e) + C},
$$

- Typical gradient: 1 mm (corresponds to 10 cm at 5 deg. elevation)
- Estimated, e.g., every 3 hours
- Caused by weather fronts, coastal situations, atmospheric bulge, ..



#### **Climate studies**

• Zenith wet delays at Wettzell (Landskron, 2018)





# **Questions?**



#### **Atmospheric turbulence**

- Random fluctuations in refractivity distribution
- Structure function as modified by Treuhaft and Lanyi (1987)

Halsig, 2018

$$
D_n(\mathbf{R}) = \left\langle [n(\mathbf{r}) - n(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R})]^2 \right\rangle = C_n^2 \frac{\|\mathbf{R}\|^{2/3}}{1 + \left[\frac{\|\mathbf{R}\|}{L}\right]^{2/3}}
$$

- $\Gamma$  C<sub>n</sub><sup>2</sup> refractive index structure constant
- **L** saturation length scale



#### **Atmospheric turbulence**

- Close observations in space and time are correlated
- Frozen flow theory for equivalence of correlation in space and time



Halsig, 2018



### **Atmospheric turbulence**

- Correlations can be used in
	- analysis (a priori correlation)
	- simulations (e.g. VGOS)





#### **Atmosphere**



