Nataša Pelja-Tabori

CODELESS SARAJEVO

Effects of the absence of a building code on building permit procedure in Sarajevo Canton



Nataša Pelja-Tabori CODELESS SARAJEVO

CODELESS SARAJEVO

Effects of the absence of a building code on building permit procedure in Sarajevo Canton



Cite as:

Pelja-Tabori, N. (2024). Codeless Sarajevo: Effects of the absence of a building code on building permit procedure in Sarajevo Canton. TU Wien Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.34727/2024/isbn.978-3-85448-057-0

TU Wien Academic Press 2024

c/o TU Wien Bibliothek TU Wien Resselgasse 4, 1040 Wien academicpress@tuwien.ac.at www.tuwien.at/academicpress



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-SA 4.0). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

ISBN (print): 978-3-85448-056-3 ISBN (online): 978-3-85448-057-0

Available online: https://doi.org/10.34727/2024/isbn.978-3-85448-057-0

Media proprietor: TU Wien, Karlsplatz 13, 1040 Wien Publisher: TU Wien Academic Press Author (responsible for the content): Nataša Pelja-Tabori Production: Facultas Verlags- und Buchhandels AG

This publication is a revision of the dissertation *Justification of Reintroducing the Building Code for Sarajevo Canton*, defended by Nataša Pelja-Tabori at TU Wien on July 21, 2021. This dissertation was published in the repository of TU Wien: https://doi.org/10.34726/hss.2021.70954.

Preface

In the very last years of the previous century, as an Erasmus student from Israel, I read about the Paris building code in the library of the National School of Architecture of Paris-la-Villette.

A couple of years after this event, as an architect working in the Institute for Canton Planning in Sarajevo, I started thinking again about the Paris building code because I discovered that the Sarajevo spatial planning system has functioned without a building code for almost a century. That is how this research began. Few urban planners and professionals in Sarajevo have written about the spatial planning system in former Yugoslavia and Bosnia-Herzegovina, but none focused on the building code with the aim to reintroduce it.

I thought that it may be useful to make an analysis of the spatial planning and coding system in Sarajevo in a chronological order, comparing it to the relevant continental European examples to objectively determine whether it would be advisable to introduce a building code to Sarajevo spatial planning legislation.

This research will be the first in the history of spatial planning in Sarajevo in the English language, which will provide a systematic and holistic analysis of various political contexts of the local spatial planning and coding system. It aims to arrive at the recommendations and the model for the next building order for the Sarajevo Canton, appropriated for the wider continental European context it appertains to.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Professor Dr. Thomas Dillinger, my research supervisor, for his patient guidance, enthusiastic encouragement, and useful critiques of this research work, together with Professor Dr. Arthur Kanonier.

I would like to thank my friend and guiding star Professor Dr. Jasmina Osmanković, without whom I would not have the courage to start this adventure.

I thank my brother for his kindness and support.

I would also like to thank the management and my colleagues at the Institute for Canton Planning, for supporting my research work from the start.

I thank my husband and children for their support and patience throughout my study.

Finally, I am deeply thankful to have had the opportunity to learn from my teachers and professors in Sarajevo, Jerusalem, Paris, and Rome, who prepared me for life and professional challenges and engraved scientific curiosity and doubtfulness forever in my worldview.

The analysis of the Swiss case study is based on the researcher's short-term scientific study in Switzerland at the host Institut für Raumentwicklung at Rapperswil (HSR) and visits to the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich (ETH Zurich), Spatial Planning Department and Spatial Development and Urban Policy Department during November 2019. The sources were gathered from the respective libraries of these universities. The interviews were conducted with professors at the Spatial Planning Departments of the mentioned institutions, Professor Emeritus Thomas Matta, Prof. Dr. Joachim Schöffel, Professor Dr. Gunnar Heipp from HSR, Professor Dr. David Kaufman and Dr. Ana Perić Momčilović from ETH in November 2019.

The analysis of the Austrian case study is based on the PhD research study at the Vienna University of Technology from October 2018 until October 2019. The sources for this method were found in the library and courses attended at TU Wien with late Professor Emeritus Erhard Busek and Professor Dr. Andreas Faludi. The interviews were conducted with Ms. Andrea Wallner, Coordinator of CBC programmers at ÖROK, DI Walther Stöckl, CBC, and transnational planning on behalf of the City of Vienna until 2013, currently retired, my supervisor Professor Dr. Thomas Dillinger, Professor Dr. Arthur Kanonier, and kindness of my friend Aleksandar Dimitrić, TU Wien student, and architect in Vienna.

List of Contents

P	reface		V
Α	cknow	/ledgements	vii
Li	ist of A	Abbreviations	. xi
Li	ist of F	Figures	χv
Li	ist of 1	Tables	xix
P	ART I:	Introduction	1
1	Basic	Facts on the Research	3
	1.1	Introductory Notes about the Topic	3
	1.2	Past Review Studies about the Research Subject	4
	1.3	Research Subject, Goals and Assignments, and Research Hypothetical Framework	6
	1.4	Research Definitions	7
	1.5	Research Methods	9
	1.6	Scientific Justification and Expected Results	10
P	ART II:	: Historical Background	13
2	Build	ing Code History	15
	2.1	Relevant Continental European Building Codes for Sarajevo	16
	2.2	Sarajevo Building Codes	25
	2.3	Absence of a Building Code in Sarajevo after the Second World War $\! \!$	43
	2.4	Attempts for Standardization	62
	2.5	Summary	65
3		ing Permit Procedure in Contemporary Planning and Coding	69
	3.1	Swiss Confederation Planning and Coding System	70
	3.2	Republic of Austria Planning and Coding System	79
	3.3	Republic of Slovenia1	
	3.4	French Republic–Form-Based Coding or Morphological Zoning Coding Theory1	
	3.5	Sarajevo Canton Planning System in the BiH Legislative Framework .1	111
	3.6	Summary1	48
P	ART III	l: Toward the New Building Code1	51
4	Evalu	ation of the Building Permit Procedure in the Sarajevo Canton1	53
	4.1	Outcomes of the Comparative-Historical Method 1	53

4.2	Outcomes of the Empirical–Analytical Methodological Research	163
4.3	Outcomes of the Delphi Methodology	213
4.4	Summary	221
Propo	osed Model for Introducing the Building Code Document	225
5.1	,	
5.2	Scenario "A"	225
5.3	Scenario "B" – The Ideal Scenario	229
5.4	Elements of the Future Sarajevo Canton Building Code	238
5.5	Summary	250
ART IV	/: Conclusions	253
Conc	lusions and Research Recommendations	255
6.1	Conclusions and Research Recommendations	255
6.2	Research Contributions	257
6.3	Building Code Model Limitations and Future Research	258
eferen	ce list	261
	4.3 4.4 Propo 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 ART IV Conc 6.1 6.2 6.3	4.3 Outcomes of the Delphi Methodology 4.4 Summary Proposed Model for Introducing the Building Code Document 5.1 Potential Scenarios for Introducing the New Sarajevo Canton Building Code 5.2 Scenario "A" 5.3 Scenario "B" – The Ideal Scenario 5.4 Elements of the Future Sarajevo Canton Building Code 5.5 Summary ART IV: Conclusions 6.1 Conclusions and Research Recommendations 6.2 Research Contributions

List of Abbreviations

Abs Paragraph from Subregional Development Programs (Teilregionale

Entwicklungsprogramme)

ABV Building code/Ordinance (Allgemeine Bauverordnung)

ARE Swiss Federal Office for Spatial Development

BD Brčko District

BiH Bosnia-Herzegovina

BP building permit

BR building régime regulation

BVV Building Procedure Ordinance (Bauverfahrensverordnung)

DKM Digital Cadastral Register

DORIS Upper Austria Geographic Information System

EAFRD European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development

EKZ Shopping Centre Program (Einkaufszentrenprogramm)

EMFF European Maritime and Fisheries Fund

ERDF European Regional Development Fund

ESDP European Spatial Development Perspective

ESF European Social Fund

ESI European Structural and Investment funds

FBiH Federation of Bosnia-Herzegovina

FPRY Federative People's Republic of Yugoslavia

GIS Geographic Information System

GUP General Urban Plan

IMG International Management Group

ISOCARP International Society of City and Regional Planners

JNA Yugoslav People's Army

KAGIS Carinthia Geographic Information System

k. u. k. Imperial and Royal (Kaiserlich und Königlich)

LOSP Spatial Planning Law

NUTS III 35 statistical units in Western Austria (NUTS-Nomenclature of territorial units for statistics of the official statistics of the European Union for Austria)

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OIB Richtlinien Austrian Institute for Building Technology Guidelines

ÖROK Austrian Conference on Spatial Planning
ÖREK Austrian Spatial Development Concept

PBG Planning and Construction Law (Planungs- und Baugesetz)

PRBiH People's Republic Bosnia-Herzegovina

PRS People's Republic Serbia

RBiH Republic Bosnia-Herzegovina

RPG Swiss Spatial Planning Law/Act (Raumplanungsgesetz)

RS Republika Srpska

SAGIS Salzburg Geographic Information System

SERDA Sarajevo Economic Development Agency

SC Sarajevo Canton

SFRY Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia

SMART Specific, Measurable, Assignable, Realistic, and Time-related

STEP Urban Development Plan (Stadtentwicklungsplan)

SWOT Strengths Weaknesses Opportunities Threats analysis

TA 2020 Territorial Agenda 2020

TA 2030 Territorial Agenda 2030

TEN-T Trans European Transport Network

TIRIS Tyrol Geographic Information System

UN United Nations

UNO Methodology for determining standards and goals in the field of hous-

ing and environmental organization, Juginos, Belgrade 1974

VOGIS Vorarlberg Geographic Information System

WBO Viennese Building Code (Bauordnung für Wien)

WBTW Vienna Building Technology Regulation (Wiener Bautechnikverord-

nung)

Zone U.A. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation. The centre-west of Paris, home to a large number of tertiary sector activities, including major company head offices and large corporations. These activities generally occupy buildings previously used for residential purposes.

Zone U.C. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation

Sector U. Ca The historic and archaeological center of Paris, characterized by the housing and typically Parisian shops.

Sector U. Cb The old village of Montmartre and its surroundings.

Sector U. Cc The central part of the district known as "de la Butte aux Cailles".

Zone U.F. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation.

The area, well served by public transport with the highest density of office jobs and the highest land use in the capital.

Zone U.H. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation

Sector U. Ha Characterized by the predominance of housing and accompanying traditional commerce

Sector U. Hb Specifically designed for residential use.

Zone U.I. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation.

Predominantly industrial zone.

Zone U.L. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation.

The zone includes more than a hundred hamlets, villas, and housing estates.

Zone U.M. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation

U. sector Ma Mix of uses that covers most of the outlying districts of eastern Paris.

Sector U. Mb The mixed-use that covers most of the southern part of the districts 14 and 15.

Zone U.N. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation

The entire S.N.C.F. (Société nationale des des chemins de fer français - France's national state-owned railway company) estate.

Zone U.O City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation.

Covers those parts of Paris whose development has been undertaken under previous agreements with development or construction institutions.

Zone U.P. City of Paris Land Use Plan Regulation.

This zone, subdivided into three U.Pa, U.Pb and U.Pc, covers the public river domain (land, banks, quays, water bodies).

List of Figures

Figure	1	Research methodology framework	10
Figure	2	Sarajevo in 1879	26
Figure	3	Second Building Code for Sarajevo 1893	29
Figure	4	Regulation of the Miljacka River basin	31
Figure	5	Schematic representation of the building zone plan of Sarajevo, in 1879, according to the First and Second Building Codes for the State Capital Sarajevo	33
Figure	6	Sarajevo in the 1930s	37
Figure	7	Schematic representation of the building zone plan of Sarajevo in 1930	42
Figure	8	Spatial planning documentation in the period of the Socialist Federal Republic Yugoslavia	48
Figure	9	Sarajevo's general Land-use Plan (GUP), 1965	52
Figure	10	Urban territory and the boundaries of the city of Sarajevo in 1990 $\! \!$	59
Figure	11	City of Sarajevo Spatial Plan for the period 1986–2015	60
Figure	12	City of Sarajevo Land-use Plan for the period 1986–2015	61
Figure	13	State Structure of Switzerland	71
Figure	14	Federal spatial planning system – Spatial planning tools in Switzerland	73
Figure	15	Technical equipment as explained in the Annex with explanatory sketches for the measurement and calculation methods according to the PBG and ABV	75
Figure	16	Equipment as explained in the Annex with explanatory sketches for the measurement and calculation methods according to the PBG and ABV	75
Figure	17	Total building height as explained in the Annex with explanatory sketches for the measurement and calculation methods according to the PBG and ABV	76
Figure	18	European investment funds in Austria (2014–2020)	83
Figure	19	Relationship between planning instruments at various government planning levels	91
Figure	20	Zoning plan of the City of Paris's Règlement1	08
Figure	21	Zoning plan of the City of Paris's Règlement, building distances, and insulation angles1	09
Figure	22	Federation of BiH (dark blue) and Republika Srpska (light blue) with Brčko District (grey)	112

Figure	23	Ten cantons of the Federation of BiH11	5
Figure	24	Canton Sarajevo with its nine municipalities. Present City of Sarajevo – four out of nine municipalities (red) and area of former City of Sarajevo – today East Sarajevo (outline border – dot line) 11	6
Figure	25	Spatial planning instrument hierarchy in Sarajevo Canton 13	0
Figure	26	Canton Sarajevo urban territory13	1
Figure	27	Coverage of the Canton urban territory area with detailed spatial planning documentation13	2
Figure	28	Frequency of the adoption of building codes (bc) during the history in Paris, Vienna, and Sarajevo16	2
Figure	29	Schematic representation of the building permit procedure in the Sarajevo Canton	2
Figure	30	Spatial organization of the four building regimes' area17	6
Figure	31	Sarajevo Canton Spatial Plan for the period 2003–2023 and its amendments	8
Figure	32	Sarajevo Canton Spatial Plan for the period 2003–2023 and its amendments	9
Figure	33	City of Sarajevo Land-use Plan for the period 1986–2015 18	0
Figure	34	Urban permit procedure analysis in Sarajevo Canton in the time-frame 2008–2020	9
Figure	35	Requests for supplementary urban permits in the total number of requests for urban permits in the Sarajevo Canton in the time-frame 2008–2020	0
Figure	36	Number of requests for the supplementary urban permit in the total number of requests for urban permits in the Sarajevo Canton in the timeframe 2008–2020	1
Figure	37	Urban permit procedure in the Sarajevo Canton in the timeframe 2008–2020	1
Figure	38	Building permit procedure analysis in the Sarajevo Canton in the timeframe 2008–202019	2
Figure	39	Requests for the supplementary building permit share in the total number of requests for building permits in the Sarajevo Canton in the timeframe 2008–2020	2
Figure	40	Number of requests for supplementary building permit in the total number of requests for building permits in the Sarajevo Canton in the timeframe 2008–2020	3
Figure	41	Detailed analysis of the requests for building permits in the time-frame 2008–2020	3

Figure 42	Detailed planning documentation analysis in the timeframe 1996–2020
Figure 43	Building permit procedure in Sarajevo Canton indicators in percentages
Figure 44	Building permit procedure in the Sarajevo Canton in the time-frame 2008–2020
Figure 45	Typologies and number of requests for supplementary urban permit and urban permits in the Sarajevo Canton in the period June–December 2008/June–December 2019
Figure 46	Representation of the diverse typologies in the total number of submitted requests for urban permits in the examined period June–December 2008
Figure 47	Representation of the diverse typologies in the total number of submitted requests for urban permits in the examined period June–December 2019
Figure 48	Number of requests for urban permits – 31,971 in the timeframe 2008–2020 on 15/07/2020 in the Sarajevo Canton and their spatial distribution
Figure 49	Number of requests for building permits – 10,649 in the time-frame 2008–2020 on 15/07/2020 in the Sarajevo Canton and their spatial distribution201
Figure 50	Number of professional opinions – 18,150 issued in the period 2006–2019 on 15/07/2020 and their spatial distribution202
Figure 51	Analysis of share of issued professional opinions in Sarajevo Canton in the timeframe 2006–2020
Figure 52	Decrease in issued professional opinions in Sarajevo Canton in the timeframe 2006–2020
Figure 53	Representation of the diverse typologies of the submitted requests for professional opinion in the examined period June–December 2008 and June–December 2020
Figure 54	Current spatial planning system instruments in Bosnia-Herzegovina – Scenario "A"227
Figure 55	Current building permit procedure – Scenario "A"
Figure 56	Proposal for the reformed spatial planning system in Bosnia-Herzegovina – the Ideal Scenario231
Figure 57	Ideal scenario for introducing the new building code – the sin- gle-act option233
Figure 58	Ideal scenario for introducing the new building code – the alternative with two separate acts234

Figure 59	Proposed model for the building permit procedure within the ideal scenario for introducing the new building code
Figure 60	Proposal for the building permit procedure linked with the catchment area of the future building code – the Ideal Scenario 236
Figure 61	Catchment area of the future Sarajevo Canton building code – the "Ideal Scenario"238
Figure 62	Content of the future building code – the "Ideal Scenario" 240
Figure 63	Relationship between the main elements of the future cantonal land-use and regulatory plan245
Figure 64	Location of Alifakovac, Municipality Stari Grad, and existing street sections with single houses framing the street section 246
Figure 65	Typo-morphological zoning analysis in nine Sarajevo Canton municipalities247

List of Tables

Table	1	Comparison of the contents of the First Building Code of Sarajevo $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	4
Table	2	Comparative elements of the first Sarajevo building codes 34	4
Table	3	Content of the building code of 1936	8
Table	4	Elements of the building code of 1936	9
Table	5	Spatial Planning Legislation of the Federative People's Republic Yugoslavia in the period 1945–19654	4
Table	6	Legislation in the town planning of the Socialist Republic Bosnia-Herzegovina in the period 1965–1990 on the republic level 40	6
Table	7	Spatial planning legislative of the City of Sarajevo in the period 1957–1990 on the city level	0
Table	8	Content of the Construction Decision for Sarajevo County (1957) and the Spatial Planning Law (1974)5	3
Table	9	Comparative elements of the Construction Decision for Sarajevo County (1957) and the Spatial Planning Law (1974)5	4
Table	10	Basic facts on the spatial conditions of the Swiss Confederation $\dots 70^{-1}$	0
Table	11	Content of Zurich Building Ordinance7	6
Table	12	Basic facts on the spatial conditions of the Republic of Austria 80	0
Table	13	Thematic objectives (TOs)8	4
Table	14	Instruments of regional spatial planning in spatial planning laws in Austria	7
Table	15	Strategy concepts of federal provinces8	9
Table	16	Regional Development Agencies of the federal provinces (Selection). 90	0
Table	17	Instruments of regional spatial planning in Austria9	5
Table	18	Comparison of the content of the Viennese Building Code and Sarajevo Canton Spatial Planning Law99	9
Table	19	Structure of Règlement	7
Table	20	Comparison of zoning codes and form-based code characteristics 110	0
Table	21	Content of the Dayton Peace Agreement	3
Table	22	Government levels in BiH	6
Table	23	Governmental pyramid on the territory of Sarajevo Canton and East Sarajevo11	7
Table	24	Bosnia-Herzegovina in relation to EU Enlargement Step11	7
Table	25	General data about Bosnia-Herzegovina 12	0
Table	26	Spatial planning legislation in Sarajevo Canton	2

Table 27	Content of the Sarajevo Canton Spatial Planning Law of 1999, 2005, and 2017
Table 28	Comparative elements of the Sarajevo Canton Spatial Planning Law of 1999, 2005, and 2017126
Table 29	Analysis of the accuracy of the development spatial planning documentation
Table 30	Analysis of the detailed spatial planning documentation production in Sarajevo Canton in the years 1996–2017136
Table 31	Content of the manual
Table 32	The Comparative-historical analysis in Sequence 1: Content of the building regulation for Paris in 1784154
Table 33	The Comparative-historical analysis in Sequence 1: Content of the building code for Paris/Vienna in 1829155
Table 34	The Comparative-historical analysis in Sequence 1: Content of the building code for Paris in 1859/Vienna in 1829155
Table 35	The Comparative-historical analysis in Sequence 1: Content of the building code for Paris/Vienna in 1868155
Table 36	The Comparative-historical analysis in Sequence 1: Content of the building code for Paris in 1884/Vienna in 1883/Sarajevo in 1880
Table 37	The Comparative-historical analysis in Sequence 1: Content of the building code for Paris in 1902/Vienna in 1890/Sarajevo in 1893
Table 38	The Comparative-historical analysis in Sequence 1: Sociopolitical parameters for Paris in 1902/Vienna in 1890/Sarajevo in 1893 157
Table 39	Sequence 2: Content of the building code for Sarajevo in 1936 158
Table 40	Sequence 2: Spatial planning legislation for Sarajevo in 1930s 158
Table 41	Sequence 3: Content of the construction decision and the spatial planning law159
Table 42	Sequence 4: Spatial planning system characteristics in Sarajevo, Vienna and Zurich
Table 43	Shortcomings of a building permit procedure in the Sarajevo Canton
Table 44	Classification of requests for urban permits according to the number in 2008
Table 45	Classification of requests for urban permits according to the number in 2019
Table 46	Classification of requests for professional opinion according to the number in 2008205

Table 47	Classification of requests for professional opinions according to the number in 2020	:06
Table 48	Implementation praxis register	:09
Table 49	Outcomes of the institutional land-use implementation practices in the Sarajevo Canton2	:12
Table 50	Evaluation questions on the building permit procedure in the Sarajevo Canton	:15
Table 51	The range of possible responses	16
Table 52	Outcomes of the Delphi methodology2	20
Table 53	Differences between the two scenarios according to building permit indicators2	:37
Table 54	Reference of the future building code to planning instruments according to Scenario "B"	46