Julia Freigassner, Richard W. van Nieuwenhoven and Ille C. Gebeshuber*

From nanostructure to function: hierarchical functional structures in chitin and keratin

https://doi.org/10.1515/zpch-2024-0913 Received May 27, 2024; accepted December 4, 2024; published online April 18, 2025

Abstract: Chitin and keratin are naturally abundant biopolymers. They hold significant potential for sustainable applications due to their chemical structure, (nano)structural properties, biodegradability and nontoxicity. Chitin, a polysaccharide contained in exoskeletons of arthropods and the cell walls of fungi, forms strong hydrogen bonds that confer mechanical stability, which is ideal for use in protective structures and lightweight composites. Keratin, a fibrous protein found in vertebrate epithelial tissues such as wool, feathers and hair, is characterized by its high sulfur content and the formation of disulfide bonds, which provide both mechanical strength and flexibility. Utilizing chitin and keratin waste materials from the food industry, such as shrimp shells, chicken feathers and sheep wool, offers an eco-friendly alternative to synthetic materials and leverages their inherent biocompatibility. Additionally to the common macroscale reuse of chitin and keratin waste as fertilizer or livestock feed, using chitin and keratin as functional materials adds further uses for these versatile materials. The waste is increasingly being utilized specifically for its superior structural properties resulting from nanoscale functionalities. Chitin and keratin exhibit excellent thermal insulation properties, making them suitable for energy-efficient building materials. Their structural colours (e.g., in butterflies and birds), arising from micro- and nanoscale arrangements, offer non-fading colouration for textiles and coatings without the need for potentially harmful dyes. Additionally, these biopolymers provide lightweight yet strong materials ideal for packaging, consumer products, and - when smartly structured - even passive radiative cooling applications. Biomimetic designs based on chitin and keratin promise advancements across multiple fields by harnessing their natural properties and converting waste into high-value products, thereby addressing recycling issues and promoting sustainability. 6

^{*}Corresponding author: Ille C. Gebeshuber, Institute of Applied Physics, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/134, 1040 Vienna, Austria, E-mail: gebeshuber@iap.tuwien.ac.at. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8879-2302

Julia Freigassner and Richard W. van Nieuwenhoven, Institute of Applied Physics, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/134, 1040 Vienna, Austria, E-mail: julia.freigassner@tuwien.ac.at (J. Freigassner), nieuwenhoven@iap.tuwien.ac.at (R.W. van Nieuwenhoven). https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5217-2997 (J. Freigassner). https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2801-1727 (R.W. van Nieuwenhoven)

Open Access. © 2025 the author(s), published by De Gruyter. Comparing This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Keywords: biomimetics; functional nanostructures; hydrophobicity; passive radiative cooling; reversible adhesion; structural colours

1 Introduction

Chitin and keratin are ubiquitous materials in organisms. Chitin is a structural polysaccharide constructed from chains of modified glucose. It is found in singlecelled organisms such as certain diatoms¹ and yeasts² and in fungal cell walls,³ insects,⁴ spiders⁵ and crustaceans⁶ as well as in molluscs⁴ and (less commonly) some other invertebrates such as annelids, nematodes, bryozoans and cnidarians.⁷ Keratin is a fibrous protein produced in the epithelial tissues of various vertebrates. Keratin is contained in wool, hair, feathers, hooves, horns, claws, beaks and skin.^{8,9}

The functionality of keratin and chitin in biological systems arises from the synergy between their unique chemical compositions and nanoscale to macroscale hierarchical structuring. The biomimetic translation of this structuring enhances material properties such as strength, flexibility, and durability. Additionally, the localized availability of resources for the biosynthesis of keratin and chitin underscores their sustainability and recyclability, highlighting their potential as eco-friendly materials for innovative applications in material science.^{10–12}

Chemically, keratin is distinguished by its high sulfur content due to the abundance of cysteine amino acids, which form disulfide bonds.¹³ These bonds are crucial for mechanical stability and flexibility.^{14,15} Chitin can form strong hydrogen bonds between adjacent chains.¹⁶ These hydrogen bonds enhance its structural stability, which is crucial, for example, the protective exoskeletons of insects and crustaceans and the cell walls of fungi.^{17,18}

The structural arrangement of chitin and keratin on the micro- and nanometer length scales contributes to more than just mechanical strength: the hierarchical structuring of chitin in butterfly wing scales and of keratin in the feathers of birds such as hummingbirds, pigeons and peacocks, for example, interacts with light through complex photonic structures, resulting in brilliant colours (without the use of pigments).¹⁹

Organism-inspired structural functionalities are primarily translated into technology through the use of highly engineered materials,^{20,21} such as structural colours inspired by butterfly wings, achieved using metal oxides and volatile solvent-based systems.^{22,23} Alternatively, structure-based functionalities can be implemented using chitin and keratin waste such as shrimp shells or sheep wool.²⁴ This alternative offers clear advantages: it utilises renewable, non-toxic, biodegradable, and recyclable materials.²⁵ The functional properties intrinsic to

chitin and keratin are directly transferred to biomimetic applications, preserving the natural base materials without modification.

Incorporating biomimetic principles into material design requires synergising chemistry-based functionalities and structure-based characteristics on various length scales, reflecting the multi-scale strategies observed in natural systems (integration instead of additive manufacturing²⁶). This combined approach enhances material performance and sustainability, demonstrating that chemistry and structural design are most effective when applied together rather than in isolation.

2 Chitin and keratin in organisms and related biomimetics

Keratin is widespread across various classes of animals, including mammals, birds, and reptiles. It is a significant component of humans' hair, skin, nails, and cornea. Human hair is composed of approximately 80% hard keratins,²⁷ though its concentration varies based on location and function within the body. In other mammals, keratin forms the structure of horns, hooves, and claws, while in birds, it is present in feathers and beaks.²⁸ Reptiles have keratin in their scales and claws.²⁹

Chitin is a crucial structural component in the exoskeletons of various arthropods, including insects, spiders, and crustaceans such as crabs and lobsters.^{30,31} It is also present in the cell walls of certain fungi³² and contributes to the radula – a feeding structure – in some molluscs. Chitin content varies significantly across organisms: squid, cuttlefish, and octopuses contain between 6 % and 40 % chitin,^{11,33,34,35} while the exoskeleton of insects can incorporate up to 40 %.³⁶ Specific insect chitin content ranges from 18.4 % in cockroaches (*Blattella* sp.) to 64 % in butterflies (*Pieris* sp.).³⁷ In crustaceans, chitin typically constitutes 20–30 % of the exoskeleton,³⁸ ranging from 17.8 % in shrimp (*Crangon crangon*) to 75 % in lobster (*Homarus* sp.).³⁹ Fungal species such as *Aspergillus niger* (black mould) can contain chitin levels between 2.3 % and 42 %.³⁹ The determination of chitin content across different organisms varies depending on the species and the specific composition under different conditions.³⁷

Keratin and chitin are structural biopolymers known for their durability and resistance to environmental degradation.^{40,41} Keratin protects against mechanical wear and tear, forming intermediate filaments within cells that reinforce tissues such as skin, hair and nails, shielding tissues from physical and chemical damage.^{42,43} Chitin resists microbial degradation, forming long chains that enhance the strength and rigidity of exoskeletons and cell walls, fortifying exoskeletons and cell walls and offering structural defence for organisms.^{44,45}

3 Waste management and sustainable utilization of keratin

Much keratin-rich waste originates from the food industry, particularly slaughterhouses, meat markets, and the wool industry.²⁸ This waste comprises keratin-containing animal by-products, such as wool, hair, hooves, and feathers. Only a few microorganisms, such as keratinophilic microflora (bacteria and fungi), can break down keratin proteins.^{46,47} Biological degradation of keratin waste is considered more efficient than physical and chemical methods.⁴⁸ Organic and biodegradable keratin waste can be repurposed as a sustainable resource via chemical and mechanical processing, transforming it into valuable biomaterials.^{49,50} Keratin derived from biomass offers an eco-friendly alternative that is naturally free from synthetic chemicals and thus reduces environmental impact.⁵¹

3.1 Keratin-enriched waste

Poultry slaughterhouses generate substantial feather waste, as only 60–70 % of poultry parts are deemed suitable for human consumption.⁵² Keratinous by-products comprise roughly 15–20 % of slaughterhouse waste, with feathers accounting for 7–9 %.⁵³ Feather waste is challenging for livestock to digest due to its keratin content, necessitating various pre-treatment methods – physical, chemical, or biological – for effective utilization.⁵⁴ While some feather waste is processed into feather fodder for animal feed or fertilizer, the majority is discarded via landfill disposal or incineration, contributing to pollution concerns. The environmental impact of these disposal methods underscores the need to increase feather recycling. Feathers comprise approximately 91 % β -keratin, a highly sought-after resource.^{55–57} Keratin extracted from organic waste is a sustainable toxin-free resource.⁵¹

Wool is a historically significant natural fibre which has long played a crucial role in global trade and agricultural economies.^{58,59} Wool's fibrous structure is composed of approximately 95% keratin by weight, with a cysteine content between 11% and 17%, and highly resistant to degradation in common solvents. This resilience is attributed to the tightly packed α -helix and β -sheet in keratin.^{58,60} Wool's market share faces increasing competition from synthetic fibres as consumer preference shifts toward lighter-weight products.⁶¹ Environmental concerns, such as the water and resource intensity of wool production and pollution associated with processing, have also contributed to declining demand, confining wool use primarily to luxury goods.⁶²

Market statistics typically account only for wool that enters the manufacturing chain and is processed into various products, overlooking raw wool produced on farms that remains unused, often due to low quality unsuitable for textiles.⁶³ In recent years, sheep shearing has sometimes been performed solely for animal welfare, offering no profit to farmers, with the resultant wool shifting from a valuable product to a waste management challenge.⁶⁴ The accumulation of wool waste has become a significant issue in waste management, underscoring the need for sustainable options.^{58,65}

In addition to wool, other keratin-rich waste by-products include human hair, animal hair, and hooves from various sources, such as cattle, horses, oxen and pigs (260 million pigs were slaughtered in the EU in 2016⁶⁶).⁵⁵

Keratin extraction employs various methods, including oxidation,⁶⁷ reduction,⁶⁸ sulfitolysis⁶⁹ and superheated hydrolysis.^{70,71} Each technique targets the cleavage of cystine disulfide bonds within the keratin structure, yielding low molecular weight proteins and peptides.⁷² The resulting keratin extracts are typically characterized through molecular weight analysis and amino acid profiling to evaluate their composition and functionality. The choice of extraction method is highly dependent on the starting material, such as hooves, feathers, or wool, each possessing distinct structural and chemical properties. These differences necessitate tailored adjustments to optimize the extracted keratin's yield and quality.⁵⁵

4 Waste management and sustainable utilization of chitin

With population growth,⁷³ waste generation has risen significantly,¹² particularly from food production,⁷⁴ where valuable by-products are discarded.⁷⁵ For instance, seafood waste is often incinerated, landfilled, discarded at sea, or left to decompose, which poses risks to human health and biodiversity and causes environmental problems.⁷⁶ Chitin and chitosan (derived from chitin) possess high economic value due to their versatile biological properties.⁷⁷

The seafood processing industry generates substantial waste, including shells and exoskeletons that are slow to biodegrade due to their high structural components.⁷⁸ Disposing seafood waste in marine environments contributes to coastal pollution by affecting water quality and marine ecosystems.⁷⁹ Accumulated organic waste can lead to nutrient overload, fostering algal blooms and depleting oxygen levels, which harm local biodiversity.⁸⁰

Seafood by-products (waste) can be utilized as valuable raw materials for chitosan and chitin production.⁸¹ Chitosan's excellent adsorption capabilities make it

suitable for removing heavy metals and dyes from wastewater.^{82,83} Edible chitosanbased films extend the shelf life of perishable products as a barrier against moisture and microbial contamination.^{84,85} Crustacean exoskeletons are the primary source of chitin for commercial use due to their high chitin content and wide availability.⁸⁶

Chitin nanomaterials can be extracted in the form of chitin nanocrystals or chitin nanofibers, each exhibiting unique structural and functional properties.⁸⁷

The cyclic use and reuse of chitin and chitosan can be effectively achieved through large-scale fermentation processes, leveraging their biodegradability and renewability. This approach enables the sustainable production of value-added products, minimizes waste, and supports circular bioeconomy frameworks by integrating microbial fermentation for efficient biopolymer recovery and transformation.⁸⁸

4.1 Chitin-enriched waste

The shrimp industry is a substantial source of chitin waste worldwide, driven by high global shrimp consumption.⁸⁹ In 2023, shrimp production reached 5.6 million tons globally,⁹⁰ with projections indicating an increase to 7.28 million tons by 2025, reflecting a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 6.1 % from 2020 to 2025.⁹¹ Asian countries collectively contributed over 80 % of global shrimp production in 2023.⁹²

The shrimp processing stage, particularly peeling and deveining, generates considerable chitin waste, primarily from the shells, with 45-48 % of raw shrimp material by weight discarded as waste.⁹³

Shrimp waste is a valuable source of animal protein and essential biomaterials, including chitin, lipids (e.g., glycerides, phospholipids, carotenoids), and calcium carbonate. Studies indicate that dried shrimp waste contains approximately 18 % chitin, 43 % protein, 29 % ash, and 10 % fat.⁹⁴ In addition, shrimp waste harbours bioactive compounds such as unsaturated fatty acids, carotenoid pigments, free amino acids, and trace elements.⁹⁵ Efficient recovery of these components presents substantial industrial, environmental and research opportunities.⁹⁶

Beyond shrimp shell waste, insect-derived chitin is an emerging source of this versatile biopolymer, with insects containing 5 %–10 % chitin (dry weight).^{97,98} Chitin is readily separable from the protein-rich parts of insects, such as their bodies, larvae, or exoskeletons. During various life stages (e.g., larvae, pupae, prepupae, adults), insects shed exoskeletons, providing a chitin-rich substrate for extraction.^{36,99} Although chitin from shrimp, crabs, squid pens, and mushrooms has been widely studied, the production and comparative analysis of chitin nanofibers (ChNFs) from different insect sources is an emerging research field.¹⁰⁰

While insect and crustacean chitin share similar chemical structures, crystalline arrangements, and thermal properties, they vary in morphology and fibre accessibility, which affects extraction efficiency.^{36,101} The extraction processes must be tailored to the source; crustacean shells contain predominantly calcium carbonate, whereas insect exoskeletons have a higher protein and lipid content, requiring adjusted demineralization and deproteinization steps.¹⁰² Fungal chitin sources have glucan residues on their fibre surface, which is challenging to purify.¹⁰³

Chemical chitosan extraction is a multi-step process comprising demineralization, deproteinization, and deacetylation.^{104–106} Demineralization typically employs a dilute hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution to dissolve calcium carbonate and calcium chloride, the predominant inorganic components in the exoskeletons of crustaceans.¹⁰⁷ Deproteinization follows, where an alkaline treatment is applied to eliminate proteins, resulting in purified chitin. The final step, deacetylation, transforms chitin into chitosan by removing acetyl groups. Apart from the chemical extraction of chitin and chitosan, there is a biological alternative method.^{36,108} Biological methods offer an environmentally friendly alternative by utilizing lactic acid for demineralization and proteases secreted into the fermentation medium for deproteinization.^{33,37,107}

5 Chemical properties of chitin and keratin

The structural polymers chitin and keratin fulfil distinct functions due to their unique chemical compositions and structural configurations.^{109,110} Despite differences in their molecular makeup, both serve essential structural roles, exhibit fibrous properties, and provide protective functions. Chitin is a polysaccharide consisting of long chains of N-acetylglucosamine, a glucose derivative, which lends rigidity to the exoskeletons and cell walls in various organisms.^{11,111} In contrast, keratin is a sulfur-containing protein rich in the amino acid cysteine. It forms disulfide bonds that enhance its strength and resilience, making it ideal for protective tissues like hair, nails, and feathers.^{13,72}

5.1 Chemistry of keratin

The amino acid sequence forms alpha-helices and beta-sheets, which assemble into intermediate filaments within cells, contributing to the tissue's mechanical stability and resilience.⁴² Keratins are particularly abundant in the outer layers of the skin and protective structures.¹¹² Their high cysteine content allows for disulfide bonds, giving keratin durability and resistance to environmental stresses.¹¹³

Unlike specific biological tissues, the keratin-based matrix lacks the capacity for self-repair, as it primarily comprises non-living tissue formed by keratinocytes (keratin-producing cells) that die and accumulate in the outermost layers. This structural framework provides resilience and protection but remains incapable of regenerating in the same manner as bone or other living tissues.^{42,114,115}

5.1.1 Primary structure

At the molecular level, keratin exists in two main types – alpha-keratin and β -keratin – which are distinguished by their amino acid composition and structural organization. *a*-keratin is primarily found in mammals, with some exceptions like the pangolin, which has *a*- and β -keratin. In contrast, β -keratin is characteristic of birds and reptiles.^{116–119} Each type has distinct atomic-scale and sub-nanoscale structures (Figure 1).^{8,120}

In *a*-keratin, the structure is divided into three regions: crystalline fibrils (helices), the matrix, and the terminal domains of the filaments.¹¹⁶ Its *a*-helical shape arises from internal hydrogen bonds, which coil the chain.¹²¹ The *a*-helical chains are stabilized by sulfur-based cross-links, forming dimers that further assemble into protofilaments.^{117,122} These protofilaments feature N- and C-terminal ends rich in cysteine, essential for bonding with other intermediate filament (IF) molecules, thus creating a robust and organized network.¹²³ *a*-keratin itself is divided into type I (acidic) and type II (basic) categories, further classified into hard keratin (5 % sulfur content) and soft keratin (1 % sulfur content) based on structural properties.^{124,125} Hard keratin – found in hair, feathers, horns, claws, hooves, and exoskeletons – is sub-classified into Ia (acidic-hard) and IIa (basic-hard), while soft keratin – found in cellular tissue like calluses – is categorized as Ib (acidic-soft) and IIb (basic-soft).^{117,126} The molecular mass of *a*-keratin ranges from approximately 40 kDa–68 kDa,¹²⁷ with a notable cysteine content (7–20 %), essential for forming disulfide linkages that provide mechanical strength and durability.¹²⁸

In contrast, β -keratin has a different molecular structure, featuring a central domain with β -sheet residues flanked by N- and C-terminal regions.¹²⁹ In β -keratin, the β -strands align antiparallelly, stabilized by hydrogen bonds that create a stable, planar, and pleated sheet structure.¹³⁰ The beta sheets form a rigid, planar surface due to this arrangement. Both filament and matrix regions integrate within a single protein structure, resulting in a comparatively smaller molecular mass, ranging between 10 kDa and 22 kDa.¹²⁷

These structural distinctions between α - and β -keratin – particularly in cysteine content, molecular mass, and bonding types – underlie their differing mechanical properties and functional roles across species.



Figure 1: The hierarchical structure of *a*-keratin and β -keratin spans multiple scales, from the amino acid sequence forming alpha-helices and beta-sheets to protofilaments and intermediate filaments. These intermediate filaments further organize into larger macroscopic structures, demonstrating higher-order arrangement and functionality. This multi-scale architecture underpins the remarkable mechanical and biological properties of keratin-based materials. Source:⁸ licensed under CC BY.

5.1.2 Secondary structure

The two main regular secondary protein structures, α -helices and β -sheets, are crucial internal support frameworks within extended polypeptide chains and serve as critical classifications for keratins. Both α - and β -keratins exhibit a distinctive filament-matrix architecture at the nanoscale (Figure 1).^{116,131}

a-Keratin proteins organize into a coiled-coil structure, where *a*-helices wind around each other to form superhelical bundles.^{117,132} These bundles typically consist of two or three helices in parallel or antiparallel orientation, although bundles with up to seven helices have been observed.¹³³ The right-handed helices are stabilized by interchain hydrogen bonds, resulting in a twisted helical structure. In *a*-keratins, the term 'filament' refers to intermediate filaments (IFs) visible under transmission electron microscopy, with a diameter of approximately 7–10 nm.¹³⁴ The spacing between the *a*-helical axes within these structures measures 0.98 nm.^{117,135}

In β -keratin, the 'filament' – often termed the ' β -keratin filament' – is about 3–4 nm' in diameter and is organized into a pleated-sheet structure.¹³⁶ This structure is stabilized by hydrogen bonds between β -strands, as well as the planarity of the peptide bond, resulting in a robust, planar sheet.¹³⁷ The sheet is formed as a single polypeptide chain that folds into four parallel and antiparallel strands, maintained by intermolecular hydrogen bonds, with an inter-sheet spacing of approximately 0.97 nm.¹³⁰

5.1.3 Hierarchical structures

Hair and wool fibres serve as exemplary models to illustrate keratin's hierarchical structure. As shown in Figure 2, this structure comprises several distinct layers.¹³⁸ The outermost layer, the cuticle, which constitutes approximately 10 % of the fibre's volume, consists of overlapping cells that secure the hair within the follicle by firmly attaching it to the root shaft.¹¹⁵ Each scale cell in the cuticle comprises three



Figure 2: The hierarchical structure of human hair spans scales from nanometers to micrometres, beginning with alpha-helices and intermediate filaments (as detailed in Figure 1) and extending to microfibrils, cortical cells, and the entire hair fibre. This layered organization, ranging from ~2 nm to over 100 μ m, highlights the complexity of keratin's architecture and its role in imparting strength, flexibility, and functionality to hair. Source:¹⁴² permission granted.



Figure 3: The chemical structures of chitin and chitosan. Chitosan is obtained through partial deacetylation of chitin in the solid state under alkaline conditions or via enzymatic hydrolysis catalyzed by chitin deacetylase. This structural difference imparts distinct solubility and functionality, enabling diverse biological and industrial applications.¹⁵⁰ Source:¹⁷⁴ licensed under CC BY.

sub-layers: the epicuticle, the exocuticle, and the endocuticle. The arrangement and morphology of these cuticle cells influence the frictional properties of hair. In human hair (Figure 3), the cuticle typically has five to ten layers of scales, while wool fibres usually consist of only one to two layers.¹³⁹ Generally, fibres with greater diameters have multiple layers of cuticle cells, and the thickness of individual cuticle cells varies, measuring around 0.3 μ m in Merino wool, 0.5–0.66 μ m in Lincoln wool, and approximately 0.33 μ m in human hair.^{140,141}

The cortex layer, constituting roughly 90 % of the fibre's mass,¹¹⁵ comprises three distinct zones: the orthocortex, paracortex, and mesocortex, each characterized by unique structural arrangements that influence the fibre's curliness.¹⁴³ The paracortex's Intermediate Filaments (IFs) display a more uniform hexagonal arrangement. In contrast, the IFs in the orthocortex form discrete bundles and are surrounded by a smaller matrix volume than in the paracortex.^{42,144} The paracortex also has a smaller diameter than the orthocortex. The lipid-rich cell membrane complex provides cohesion among cortical cells, with microfibrils (formed from IFs) and matrix proteins visible within.¹⁴⁵

At the nanoscale, alpha-helical chains assemble to form IFs embedded in a sulfur-rich matrix containing proteins, nuclear remnants, cell membrane complexes, and intercellular cement.^{116,146,147} The medulla, a central porous layer comprising foamy keratin, shows notable variation among fibre types. Fine fibres, such as Merino wool and polar bear fur, typically lack a medulla (a hollow core), enhancing their thermal insulation properties.^{8,115,148}

5.2 Chemistry of chitin and chitosan

Chitin, or poly (β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine), is the second most abundant natural polysaccharide after cellulose and is integral to various organisms.^{149–151} Chitin provides structural integrity in single-celled organisms, such as diatoms, protists (eukaryotic microorganisms), and fungal cell walls. In multicellular organisms, it is found in sponges, corals, molluscs, worms, and notably in the exoskeletons of arthropods.¹⁵² The crystalline structure of chitin varies by source, resulting in three distinct polymorphic forms: α -chitin, β -chitin and γ -chitin. These forms differ primarily in the stacking arrangement of chitin chains. In α -chitin, the chains are arranged in an alternating antiparallel orientation, contributing to its rigidity, while in β -chitin, all chains align in a parallel arrangement, which offers greater flexibility.¹⁵³ The third form, γ -chitin, is a structural variant of the α -family, exhibiting a combination of parallel and antiparallel stack configurations.¹⁵⁴

5.2.1 *α*-chitin

a-chitin is the predominant polysaccharide in krill, lobster and crab shells, yeast cell walls, shrimp shells, and insect cuticles. Its crystalline structure is highly organized with a space group symmetry designated as $P2_12_12_1_2$, an orthorhombic crystal system.¹⁵⁵ Here, "P" signifies a primitive cell with a single lattice point per unit cell, while 2_1 represents a two-fold rotational axis, of which three are in this arrangement.¹⁵⁶ This orthorhombic structure is characterized by a symmetry group of 222 and demonstrates high crystallinity, observed in X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns. XRD reveals a hallmark diffraction ring for *a*-chitin at approximately 0.338 nm alongside an inner ring at 0.943 nm, both stable even in hydrated conditions, reflecting chitin chains' dense, ordered packing.

Electron diffraction further elucidates α -chitin's structural parameters, with cell dimensions nearly twice those of β -chitin in one axis. Detailed crystallographic analysis shows that α -chitin's unit cell accommodates two antiparallel chitin chains per unit, aligned in sheets and bonded by intra-sheet solid hydrogen interactions, such as C=O…NH. These interactions form a cohesive network that significantly enhances the material's mechanical stability and rigidity.^{150,155}

At the molecular level, α -chitin consists of long chains of N-acetylglucosamine units linked via β -(1 \rightarrow 4) glycosidic bonds.¹⁴⁹ The N-acetyl glycosyl moiety is the independent crystallographic unit in α - and β -chitin, serving as a recurring structural element.¹⁵⁷ Regarding its interaction with solvents, α -chitin exhibits selective swelling behaviour; water and alcohol cannot penetrate its crystalline lattice. However, aliphatic diamines, acting as potent swelling agents, can intercalate within the lattice, creating highly crystalline complexes. These agents fit between the chitin sheets, causing directional expansion. Interestingly, this inter-sheet swelling correlates with the number of carbon atoms in the diamine, while the chitin structure's original crystallinity is restored upon acid removal.^{150,158,159} This selective swelling behaviour highlights the material's unique capacity for guest-molecule intercalation, with implications for various applications.

5.2.2 β -chitin

 β -chitin is relatively rare, found in specific marine and deep-sea biological structures such as squid pens, diatom spines, and the tubes of certain deep-sea worms, including pogonophores and vestimentiferans, typically at depths of 1,000–10,000 m.^{160,161} Although β -chitin also occurs in a few other organisms, it is significantly less prevalent than α -chitin.¹⁶² Structurally, β -chitin differs subtly but distinctly from α -chitin, particularly in its crystallographic and hydration properties.^{163,164} The characteristic diffraction ring of β -chitin appears at 0.324 nm, similar to that of α -chitin. However, upon hydration, this ring expands to approximately 1.16 nm, indicating a substantial increase of 0.242 nm compared to α -chitin, highlighting its unique response to moisture.¹⁵⁰

 β -chitin's crystalline structure consists of a single chitin molecule per unit cell, arranged in parallel chains within stacked sheets. These sheets are stabilized primarily by intra-sheet hydrogen bonds, which confer structural cohesion but lack significant inter-sheet hydrogen bonding along one crystallographic axis. Consequently, β -chitin exhibits pronounced intercrystalline swelling when exposed to water, alcohol, or amines, with these molecules able to penetrate its crystal lattice without disrupting overall crystallinity.^{165,166} This intra-crystalline swelling is reversible; however, exposure to solid acids induces an irreversible conversion of β -chitin to a-chitin.¹⁶⁷ This transformation involves breaking intra-and inter-sheet hydrogen bonds, resulting in a loss of crystallinity and significant shrinkage. This irreversible β -to-a transition suggests the superior thermodynamic stability of a-chitin, which recrystallizes into a more energetically favoured arrangement.¹⁵⁵

5.2.3 Chitosan

Chitosan is a non-toxic, linear polysaccharide and the primary derivative of chitin, comprising randomly distributed N-acetylglucosamine and glucosamine units.¹⁶⁸ It is obtained through partial deacetylation of chitin in the solid state under alkaline conditions or via enzymatic hydrolysis catalyzed by chitin deacetylase.¹⁶⁹ Chitosan is predominantly sourced from crustaceans, especially squid pens.¹⁷⁰ In its solid form,

DE GRUYTER

it has a semi-crystalline structure. Electron diffraction analysis shows an orthorhombic unit cell with space group ($P2_12_12_1$), containing two antiparallel chitosan chains without intercalated water molecules.¹⁷¹ The molecular weight of chitosan ranges from 10,000 to 1 million Daltons,¹⁷² and its structure includes an amino group and two hydroxyl groups, which form a network of intermolecular hydrogen bonds that contribute significantly to its stability.¹⁷³

6 Biomimetics using chitin and chitosan

Biomimetics, bio-inspiration, and bio-utilization are distinct yet interconnected concepts, as systematically defined by Drack and Gebeshuber.¹⁷⁵ This work proposes an integrative approach that merges these methodologies to optimize biopolymers such as chitin, keratin, and chitosan, effectively bridging biomimetic principles with bio-inspired innovations. This approach becomes particularly compelling when these materials are sourced through recycling. Recycling facilitates sustainable material recovery while maintaining their intrinsic functional properties.

Chitin is a highly versatile biopolymer found in marine organisms and insects, offering significant potential across various applications, from biomedicine to materials science. Both chitin and its derivative, chitosan, exhibit notable biological activities, including antitumor, antimicrobial, and antioxidant properties, primarily influenced by their unique chemical compositions and structural configurations.^{150,174,176} A detailed examination of chitin's hierarchical structure provides critical insights into its mechanical properties and informs the development of advanced materials. Understanding these properties supports innovations in protective armour and structural materials inspired by chitin's role in providing strength and resilience to various biological organisms.¹⁷⁷

The list of properties presented is intentionally selective and not comprehensive, focusing on the most notable characteristics of chitin and chitosan that are particularly pertinent for biomimetic applications without claiming to provide a conclusive inventory of their potential attributes.

6.1 Mechanical properties

Chitin's unique chemistry and hierarchical structure confer remarkable mechanical properties, which can be further enhanced through polymorphic forms, specifically α - and β -chitin crystals, that provide additional structural strength.¹⁷⁸ These polymorphs introduce distinct molecular arrangements and bonding patterns contributing to increased rigidity and resilience.¹⁷⁷

6.1.1 Tensile strength

Uniaxial tension simulations were conducted to investigate α - and β -chitin crystal's tensile properties along the [001] direction, chosen due to the more muscular backbone strength of carbohydrate chains compared to the weaker non-bonded interchain interactions. The results indicated that both chitin polymorphs exhibited linear elasticity at minor strains, with α -chitin showing an elastic modulus nearly twice that of β -chitin.^{179,177} Under increasing strain, both forms displayed nonlinear elasticity preceding failure, with α -chitin uniquely featuring a vielding plateau absent in β -chitin. Throughout loading, α -chitin sustained higher stress up to vielding.¹⁸⁰ However, post-vield, the stress-strain curves of both polymorphs converged, ultimately showing equivalent tensile strength and critical fracture strain at failure.^{181,182} The work of fracture and effective modulus of α -chitin exceeded those of β -chitin, underscoring its superior resistance to deformation. At the atomic scale, failure in both polymorphs originated from the β -(1 \rightarrow 4) linkages within chitin backbone chains. However, α -chitin underwent a structural transformation under substantial tension, leading to yielding, whereas β -chitin maintained its crystalline structure until the backbone ruptured.^{177,183,184} Despite their comparable ultimate tensile strength and fracture strain, these observations clarify α - and β -chitin's differing failure modes and deformation mechanisms under tensile load.177

Chitosan, derived from shrimp shells, is an environmentally friendly, biodegradable, and antibacterial polysaccharide with a chemical structure similar to cellulose, allowing for easy integration with cellulose-based surfaces, such as hand sheets. Researchers examined the influence of chitosan on mechanical properties by incorporating shrimp-derived chitosan into cellulose hand sheets at 0.25 %–0.50 % of the oven-dry pulp weight.¹⁸⁵ The tensile index, indicating sheet resistance to breaking under tension, increased significantly with chitosan addition, suggesting enhanced tear resistance.^{186,187} The modulus of elasticity, reflecting the sheet's capacity to recover its shape post-deformation, also improved markedly, implying increased elasticity and deformation tolerance. The enhancement of tensile strength and elasticity demonstrates chitosan's potential to improve cellulose-based sheet performance for various applications, contributing to durability and flexibility.^{188–190}

6.1.2 Wear resistance

The anti-wear performance of chitin crystals is crucial for the protective armour in crustaceans and beetles, which safeguards softer tissues from environmental abrasions. These biological structures must effectively counteract surface scratches

DE GRUYTER

and material degradation over time, which may otherwise compromise their protective function.^{191,192} When subjected to forces at steep attack angles, these surfaces consistently exhibit a characteristic wear pattern known as "cutting mode," marked by scratch grooves and material debris accumulation.¹⁹¹

To assess the anti-scratch performance of chitin, a minimum applied force, denoted $F_{\rm cut}$ is required to initiate surface scratching. Higher $F_{\rm cut}$ values correlate with improved wear resistance.¹⁹² Factors influencing $F_{\rm cut}$ include shear strength, attack angle, penetration depth, and surface energy, particularly on the [010] chitin surface. Surface energy represents the energy required to create a new surface area, which can be determined by evaluating changes in total potential energy when a chitin crystal is split.¹⁹² Comparative analyses of $F_{\rm cut}$ for α - and β -chitin crystals reveal that α -chitin demonstrates superior wear resistance across various conditions. This superior performance aligns with the frequent occurrence of α -chitin in natural protective structures, suggesting a potential relationship between wear resistance and crystal polymorphism.¹⁷⁷

6.2 Bactericidal properties

The antibacterial chemical properties of chitin, chitosan, and their derivatives have garnered significant attention in research. The antibacterial activity against various pathogens makes them potential candidates for various antimicrobial applications.^{193,194} Chitosan is recognized for its broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties, which offer significant advantages over conventional disinfectants, including a faster action rate, enhanced effectiveness, and lower mammalian cell toxicity.¹⁹⁵ While the precise mechanisms behind chitosan's antimicrobial properties are still under investigation, it is widely believed that chitosan's positively charged polysaccharide interacts with the negatively charged bacterial cell membrane, resulting in increased cell permeability.^{196–198}

The antibacterial effects of chitosan are proposed to involve several mechanisms. Low molecular weight (MW) chitosan can penetrate bacterial cell walls and inhibit essential processes, such as mRNA and DNA synthesis, especially in gram-positive bacteria. In contrast, high-MW chitosan is thought to act primarily at the cell surface, altering its permeability or forming a barrier that restricts the influx of essential solutes.^{196,199} Chitosan's antibacterial efficacy is also affected by environmental pH, with acidic conditions enhancing its adsorption onto bacterial cells due to increased positive ionic charge.^{174,198,200} At pH 6, chitosan's higher solubility further enhances its antibacterial action compared to chitin.¹⁹³

Other factors impacting chitosan's antimicrobial activity include its degree of acetylation (DA) and molecular weight.^{198,201} Lower DA and MW, combined with

acidic pH, have been shown to enhance antibacterial effectiveness Salah et al.,²⁰² Yun, Kim, and Lee,²⁰³ Liu et al.²⁰⁴ Chitosan also exhibits differential effects on gram-positive versus gram-negative bacteria,^{174,205} increasing activity against gram-positive bacteria with MW. In contrast, the opposite trend is observed with gram-negative bacteria. It remains unclear, however, whether chitosan's action is bacteriostatic (inhibiting growth) or bactericidal (inducing cell death).¹⁹³

In addition to antibacterial effects, chitosan has antifungal activity, effectively inhibiting fungal species by forming a permeable film at the cell interface and activating plant defence mechanisms.²⁰⁶ Its antifungal efficiency varies with properties such as MW and DA.²⁰⁷ Numerous modifications to chitosan have been developed to enhance solubility and antimicrobial effectiveness, introducing functional groups along polymeric chains.²⁰⁸ Common modifications include N-modification, O-modification, and combined N–O-modification, with approaches such as quaternization, carboxymethylation, and sulfonation, significantly improving solubility and antimicrobial potential.¹⁹³

Heedy et al. explored the synergistic antimicrobial effects of chitosan nanostructures,²⁰⁹ revealing that a chitosan hydrogel with nanopillars showed enhanced antimicrobial properties, markedly inhibiting the growth of the gram-negative bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*. Chitosan based nanostructures avoid unwanted toxic chemicals and harsh fabrication processes.²¹⁰ Surfaces with nanopillar topographies inspired by cicada wings,¹⁹⁴ butterfly wings,²¹¹ and shark skin²¹² exhibit antimicrobial properties. These materials act antimicrobially due to the nanopillars deforming bacterial membranes, causing oxidative stress and rupturing.²¹³

Natural polymer hydrogels have gained increasing attention compared to synthetic polymer hydrogels due to their low toxicity, excellent biocompatibility, ease of degradation, abundant availability, and lower cost.²¹⁴ Hydrogels made from chitin and chitosan can be tailored through various modifications to serve as scaffolds for ideal wound dressings or as "intelligent" hydrogels for controlled and targeted drug release.^{215–217} These hydrogels have been found to exhibit self-healing properties.²¹⁸ Keratin-based hydrogels exhibit valuable properties for wound healing, drug release, and neural regeneration.^{219,220}

6.3 Structural colour

Chitin exhibits various structural conformations shaped by natural processes such as biopolymerization, crystallization, and non-equilibrium self-assembly.¹⁷⁸ These processes produce unique physical effects, including intricate light scattering, polarization, and mechanical properties, driven by the balance between highly

structured chain conformations within nanofibrils and disordered regions.¹⁷⁸ Colours play essential roles in Nature for communication, defence, signalling, and environmental adaptation, including camouflage.^{221–224} Unlike pigments that selectively absorb light due to electron delocalization within specific molecules, structural colouration arises from scattering, interference, and diffraction at the nanoscale, with structures on the order of the wavelength of visible light.¹⁹

Chitin serves as the primary structural component in the exoskeletons of various species, providing both robustness and an adaptable colour palette.^{120,178,225,226} Recent studies have focused on understanding how butterfly wing-scale nano-structures influence light reflection at different angles. For instance, butterflies with structural colours, such as *Papilio memnon* and *Parides sesostris*, utilize grating structures with ridges and cross-ribs, producing strong orientation-dependent reflection when ridges are perpendicular to incident light.²²⁷ This orientation-based reflectance produces varied appearances based on viewing angle, which can be replicated in synthetic materials for technological applications.²²⁸

A notable example of chitin's optical properties is the blue colour of the Morpho butterfly (*Morpho peleides* see Figure 4), which displays a vibrant metallic-blue hue due to coherent scattering from layered nano- and microscale structures. Unlike





conventional multilayers, Morpho butterflies have tilted, Christmas tree-like multilayered surfaces that generate a metallic blue with minimal angle dependence.²³¹ This unique colour is produced by periodic surface nanostructures that cause diffraction from additional grooves, with organized chitin fibres in the cuticle contributing to birefringence due to their polymer orientation. Refractive indices between 1.54 and 1.62 and air-filled nanostructures enhance Morpho's brilliant, angle-independent colour.^{232,233}

Chitin also enables beetles to achieve diverse optical effects such as luminescence, iridescence, photonic structures, and polarized reflectance. Beetles like the scarab feature helicoidal nano- and microstructures in their chitin layers, selectively reflecting left-circularly polarized light.^{234,235} *Chrysomelid* beetles, for instance, utilize quasi-ordered structures that produce diffuse, non-iridescent colours through light scattering.²³⁶ At the same time, interaction with water can shift colours in both beetles and butterflies by altering refractive index and reflectance.²³⁷ Dense chitin fibril networks in species like *Cyphochilus* beetles and *Lepidiota stigma* achieve bright whiteness through multiple scattering, whereas transparent species like the glasswing butterfly (*Greta oto*) use chitin nanopillars for transparency by reducing scattering along one axis.^{238,239}

Weevils demonstrate complex three-dimensional photonic crystals, such as gyroid and diamond networks, contributing to iridescent, rainbow-like appearances.²⁴⁰ The rainbow weevil (*Pachyrrhynchus congestus*) achieves this through an inverse opal-like chitin structure with diamond symmetry.²³⁰ In crustaceans, chitin-based Bouligand layers – periodically arranged uniaxial fibre layers in a helicoidal pattern – enhance resistance to mechanical damage.^{241,242} Crustaceans typically do not display iridescence, unlike beetles, but species like green and blue crabs may use pigments combined with Bouligand layers as waveguides, creating mixed-colour effects.^{178,243}

Chitin's nanostructures enable a broad spectrum of optical and mechanical functions across species. Whether enhancing structural colour, modulating transparency, or optimizing mechanical strength, chitin nanofibrils play a central role in biological adaptations, often combined with materials like CaCO₃, proteins, and pigments in exoskeletons. These complex structural designs underscore the interplay between optical functionality and mechanical resilience in natural materials, guiding biomimetic advances in material science.^{18,244}

6.4 Pharmaceutical and biomedical properties

The remarkable attributes of chitin and chitosan, including biocompatibility,²⁴⁵ renewable sourcing,²⁴⁶ nontoxicity,¹⁷² non-allergenicity,²⁴⁷ and biodegradability,²⁴⁸

enable their use across diverse biomedical and industrial applications. These biopolymers demonstrate a wide range of biological functions, such as antifungal,²⁴⁹ antibacterial,²⁵⁰ antitumor,²⁵¹ immunoadjuvant,²⁵² anti-thrombogenic,²⁵³ and anticholesteremic properties,²⁵⁴ enhancing their value for applications in drug delivery, tissue engineering, wound care, and film production.

Chitin and chitosan can be fabricated into various forms, including powders, films, fibres, solutions, sponges, gels, beads, and capsules, enabling their use in oral, nasal, and ocular drug delivery systems, as well as in wound dressings and vaccine delivery platforms.²⁵⁵ Due to its cationic nature, chitosan can interact with cell surfaces, modulating ion transport and enhancing absorption and hydration. Its mucoadhesive properties make it particularly useful as an excipient and drug carrier, improving bioavailability and therapeutic efficacy.²⁵⁶

While its insolubility and processing challenges somewhat constrain chitin's applications, its combination with chitosan significantly expands its functional utility. Chitosan's solubility in acidic environments, coupled with its tunable chemical and physical properties that can be further enhanced through modifications, significantly increases its versatility.^{174,257}

6.4.1 Tissue engineering

Tissue engineering aims to develop materials capable of replacing damaged tissue or stimulating advanced regenerative processes within the body. Tissue engineering involves manipulating living cells by modulating their environment or genetic engineering to create biological materials suitable for implantation. Implantable materials must meet stringent criteria, including biocompatibility, functional efficiency, mechanical strength, and long-term stability.²⁵⁸

Designing polymer scaffolds for tissue engineering requires meeting several critical requirements. These include high porosity with an optimized pore size distribution and a large surface area to facilitate cell infiltration and nutrient exchange.²⁴⁵ Biodegradability is equally vital, as the scaffold's degradation rate must align with the pace of new tissue formation.²⁵⁹ Structural integrity is necessary to prevent pore collapse during tissue growth, demanding robust mechanical properties. Furthermore, the scaffold must be nontoxic and biocompatible, promoting cellular adhesion, proliferation, migration, and differentiation to support effective tissue regeneration.^{110,260}

Chitin has emerged as a promising material for scaffolds in tissue engineering due to its advantageous properties.²⁶¹ It can be moulded into various forms, including hydrogels, porous sponges, and fibrous scaffolds, each tailored to specific tissue engineering applications.²⁶² Physical or biochemical modifications often enhance chitin utility.¹⁷⁶ These modifications include blending chitin with other polymers or

chemically functionalizing its surface with sugars, peptides, or proteins to improve cell adhesion and bioactivity. For example, hybrid chitin nanofibers combined with silk fibroin or poly(glycolic acid) have been developed to support cell attachment and proliferation.^{263,264}

Chitin scaffolds find particular application in cartilage, bone, and tendon tissue engineering, where their mechanical and biological properties are critical for mimicking the natural extracellular matrix.²⁶⁵

6.4.2 Wound healing

Research has highlighted the significant potential of chitin and chitosan in accelerating wound healing, particularly for patients with impaired healing, such as those with diabetes.^{266,267} Chitin-based products, including powders, fabrics, beads, and films, have been extensively investigated for their medical applications due to their biocompatibility, safety, and versatility.²⁶⁸

Studies demonstrate the effectiveness of chitosan scaffolds and membranes in treating deep burns and chronic wounds.^{269,270} Innovative approaches, such as employing fungal mycelia to produce bioactive wound-healing membranes, further expand the applicability of chitosan-based materials.²⁷¹ Composite scaffolds, such as α -chitin/nanosilver and β -chitin/nanosilver, have exhibited superior antibacterial activity and enhanced hemostatic properties, making them promising candidates for wound care applications.^{215,272}

Clinical evaluations of chitosan-based dressings on skin graft donor sites have shown accelerated wound healing, improved tissue quality, and reduced healing times compared to conventional dressings. These advancements underscore the therapeutic potential of chitin and chitosan in wound healing.¹¹⁰

6.4.3 Drug delivery systems

Drugs typically consist of one or more pharmacologically active agents combined with a suitable carrier to facilitate administration Smolen.²⁷³ Effective drug delivery systems must meet several criteria: the active ingredient must be delivered selectively to the target site within the body, in precise amounts, and at a controlled rate over a specified period Cirillo et al.,²⁷⁴ Coelho et al.,²⁷⁵, Baharlouei and Rahman.²⁷⁶ Various polymers, including hydrogels, capsules, and tablets, are employed as carriers to achieve this. These carriers must exhibit biodegradability, biocompatibility, and non-toxicity, and their three-dimensional structure enables precise control over the timing and rate of drug release.^{168,277}

Polymers play a pivotal role in modulating the pharmacokinetics of active ingredients, enhancing therapeutic efficacy while minimizing side effects.¹⁶⁸ Natural

polymers, derived from plants, animals, fungi, and bacteria, offer distinct advantages over synthetic counterparts, such as inherent biodegradability, biocompatibility, and accessibility.²⁷⁸ Widely used natural polymers in pharmaceutical applications include cellulose, chitosan, and alginate.²⁷⁹

Chitosan, in particular, stands out for its versatility. Its properties, including solubility, bioactivity, and functionality, are influenced by its degree of deacetylation and molecular weight, allowing for tailored applications.²⁸⁰ Chitosan can be formulated into diverse dosage forms, such as tablets, capsules, hydrogels, films, membranes, and nanocomposites.²⁸¹ This adaptability enables its use in various drug delivery systems, making it an essential material for advancing precision medicine and patient-specific therapies.²⁸²

6.4.4 Cancer treatment

Chitosan and its derivatives demonstrate considerable potential in cancer therapy, particularly for targeted drug delivery and cancer cell imaging. Advances in nanoparticle engineering have enabled the development of chitosan-based systems that facilitate precise drug delivery, controlled release, and the visualization of cancerous tissues (see Section 6.4.3). Chitosan's biocompatibility, biodegradability, and ability to sustain the release of therapeutic agents also make it an attractive candidate for gene therapy applications Chuan et al.,²⁸³ Baharlouei and Rahman.²⁷⁶

Chitosan-coated nanoparticles have shown efficacy in targeting specific cancer markers, inhibiting tumour growth, and inducing apoptosis in cancer cells.^{110,284,285} The antitumor effectiveness of chitosan is influenced by its structural properties, such as degree of deacetylation, molecular weight, and the type of cancer being targeted.²⁸⁶ Research indicates that chitosan oligosaccharides with specific molecular weights exhibit significant tumour-inhibiting capabilities in animal models.^{287,288} Lower molecular weight chitosan often demonstrates enhanced antimetastatic effects and immune-stimulatory properties, critical for slowing cancer progression.²⁸⁷

However, conflicting data exist regarding the influence of molecular weight on chitosan's cytotoxicity towards cancer cells. Some studies suggest that lower molecular weight enhances antitumor activity,^{287–289} while others report no significant differences in toxicity between varying molecular weights.^{290,291} Despite these discrepancies, chitosan shows promise not only as an anticancer agent but also as a carrier for encapsulating and delivering anticancer drugs.

Further preclinical studies are required to unravel the precise mechanisms of chitosan's anticancer activity, optimize its formulation, and enhance its therapeutic efficacy. These efforts are crucial to advancing chitosan-based systems into viable clinical applications and next-generation cancer treatments.^{174,292}

6.4.5 Bone regeneration

Studies highlight the structural similarity between chitin- and chitosan-based nanofibrous scaffolds and the extracellular matrix of bone, positioning these scaffolds as promising candidates for bone regeneration applications (see Section 6.4.1). These scaffolds exhibit essential features, including a high surface area, small pore size, and substantial porosity, collectively mimicking the natural bone matrix.²⁹³ This biomimetic architecture supports cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation, facilitating osteogenesis.²⁹⁴

Incorporating carbon nanotubes into chitosan composites has significantly enhanced their mechanical strength, improving their suitability for load-bearing applications.^{295,296} Additionally, chitosan composites integrated with calcium phosphates, such as beta-tricalcium phosphate and hydroxyapatite, have demonstrated favourable outcomes in bone repair and regeneration, as evidenced by various animal studies.^{297,298} These combinations capitalise on the osteoconductive properties of calcium phosphates and the biocompatibility of chitosan to promote bone healing and integration.

Researchers have employed strategies such as reinforcing the composite matrix and designing multilayered nanocomposites to optimise chitosan-based materials for bone tissue engineering further.²⁹⁹ These approaches aim to improve mechanical properties, such as tensile strength and elasticity while maintaining biocompatibility and bioactivity.²⁴⁵ Future research directions include fine-tuning scaffold fabrication techniques, exploring novel reinforcement materials, and conducting long-term *in vivo* studies to assess the efficacy and safety of these composites in clinical settings.^{300,301} These efforts could pave the way for advanced bone tissue engineering and regenerative medicine solutions.^{110,302}

6.5 Biomimetic passive radiative cooling

Every physical object with a temperature above absolute zero (0 K or -273.15 °C) emits electromagnetic radiation, a phenomenon described by Planck's law. Within the Earth's atmosphere is a spectral range between 7.5 µm and 13 µm, referred to as the atmospheric window, in which atmospheric gases exhibit minimal absorption and are nearly transparent to infrared radiation. This transparency allows Earth's surfaces to emit thermal radiation within this range directly into outer space.³⁰³

When a surface combines high solar reflectivity with thermal solid emissivity in the atmospheric window, it can achieve cooling below ambient temperatures without requiring external energy input. This natural phenomenon, passive daytime radiative cooling (PDRC), has garnered significant interest due to its potential applications in energy-efficient cooling systems.³⁰⁴

Certain species of butterflies³⁰⁵ and ants³⁰⁶ have evolved nanostructures on their wing scales or bodies that exploit PDRC to regulate body temperature. The Saharan Silver Ant (*Cataglyphis bombycina*) possesses specialized chitinous hairs with a triangular cross-section and surface indentations.³⁰⁷ These structures efficiently reflect sunlight while enhancing infrared emission via Mie scattering and total internal reflection, enabling effective cooling in extreme desert environments. The hairs act as an antireflection layer in the mid-infrared, increasing heat emission, while the bare underside reflects heat from the hot desert ground more effectively than if it were covered with hairs.³⁰⁸

Within the triangular hairs, Mie scattering captures light, which is then emitted in multiple directions. The hairs increase reflection at certain wavelengths due to fundamental and higher-order Mie resonance. Variations in the cross-sectional sizes of the hairs smooth out these resonance peaks, resulting in a coating with enhanced broadband reflectivity.^{309,310}

Inspired by such biological strategies, researchers investigate chitosan films derived from shrimp shells for their potential to mimic these cooling properties. Engineering these biopolymer-based materials to exhibit high solar reflectivity and tailored emissivity within the atmospheric window holds promise for sustainable cooling applications, offering a biomimetic approach to passive thermal management.³¹¹

7 Biomimetics using keratin structures

Keratin is a structural protein with diverse morphologies tailored to its functions, from providing waterproof barriers to forming impact-resistant materials.³¹² Unlike collagen, another prominent structural protein, keratin assembles through the twisting of polypeptide chains into a coiled-coil configuration.³¹³ Its structure consists of crystalline and amorphous regions, resulting in a combination of high toughness and a substantial Young's modulus, enabling it to resist deformation under stress.

A defining feature of keratin is its abundance of cysteine residues, which form robust disulfide bonds.³¹⁴ These bonds cross-link the protein chains and matrix molecules, enhancing mechanical strength and stability.³¹⁵ The hierarchical organization of keratin, from its molecular configuration to its macroscopic structure, contributes to its remarkable versatility and mechanical properties, solidifying its role as an essential biomaterial in Nature.¹¹⁵

7.1 Hydrophobic and bactericidal properties

Hydrophobicity is a crucial surface property often observed in natural systems. Known as the "lotus effect", micro- and nanoscale surface roughness minimizes the contact area of water droplets, promoting self-cleaning by enabling droplets to roll off at small tilt angles, carrying dirt and debris.³¹⁶ Despite keratin's inherent hydrophilic tendencies, this mechanism keeps surfaces dry and clean in organisms such as lotus plants and geckos.

An exemplary application of this property is the anti-icing ability of penguin feathers.³¹⁷ Their micro- and nanostructured surfaces trap air within crevices, preventing water adhesion and freezing. This air entrapment is a thermal barrier, reducing ice formation and heat transfer. Penguin feathers' barbules and hamuli (hook-like structures) feature grooves approximately 100 nm deep, which contribute to the roughness essential for air pocket formation.^{8,317} Hamuli are also found in the hindwings of Hymenoptera (bees, wasps, ants, and sawflies), where they connect forewings and hindwings, enhancing aerodynamic stability and efficiency during flight.³¹⁸

Various natural surfaces exhibit bactericidal properties, such as dragonfly wings,³¹⁹ cicadas wings(chitin-based),¹⁹⁴ and geckos (keratin-based).³²⁰ These surfaces disrupt bacterial membranes, with some demonstrating both antibiofouling and bactericidal effects.^{321,322} In lizards and geckos, the outer layer of the skin, the "Oberhäutchen" (upper membrane), is composed of β -keratin. This layer often contains small spinules, which confer superhydrophobic properties, repel water, and hinder bacterial colonization. Gecko skin has been found to exhibit antibacterial properties, achieving an 88 % efficacy against gram-negative bacteria and a 66 % efficacy against gram-positive bacteria.³²³

Gecko skin exhibits a static water contact angle of 151°–155°, qualifying it as superhydrophobic (contact angles >150°³²⁴).³²⁵ Unlike surfaces such as insect hairs or penguin feathers, where intricate roughness patterns dominate, gecko skin's superhydrophobicity arises primarily from spinules' density.³²⁵ These structures prevent water accumulation and enable self-cleaning by facilitating droplet coalescence and runoff with minimal disturbance, effectively removing bacteria and debris.³²⁶

These findings highlight the multi-functionality of gecko skin in resisting microbial growth and maintaining a clean, water-repellent surface, as well as the remarkable adhesive system geckos possess, which is discussed in detail in Section 7.6, offering significant inspiration for biomimetic material design.

7.2 Structural color

It is crucial to distinguish between chemical and structural colours arising from fundamentally different processes. Chemical colours result from the selective absorption and reflection of light by pigments, where specific wavelengths are absorbed by conjugated electron systems within the pigments. The absorbed energy excites electrons to higher states and is later dissipated as heat, emitted as fluorescence, or transferred to other molecules.^{327,328}

Structural colours, in contrast, arise when incident light is reflected, scattered, or deflected by structures on the scale of light's wavelengths (380–700 nm), with minimal energy exchange between the light and the material. This type of colouration can produce vibrant, iridescent hues. Five fundamental physical phenomena contribute to structural colouration: multilayer interference, scattering, diffraction, thin film interference, and photonic crystals.¹⁹ Many of the green, blue, and violet colours observed in Nature and all iridescent colours result from structural colouration. Such colours are typical in birds, insects, plants, algae, and viruses. The morphologies of such nanostructures include multilayer structures (such as in the iridescent throat patch of hummingbirds), two-dimensional photonic crystals (such as in the peacock and in mallard feathers) or spinodal-like channel structures (such as in the *Eurasian Jay Garrulus glandarius*).^{8,329–331}

In avian species, non-iridescent structural colours are often due to light scattering within nanostructures composed of β -keratin and air within the medullary cells of feather barb rami (branches of the feather's central shaft).³³² These nanostructures can be categorized into two main morphological types: 'channel' nanostructures, which feature elongated, tortuous air channels surrounded by β -keratin bars, and 'spherical' nanostructures, which consist of spherical air cavities encased in thin β -keratin bars, sometimes interconnected by small passages.³³³ These structures form through self-assembly, creating multi-layered assemblies of β -keratin alongside pigment-based proteins such as melanin or carotenoids. The vivid colours observed in bird feathers result from combining nanostructure colouring and colour pigment mixing.³³⁴

Despite its relatively low refractive index (~1.5), β -keratin significantly produces high reflectance and vibrant colouration when incorporated into multi-layered structures.^{8,334} Studies by Jeon et al. and colleagues explored how the keratin cortex surrounding multi-layered melanosomes in common feather barbules influences feather colour.³²⁹ They discovered that altering the thickness of the keratin cortex could substantially affect the colouration, particularly the hue, while leaving other optical properties, such as saturation and brightness, largely unaffected. Both optical simulations and empirical observations underscored the importance of the keratin cortex in regulating feather colour, offering a detailed understanding of how structural elements influence colour perception.

7.3 Specific mechanical properties

Keratin-based materials, widely found in Nature, are integral to the mechanical performance of organisms, offering load-bearing capacity, impact resistance, and protective functions. One of keratin's distinctive features is its hydration-dependent mechanical properties. When dry, keratin exhibits a high stiffness and load-bearing capacity with Young's modulus of approximately 10 GPa.^{335–337} In contrast, it becomes ductile when fully hydrated and exhibits a significantly reduced Young's modulus (~0.1 GPa), highlighting its remarkable adaptability to varying environmental conditions.^{336,338}

Keratin materials display higher-order assemblies with geometric complexity across multiple length scales, contributing to their mechanical excellence.³³⁹ For example, the hoof walls of horses and bovines illustrate how keratin's higher-order architecture supports energy dissipation and structural resilience. Horse hooves, subject to impact speeds of around eight m/s and forces approximating 16.1 N/kg, are primarily composed of dead keratinocytes, providing resilience without self-repair.^{337,340} The hoof wall features a mesoscale architecture of hollow tubules (~40 µm in diameter) encased in rigid elliptical regions (200 µm × 100 µm) embedded within a lamellar matrix of keratinocytes (Figure 5). This arrangement enhances fracture toughness by controlling crack propagation and redirection. The intertubular matrix, tubule orientation, and the volume fraction of intermediate filaments (IFs) vary through the hoof wall's thickness, contributing to fracture resistance. Cracks initiated along tubules often divert outward by intertubular materials, sparing inner living tissues.^{336,337,341,342} Fracture surfaces reveal energy dissipation mechanisms within IFs, keratin matrices, cell boundaries, and tubular components, ensuring efficient crack deflection and damage resistance. Hydration aids in restoring the initial shape of compressed keratin samples, demonstrating the material's reversible deformation capabilities.³⁴³

Fingernails and claws, composed of keratin, further illustrate its structural diversity. These structures, critical for providing rigid support and protecting soft pads, consist of a sandwich-like tri-laminar architecture: a dorsal layer, a transverse-fibre-dominated intermediate layer and a thin ventral layer.¹¹⁵ The fibre orientation influences fracture behaviour, with cracks typically propagating parallel to the nail's free edge, avoiding damage to underlying tissues. Lamellar epithelial



Figure 5: Hierarchical structure of the horse hoof wall, from nanoscale intermediate filaments to macroscale features. The diagram traces the formation of intermediate filaments into microfibrils, their organization within keratinocytes, hollow tubular alignment, and their integration into the hoof wall, showcasing the multiscale design contributing to its mechanical strength. Source:⁸ licensed under CC BY.

cells within these layers, combined with surface sutures, enhance bonding and structural integrity. $^{\rm 344}$

Environmental humidity significantly affects keratin's mechanical properties, with increased moisture leading to reduced tensile strength.³⁴⁵ This variability underscores keratin's adaptability and its pivotal role in structural biology. From hooves to claws and nails, keratin's multi-scale architecture and hydration-dependent properties exemplify its biological significance and potential for biomimetic applications.

7.4 Thermal insulation

Keratinous systems are highly effective thermal insulators due to their hierarchical structures and porous properties, enabling efficient air trapping.³⁴⁶ These natural materials help regulate body temperatures in animals such as polar bears and penguins, enabling survival in extreme environments.³⁴⁷

Penguin feathers include contour (body) and down (plume) feathers, each with distinct structures, roles and localisation.³⁴⁸ Down feathers primarily comprise thermal insulation, characterised by a branched hierarchical arrangement.³⁴⁹ Their structure features a central shaft (calamus) from which barbs and barbules



Figure 6: Cross-sectional micrographs of a porcupine quill: (a, b) SEM images showing the horizontal cross-section of the quill prior to compression, highlighting its structural integrity. (c, d) SEM images of the vertical cross-section post-compression, revealing deformation patterns and mechanical response. Source:³⁶⁰ licensed under CC BY.

DE GRUYTER

extend, interlocked by hamuli for enhanced stability. The barbs contain a cellular core with progressively increasing porosity toward the centre, creating a foam-like structure that maximises surface area for heat retention and provides exceptional insulation.^{350,351} This structural adaptation parallels the design of porcupine quills, which also exploit porosity and hierarchy for lightweight yet effective insulation.³⁵² This arrangement is similar to porcupine quills (see Section 7.5, Figure 6).

Polar bear fur, by contrast, achieves insulation through its unique dual-layered hair system.³⁵³ The hollow guard hairs absorb ultraviolet light and channel it to the bear's black skin, where it generates heat.³⁵⁴ These hollow hairs and their air-filled medulla minimise heat loss while providing mechanical resilience. The overlapping keratinocyte-derived scales comprise the rough surface of the hair.^{355,356} Pores in these scales connect to the cortex, tightly packed with keratin fibre bundles. These fibres, composed of coiled-coil *a*-keratin, are aligned along the hair shaft. Between the fibres, tubular pores prevent crack propagation, ensuring flexibility, mechanical strength, and durability.³⁵⁷

The medulla's architecture distinguishes between penguin feathers and polar bear hair. Penguin feathers exhibit foam-like porosity, whereas polar bear guard hairs contain hollow vacuoles.³⁵⁶ Despite these differences, both systems demonstrate that hierarchical structures and porosity are fundamental to thermal insulation. Penguin feathers consist of β -keratin sheets, while polar bear hair comprises α -keratin. Both materials feature wrinkled outer surfaces, porosity within and on the main shafts, aligned keratin bundles in the cortex, and tubular porosity between these bundles.^{356,358,359}

7.5 Lightweight structures

Sandwich structures are widely utilized in engineering applications due to their unique combination of ultra-lightweight composition, efficient energy absorption, and mechanical strength comparable to bulk materials.³⁶¹ These structures achieve tailored performance by modifying the material properties and geometry of their face layers (outer cortex) and core (foam-like interior).^{362,363} Typically, sandwich structures incorporate a high Young's modulus material in the face layers for stiffness and a low Young's modulus core for weight reduction, resulting in a lightweight and structurally robust material.^{364,365} Such structures often exhibit rectangular or cylindrical cross-sections optimized for specific mechanical needs.³⁶¹

Keratin-based systems such as beaks, quills, feathers, spines, and baleen are biological analogues of engineered sandwich structures.^{366–368} These natural designs often utilize the same material in the face and core but in different physical forms: a dense, compact face layer and a porous, foam-like core. For instance, porcupine

quills, primarily composed of alpha-keratin, exemplify this arrangement³⁶⁷ (Figure 6). These quills possess a thin-walled cylindrical cortex surrounding a closed-cell foam core, providing resilience against compressive and flexural loads.³⁶⁷ Experiments reveal that the foam core stabilizes the structure under load, mitigating severe failures like buckling in hollow or foam-free quills.³⁶⁰ The outer shell buckles into a wavy pattern upon compression, but the foam core maintains integrity and energy absorption.³⁶⁶

Similarly, hedgehog spines exhibit comparable sandwich structures reinforced with longitudinal stringers and transverse plates.³⁶⁹ While structurally similar to porcupine quills, hedgehog spines differ in function, serving primarily as shock absorbers during falls. In contrast, porcupine quills act as a defensive mechanism, detaching from the body and inflicting injury upon predators. These natural designs demonstrate the potential of lightweight, resilient materials in bioinspired engineering.

The combination of dense outer layers and porous cores in keratin-based systems serves as a model for designing advanced materials. By integrating foam within a rigid outer layer, these natural structures achieve remarkable mechanical properties such as impact resistance and energy dissipation, all while maintaining a low mass.^{8,109} This bioinspired approach has significant implications for developing efficient, lightweight materials for modern engineering applications.

7.6 Reversible adhesion

Flight feathers have an additional feature. Their barbules overlap those of neighboring filaments and hook them onto one another so that they are united into a continuous vane. There are several hundred such hooks on a single barbule, a million or so in a single feather, and a bird the size of a swan has about twenty-five thousand feathers.

(David Attenborough,³⁷⁰)

Reversible adhesion refers to the ability to adhere and detach from surfaces repeatedly without causing damage to the substrate or the adhesive organ itself.³⁷² This capability, widely observed in Nature, can be categorized into various mechanisms, including dry adhesion (e.g., van der Waals forces), wet adhesion (e.g., capillary forces), mechanical interlocking friction, chemical bonding, and suction resulting from pressure differences.^{373,374} The specific mechanism employed by an organism often depends on its environmental conditions and the functional requirements of its adhesive organ. Various natural systems leverage hierarchical and nanostructured surfaces, such as those seen in keratin-based materials, to optimize adhesion properties.^{8,375,376}

Feather vanes provide an illustrative example of mechanical interlocking friction. Bird feathers exhibit interlocking mechanisms comprising tiny hooks (hamuli) on the barbules, which latch onto adjacent barbs to form a cohesive vane.^{350,377} This structure is essential for maintaining the feather's aerodynamic and mechanical integrity during flight.^{350,378,379} The directional permeability of feather vanes facilitates efficient air capture, enhancing lift. The branching barbs and attached barbule network, supported by the rachis, form a lattice structure.³⁷⁹ The hamuli ensure a secure connection, while these components' geometric arrangement and rigidity contribute to efficient energy transfer and flexibility.^{380,381} This interlocking system allows birds to "zip" and "unzip" their feathers during preening, restoring functionality and ensuring durability under mechanical stress.³⁸²

The gecko's adhesive system exemplifies dry reversible adhesion. Gecko feet are equipped with adhesive pads composed of microscopic and nanoscale setae arrays, which branch hierarchically into spatula-shaped tips.^{383,384} This configuration maximizes the contact area with the surface, enabling strong adhesion.³⁷⁶ The hierarchical system consists of lamellae (soft ridges), densely packed setae (composed of beta-keratin), and spatulae at the nanoscale (Figure 7). The spatulae conform to surface irregularities, allowing geckos to generate adhesion forces primarily through van der Waals interactions.³⁸⁵ These weak intermolecular forces provide a non-destructive yet strong attachment, which can detach instantly via a peeling motion.³⁸⁶ Geckos achieve detachment by altering the angle of setae relative to the surface, allowing rapid movement without residue or wear.^{384,387}

Van der Waals forces (Eq. (1)) arise due to interactions between molecular dipoles and are influenced by the separation distance (D) and the Hamaker constant (A).³⁸⁸ Despite being weak, these forces operate universally across all materials, ranging from approximately 0.3 to 50 nm.^{388,389} They are central in gecko adhesion, mainly when dry conditions dominate surface interactions.³⁷⁶

$$f_{\nu dW} = \frac{A}{6\pi D^3} \tag{1}$$

The capillary adhesion model suggests liquid-mediated contact could enhance gecko adhesion under certain conditions.^{390,391} Capillary forces result from liquid condensation in the contact area, forming menisci that bridge the surfaces.³⁹² These forces arise from Laplace pressure (difference across the curved liquid interface) and surface tension Orr, Scriven, and Rivas,³⁹³ Bhushan.³⁹⁴ Relative humidity influences the adhesion strength, as increased humidity promotes capillary condensation.³⁸⁶ Experimental studies and computational models have shown that adhesion decreases with increasing contact angles, supporting the hypothesis that capillary forces complement van der Waals interactions in humid environments.^{386,395}



Figure 7: Hierarchical structure of the gecko adhesive system. (A) Ventral view of the tokay gecko climbing vertical glass. (B) Ventral view of the foot, with adhesive lamellae visible as overlapping pads. (C) Single lamella, with arrays of setae visible. (D) Single seta with branched structure. (E) Hundreds of spatulae tips reaching out of the seta.³⁷¹ Source.³⁷¹ permission granted. Copyright (2005) National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.

Nature's reversible adhesive systems inspire the development of advanced materials. The hierarchical architecture, interlocking mechanisms, and the interplay of multiple adhesion forces highlight the efficiency of natural designs.

8 Summary, conclusions and outlook

This work showcases current research while guiding and inspiring the chemical research community to explore the combined functional potential of biomimetic

hierarchical (nano)structures in chitin, chitosan, and keratin. Emphasizing these structured biopolymers' strength, durability, and sustainability possibilities highlights their relevance for high-value applications across diverse technological domains.

By harnessing the natural design principles of these biopolymers, research can create innovative, sustainable materials that address modern engineering challenges while ensuring environmental compatibility. This strategy avoids the toxicity, biodegradability, and waste issues often associated with synthetic materials, offering significant advantages in industries such as medicine, (bio)chemistry, energy-efficient construction, advanced textiles, pharmaceuticals, packaging, cooling technologies (e.g., facades, computer parts, clothing), and colourants.

Valorizing waste materials rich in chitin and keratin – such as shrimp shells and poultry feathers – addresses critical sustainability challenges by employing waste into high-value, biodegradable alternatives to synthetic polymers. These bioinspired materials reduce environmental impact and provide solutions in areas like passive cooling technologies, self-cleaning surfaces, and advanced drug delivery systems.

Future research should focus on elucidating hierarchical design principles to engineer advanced biomimetic materials with customized properties, fully leveraging the potential of chitin and keratin. Developing cost-effective, scalable, and sustainable strategies for recycling keratin- and chitin-rich waste is critical for ensuring these applications' economic feasibility and environmental compatibility. Advancements in biotechnology can further augment the functional versatility of these biopolymers, enabling broader utilization across diverse sectors. Promoting interdisciplinary collaboration among chemistry, biomimetics, materials science, nanotechnology, and bioengineering will catalyze innovation and unlock new opportunities for these versatile, sustainable materials.

While challenges such as processing scalability and competition with established synthetic materials remain, integrating chitin- and keratin-based materials into industrial applications aligns with global sustainability frameworks. It supports the development of a circular economy. Continued investment in research and innovation will solidify their role as eco-friendly, high-performance materials, exemplifying the convergence of biology and technology to address pressing global challenges.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank generations of their students who have performed specialist studies on cicadas, butterflies, and Silver Ants regarding their nanostructure-based functionalities. Thank you, dear Alexander Bürger, Viola Kaser, Florian Zischka, Markus Moser and Markus Zimmerl for your fabulous work! **Research ethics:** Not applicable.

Informed consent: Not applicable.

Author contributions: All authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this manuscript and approved its submission.

Use of Large Language Models, AI and Machine Learning Tools: AI tools were used to improve English sentence clarity, grammar, and formulation.

Conflict of interest: The author states no conflict of interest.

Research funding: The authors acknowledge TU Wien Bibliothek for financial support through its Open Access Funding Programme. FFG Grant No. 53090840 to I.C. Gebeshuber.

Data availability: Not applicable.

References

- 1. Durkin, C. A.; Mock, T.; Armbrust, E. V. Chitin in Diatoms and its Association with the Cell Wall. *Eukaryotic Cell* **2009**, *8* (7), 1038–1050.
- 2. Roelofsen, P. A.; Hoette, I. Chitin in the Cell Wall of Yeasts. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 1951, 17 (1), 297–313.
- 3. Brown, H. E.; Esher, S. K.; Alspaugh, J. A. Chitin: A "Hidden Figure" in the "Fungal Cell Wall". In *The Fungal Cell Wall*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp 83–111.
- 4. Moussian, B. Chitin: Structure, Chemistry and Biology. In *Targeting Chitin-Containing Organisms*; Springer: Singapore, 2019; pp. 5–18.
- Machałowski, T.; Wysokowski, M.; Żółtowska-Aksamitowska, S.; Bechmann, N.; Binnewerg, B.; Schubert, M.; Guan, K.; Bornstein, S. R.; Czaczyk, K.; Pokrovsky, O.; Kraft, M.; Bertau, M.; Schimpf, C.; Rafaja, D.; Tsurkan, M.; Galli, R.; Meissner, H.; Petrenko, I.; Fursov, A.; Voronkina, A.; Figlerowicz, M.; Joseph, Y.; Jesionowski, T.; Ehrlich, H. Spider Chitin. The Biomimetic Potential and Applications of Caribena Versicolor Tubular Chitin. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2019**, *226*, 115301.
- 6. Kurita, K. Chitin and Chitosan: Functional Biopolymers from Marine Crustaceans. *Mar. Biotechnol.* **2006**, *8* (3), 203–226.
- Zakrzewski, A.-C.; Weigert, A.; Helm, C.; Adamski, M.; Adamska, M.; Bleidorn, C.; Raible, F.; Hausen, H. Early Divergence, Broad Distribution, and High Diversity of Animal Chitin Synthases. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 2014, 6 (2), 316–325.
- 8. Lazarus, B. S.; Chadha, C.; Velasco-Hogan, A.; Barbosa, J. D.; Jasiuk, I.; Meyers, M. A. Engineering with Keratin: A Functional Material and a Source of Bioinspiration. *iScience* **2021**, *24* (8), 102798.
- 9. Bragulla, H. H.; Homberger, D. G. Structure and Functions of Keratin Proteins in Simple, Stratified, Keratinized and Cornified Epithelia. *J. Anat.* **2009**, *214* (4), 516–559.
- 10. Ward, W. H.; Lundgren, H. P. The Formation, Composition, and Properties of the Keratins. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **1954**, 243–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-3233(08)60208-9.
- 11. Muzzarelli, R. A. A. Chitin Nanostructures in Living Organisms. In *Chitin*; Springer: Netherlands, 2010; pp. 1–34.
- van Nieuwenhoven, R. W.; Drack, M.; Gebeshuber, I. C. Engineered Materials: Bioinspired "Good Enough" versus Maximized Performance. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2023, *34* (35). https://doi.org/10.1002/ adfm.202307127.
- Gillespie, J. M. The Proteins of Hair and Other Hard α-Keratins. In *Cellular and Molecular Biology of Intermediate Filaments*; Springer US: New York, USA, 1990; pp 95–128.

- Parbhu, A. N.; Bryson, W. G.; Lal, R. Disulfide Bonds in the Outer Layer of Keratin Fibers Confer Higher Mechanical Rigidity: Correlative Nano-Indentation and Elasticity Measurement with an AFM. *Biochemistry* 1999, *38* (36), 11755–11761.
- Harland, D. P.; Popescu, C.; Richena, M.; Deb-Choudhury, S.; Wichlatz, C.; Lee, E.; Plowman, J. E. The Susceptibility of Disulfide Bonds to Modification in Keratin Fibers Undergoing Tensile Stress. *Biophys. J.* 2022, *121* (11), 2168–2179.
- 16. Dweltz, N. E. The Structure of Chitin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1960**, 44, 416–435.
- 17. Grunenfelder, L. K.; Herrera, S.; Kisailus, D. Crustacean-Derived Biomimetic Components and Nanostructured Composites. *Small* **2014**, *10* (16), 3207–3232.
- Bai, L.; Liu, L.; Esquivel, M.; Tardy, B. L.; Huan, S.; Niu, X.; Liu, S.; Yang, G.; Fan, Y.; Rojas, O. J. Nanochitin: Chemistry, Structure, Assembly, and Applications. *Chem. Rev.* 2022, *122* (13), 11604–11674.
- 19. Kinoshita, S. Structural Colors in the Realm of Nature; World Scientific: Singapore, 2008.
- Kumar, N. S.; Padma Suvarna, R.; Chandra Babu Naidu, K.; Banerjee, P.; Ratnamala, A.; Manjunatha, H. A Review on Biological and Biomimetic Materials and Their Applications. *Appl. Phys. A* 2020, *126* (6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-020-03633-z.
- Aththanayaka, S.; Thiripuranathar, G.; Ekanayake, S. Emerging Advances in Biomimetic Synthesis of Nanocomposites and Potential Applications. *Mater. Today Sustain.* 2022, 20, 100206.
- Piszter, G.; Kertész, K.; Nagy, G.; Kovács, D.; Zámbó, D.; Baji, Z.; Pap, J. S.; Biró, L. P. Photocatalytic Bioheteronanostructures by Integrating Multicomponent Cu2O–Au Nanoparticles into ZnO-Coated Butterfly Wings Colored by Photonic Nanoarchitectures. J. Mater. Sci. 2024, 59 (38), 17877–17896.
- 23. Chatterjee, A. At the Intersection of Natural Structural Coloration and Bioengineering. *Biomimetics* **2022**, 7 (2), 66.
- 24. Biswal, T. Future Perspectives of Biopolymeric Industry. Phys. Sci. Rev. 2023, 9 (9), 2965–2988.
- Ur Rehman, N.; Ullah, K. S.; Sajid, M.; Ihsanullah, I.; Waheed, A. Preparation of Sustainable Composite Materials from Bio-Based Domestic and Industrial Waste: Progress, Problems, and Prospects-A Review. *Adv. Sustain. Syst.* **2024**, *8* (8). https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.202300587.
- Nachtigall, W. Zehn Grundprinzipien natürlicher Konstruktionen "10 Gebote bionischen Designs". In *Vorbild Natur: Bionik-Design für funktionelles Gestalten*; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997; pp. 21–34.
- Shubha, A.; Sharmita, G.; Anita, L. Production and Characterization of Human Hair Keratin Bioplastic Films with Novel Plasticizers. *Sci. Rep.* 2024, *14* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-44905-x.
- 28. Sharma, S.; Gupta, A.; Kumar, A. Keratin: An Introduction. In *Keratin as a Protein Biopolymer*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp 1–18.
- 29. Wyld, J. A.; Brush, A. H. Keratin Diversity in the Reptilian Epidermis. *J. Exp. Zool.* **1983**, *225* (3), 387–396.
- Zhao, X.; Zhang, J.; Zhu, K. Y. Chito-Protein Matrices in Arthropod Exoskeletons and Peritrophic Matrices. In *Extracellular Sugar-Based Biopolymers Matrices*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp 3–56.
- Iber, B. T.; Azman Kasan, N.; Torsabo, D.; Wese Omuwa, J. A Review of Various Sources of Chitin and Chitosan in Nature. J. Renew. Mater. 2022, 10 (4), 1097–1123.
- 32. Gooday, G. W. Cell Walls. In *The Growing Fungus*; Springer: Netherlands, 1995; pp. 43-62.
- 33. Hamed, I.; Özogul, F.; Regenstein, J. M. Industrial Applications of Crustacean By-Products (Chitin, Chitosan, and Chitooligosaccharides): A Review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *48*, 40–50.
- Youn, D. K.; No, H. K.; Prinyawiwatkul, W. Preparation and Characteristics of Squid Pen β-chitin Prepared under Optimal Deproteinisation and Demineralisation Condition. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2012, 48 (3), 571–577.

- Jung, H.-S.; Kim, M. H.; Park, W. H. Preparation and Structural Investigation of Novel β-Chitin Nanocrystals from Cuttlefish Bone. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2019**, *5* (4), 1744–1752.
- 36. Hahn, T.; Roth, A.; Ji, R.; Schmitt, E.; Zibek, S. Chitosan Production with Larval Exoskeletons Derived from the Insect Protein Production. *J. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *310*, 62–67.
- Kaur, S.; Dhillon, G. S. Recent Trends in Biological Extraction of Chitin from Marine Shell Wastes: A Review. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2013, 35 (1), 44–61.
- Ngasotter, S.; Sampath, L.; Xavier, K. A. M. Nanochitin: An Update Review on Advances in Preparation Methods and Food Applications. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2022, *291*, 119627.
- 39. Synowiecki, J.; Ali Al-Khateeb, N. Production, Properties, and Some New Applications of Chitin and its Derivatives. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2003**, *43* (2), 145–171.
- 40. Kumawat, T. K.; Sharma, A.; Sharma, V.; Chandra, S. Keratin Waste: The Biodegradable Polymers. In *Keratin*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2018.
- Zhou, Y.; He, Y.; Lin, X.; Feng, Y.; Liu, M. Sustainable, High-Performance, and Biodegradable Plastics Made from Chitin. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2022, 14 (41), 46980–46993.
- 42. Ling, S., Ed. Fibrous Proteins: Design, Synthesis, and Assembly; Humana Press: New York, USA, 2021.
- Jacob, J. T.; Coulombe, P. A.; Kwan, R.; Omary, M. B. Types I and II Keratin Intermediate Filaments. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* 2018, *10* (4), a018275.
- 44. Feroz, S.; Muhammad, N.; Ratnayake, J.; Dias, G. Keratin Based Materials for Biomedical Applications. *Bioact. Mater.* **2020**, *5* (3), 496–509.
- Naleway, S. E.; Taylor, J. R.; Porter, M. M.; Meyers, M. A.; McKittrick, J. Structure and Mechanical Properties of Selected Protective Systems in Marine Organisms. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2016, *59*, 1143–1167.
- 46. Kunert, J. Physiology of Keratinophilic Fungi. Rev. Iberoam. De. Micol. 2000, 1, 77-85.
- 47. Sharma, R.; Rajak, R. C. Keratinophilic Fungi: Nature's Keratin Degrading Machines!: Their Isolation, Identification and Ecological Role. *Resonance* **2003**, *8* (9), 28–40.
- Brandelli, A. Bacterial Keratinases: Useful Enzymes for Bioprocessing Agroindustrial Wastes and beyond. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* 2007, 1 (2), 105–116.
- Yan, R.-R.; Gong, J. S.; Su, C.; Liu, Y. L.; Qian, J. Y.; Xu, Z. H.; Shi, J. S. Preparation and Applications of Keratin Biomaterials from Natural Keratin Wastes. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2022, 106 (7), 2349–2366.
- Santos, M. M. F.; Grisi, C. V. B.; Lima, D. A. S.; Florentino, G. I. B.; Ferreira, V. C. D. S.; Madruga, M. S.; Silva, F. A. P. D. Biodegradable and Active Film Based on Keratin and Protein Hydrolysate of Free-Range Chicken Feathers: Structural and Antioxidant Properties. *Food Biosci.* 2024, *62*, 105048.
- Sharma, S.; Gupta, A. Sustainable Management of Keratin Waste Biomass: Applications and Future Perspectives. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 2016, *59*. https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4324-2016150684.
- Kasulla, S.; Malik, S. J. Enzymatic Pre-Treatment of Chicken Feather Waste to Generate Biogas. *Int. J. Trend Sci. Res. Dev.* 2021, 5 (5), 510–514.
- Patil, R.; Wagh, C.; Kavathekar, B. Microbial Degradation of Keratin Waste: A Review. In *National Conference on Environment Pollution Control and Management (EPCM-2019)*; College of Engineering: Pune, 2019.
- 54. Muduli, S.; Champatin, A.; Popalghat, H. K.; Patel, P.; Sneha, K. Poultry Waste Management: An Approach for Sustainable Development. *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Res.* **2019**, *4* (1), 8–14.
- Senthilkumar, N.; Chowdhury, S.; Sanpui, P. Extraction of Keratin from Keratinous Wastes: Current Status and Future Directions. *J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag.* 2022, *25* (1), 1–16.
- Wang, Y.-X.; Cao, X.-J. Extracting Keratin from Chicken Feathers by Using a Hydrophobic Ionic Liquid. Process Biochem. 2012, 47 (5), 896–899.

- Khumalo, M.; Sithole, B.; Tesfaye, T. Valorisation of Waste Chicken Feathers: Optimisation of Keratin Extraction from Waste Chicken Feathers by Sodium Bisulphite, Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate and Urea. *J. Environ. Manage*. 2020, *262*, 110329.
- Rajabinejad, H.; Buciscanu, I.-I.; Maier, S. S. Current Approaches for Raw Wool Waste Management and Unconventional Valorization: A Review. *Environ. Eng. Manag. J.* 2019, *18* (7), 1439–1456.
- Allafi, F.; Hossain, M. S.; Lalung, J.; Shaah, M.; Salehabadi, A.; Ahmad, M. I.; Shadi, A. Advancements in Applications of Natural Wool Fiber: Review. J. Nat. Fibers 2020, 19 (2), 497–512.
- Cardamone, J. M.; Nuñez, A.; Garcia, R. A.; Aldema-Ramos, M. Characterizing Wool Keratin. Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2009, 2009 (1), 147175.
- 61. Ozek, H. Z. Wool Production Steps and Global Trade with Recent Statistics. In *The Wool Handbook*; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2024; pp 25–74.
- 62. Textile Exchange. *Preferred Fiber & Materials Market Report 2021*, 2021. https://textileexchange.org/ (accessed 2024-11-26).
- 63. Pattinson, R.; Wilcox, C.; Williams, S.; Curtis, K. *The Wool Supply Chain and Selling System in New South Wales (Supporting Paper: 3). NSW Wool Industries and Future Opportunities Report*; NSW Department of Primary Industries, 2015.
- 64. Henry, B. Understanding the Environmental Impacts of Wool: A Review of Life Cycle Assessment Studies; International Wool Textile Organisation: Brussels, 2012.
- Kulkarni, M. B.; Gavande, V.; Mahanwar, P. A.; Shah, A. R.; Shuib, R. K.; Khare, A.; Radhakrishnan, S. Review on Biomass Sheep Wool–Based Polymer Composites. *Biomass Convers. Biorefin.* 2023, 14, 30961–30982.
- Augère-Granier, M.-L. *The EU Pig Meat Sector*, 2020. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/ etudes/BRIE/2020/652044/EPRS_BRI(2020)652044_EN.pdf (accessed 2024-11-6).
- Brown, E. M.; Pandya, K.; Taylor, M. M.; Liu, C. K. Comparison of Methods for Extraction of Keratin from Waste Wool. *Agric. Sci.* 2016, 7 (10), 670–679.
- 68. Alahyaribeik, S.; Ullah, A. Methods of Keratin Extraction from Poultry Feathers and Their Effects on Antioxidant Activity of Extracted Keratin. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2020**, *148*, 449–456.
- Hussain, F. S.; Memon, N. Recent Developments in Extraction of Keratin from Industrial Wastes. In Extraction of Natural Products from Agro-Industrial Wastes; Elsevier: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2023; pp 281–302.
- Staroń, P.; Banach, M.; Kowalski, Z.; Staroń, A. Hydrolysis of Keratin Materials Derived from Poultry Industry. *Proc. ECOpole* 2014, 8 (2), 443–448.
- Bhavsar, P. S.; Zoccola, M.; Patrucco, A.; Montarsolo, A.; Mossotti, R.; Giansetti, M.; Rovero, G.; Maier, S. S.; Muresan, A.; Tonin, C. Superheated Water Hydrolyzed Keratin: A New Application as a Foaming Agent in Foam Dyeing of Cotton and Wool Fabrics. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2017, 5 (10), 9150–9159.
- Chilakamarry, C. R.; Mahmood, S.; Saffe, S. N. B. M.; Arifin, M. A. B.; Gupta, A.; Sikkandar, M. Y.; Begum, S. S.; Narasaiah, B. Extraction and Application of Keratin from Natural Resources: A Review. *3 Biotech* **2021**, *11* (5). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-021-02734-7.
- Singh, J.; Laurenti, R.; Sinha, R.; Frostell, B. Progress and Challenges to the Global Waste Management System. *Waste Manag. Res. J. Sustain. Circular Econ.* 2014, 32 (9), 800–812.
- 74. Morone, P.; Koutinas, A.; Gathergood, N.; Arshadi, M.; Matharu, A. Food Waste: Challenges and Opportunities for Enhancing the Emerging Bio-Economy. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2019**, *221*, 10–16.
- 75. Yan, N.; Chen, X. Sustainability: Don't Waste Seafood Waste. *Nature* **2015**, *524* (7564), 155–157.
- Chandrasekharan, M. Biotechnology for Utilization of Marine Byproducts. In *Fish Processing Byproducts*; Sachindra, N. M.; Studium Press: Houston, TX, 2015; pp. 43–62.
- 77. Philibert, T.; Lee, B. H.; Fabien, N. Current Status and New Perspectives on Chitin and Chitosan as Functional Biopolymers. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *181* (4), 1314–1337.

- Lal, J.; Deb, S.; Singh, S. K.; Biswas, P.; Debbarma, R.; Yadav, N. K.; Debbarma, S.; Vaishnav, A.; Meena, D. K.; Waikhom, G.; Patel, A. B. Diverse Uses of Valuable Seafood Processing Industry Waste for Sustainability: A Review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2023**, *31* (53), 62249–62263.
- Islam, M. S.; Khan, S.; Tanaka, M. Waste Loading in Shrimp and Fish Processing Effluents: Potential Source of Hazards to the Coastal and Nearshore Environments. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2004, 49 (1–2), 103–110.
- Hendrawan, D. I.; Rinanti, A.; Fachrul, M. F.; Tazkiaturrizki; Minarti, A.; Marendra, S. M. P.; Zahra, L. A. Addressing Algal Bloom and Other Ecological Issues Caused by Microalgae Biomass Conversion Technology. In *Algae as a Natural Solution for Challenges in Water-Food-Energy Nexus*; Springer Nature: Singapore, 2024; pp 373–431.
- Yadav, M.; Goswami, P.; Paritosh, K.; Kumar, M.; Pareek, N.; Vivekanand, V. Seafood Waste: A Source for Preparation of Commercially Employable Chitin/Chitosan Materials. *Bioresour. Bioprocess.* 2019, 6 (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-019-0243-y.
- Sheth, Y.; Dharaskar, S.; Khalid, M.; Sonawane, S. An Environment Friendly Approach for Heavy Metal Removal from Industrial Wastewater Using Chitosan Based Biosorbent: A Review. *Sustain. Energy Technol. Assessments* 2021, 43, 100951.
- Wan Ngah, W. S.; Teong, L. C.; Hanafiah, M. A. K. M. Adsorption of Dyes and Heavy Metal Ions by Chitosan Composites: A Review. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2011, *83* (4), 1446–1456.
- Elsabee, M. Z. Chitosan Based Edible Films. In *Polysaccharides*; Macmillan: Houndmills, UK, 2014; pp 1–37.
- Barik, M.; BhagyaRaj, G.; Dash, K. K.; Shams, R. A Thorough Evaluation of Chitosan-Based Packaging Film and Coating for Food Product Shelf-Life Extension. J. Agric. Food Res. 2024, 16, 101164.
- Hours, R. A.; Gortari, M. C. Biotechnological Processes for Chitin Recovery Out of Crustacean Waste: A Mini-Review. *Electron. J. Biotechnol.* 2013, *16* (3). https://doi.org/10.2225/vol16-issue3-fulltext-10.
- Ngasotter, S.; Xavier, K.; Meitei, M. M.; Waikhom, D.; Madhulika; Pathak, J.; Singh, S. K. Crustacean Shell Waste Derived Chitin and Chitin Nanomaterials for Application in Agriculture, Food, and Health – A Review. *Carbohydr. Polym. Technol. Appl.* **2023**, *6*, 100349.
- Zhang, H.; Yun, S.; Song, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, Y. The Preparation and Characterization of Chitin and Chitosan under Large-Scale Submerged Fermentation Level Using Shrimp By-Products as Substrate. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2017, *96*, 334–339.
- Paul, T.; Halder, S. K.; Das, A.; Ghosh, K.; Mandal, A.; Payra, P.; Barman, P.; Das Mohapatra, P. K.; Pati, B. R.; Mondal, K. C. Production of Chitin and Bioactive Materials from Black Tiger Shrimp (Penaeus monodon) Shell Waste by the Treatment of Bacterial Protease Cocktail. *3 Biotech* **2014**, *5* (4), 483–493.
- 90. Jory, D. Annual Farmed Shrimp Production Survey: A Slight Decrease in Production Reduction in 2023 with Hopes for Renewed Growth in 2024; Global Seafood Alliance: Portsmouth, NH, USA, 2023.
- 91. IMARC. Shrimp Market: Global Industry Trends, Share, Size, Growth, Opportunity and Forecast 2022–2027. In *Glob. Shrimp Mark. Res. Rep*, 2022.
- Rossi, N.; Grosso, C.; Delerue-Matos, C. Shrimp Waste Upcycling: Unveiling the Potential of Polysaccharides, Proteins, Carotenoids, and Fatty Acids with Emphasis on Extraction Techniques and Bioactive Properties. *Mar. Drugs* 2024, *22* (4), 153.
- Sachindra, N. M.; Mahendrakar, N. S. Process Optimization for Extraction of Carotenoids from Shrimp Waste with Vegetable Oils. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2005, *96* (10), 1195–1200.
- 94. Synowiecki, J.; Al-Khateeb, N. A. A. Q. The Recovery of Protein Hydrolysate during Enzymatic Isolation of Chitin from Shrimp Crangon crangon Processing Discards. *Food Chem.* **2000**, *68* (2), 147–152.
- Venugopal, V. Valorization of Seafood Processing Discards: Bioconversion and Bio-Refinery Approaches. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 2021, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.611835.

- Mao, X.; Guo, N.; Sun, J.; Xue, C. Comprehensive Utilization of Shrimp Waste Based on Biotechnological Methods: A Review. J. Clean. Prod. 2017, 143, 814–823.
- Spranghers, T.; Ottoboni, M.; Klootwijk, C.; Ovyn, A.; Deboosere, S.; De Meulenaer, B.; Michiels, J.; Eeckhout, M.; De Clercq, P.; De Smet, S. Nutritional Composition of Black Soldier Fly (Hermetia illucens) Prepupae Reared on Different Organic Waste Substrates. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2016, *97* (8), 2594–2600.
- Hahn, T.; Roth, A.; Febel, E.; Fijalkowska, M.; Schmitt, E.; Arsiwalla, T.; Zibek, S. New Methods for High-Accuracy Insect Chitin Measurement. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2018, 98 (13), 5069–5073.
- Song, Y.-S.; Kim, M.; Moon, C.; Seo, D.; Han, Y. S.; Jo, Y. H.; Noh, M. Y.; Park, Y.; Kim, S.; Kim, Y. W.; Jung, W. Extraction of Chitin and Chitosan from Larval Exuvium and Whole Body of Edible Mealworm, <scp> Tenebrio molitor </scp>. *Entomol. Res.* **2018**, *48* (3), 227–233.
- Mushi, N. E. A Review on Native Well-Preserved Chitin Nanofibrils for Materials of High Mechanical Performance. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2021, *178*, 591–606.
- 101. Huet, G.; Hadad, C.; Husson, E.; Laclef, S.; Lambertyn, V.; Araya Farias, M.; Jamali, A.; Courty, M.; Alayoubi, R.; Gosselin, I.; Sarazin, C.; Van Nhien, A. N. Straightforward Extraction and Selective Bioconversion of High Purity Chitin from Bombyx Eri Larva: Toward an Integrated Insect Biorefinery. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2020**, *228*, 115382.
- 102. Hahn, T.; Tafi, E.; Paul, A.; Salvia, R.; Falabella, P.; Zibek, S. Current State of Chitin Purification and Chitosan Production from Insects. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *95* (11), 2775–2795.
- 103. Pasquier, E.; Beaumont, M.; Mattos, B. D.; Otoni, C. G.; Winter, A.; Rosenau, T.; Belgacem, M. N.; Rojas, O. J.; Bras, J. Upcycling Byproducts from Insect (Fly Larvae and Mealworm) Farming into Chitin Nanofibers and Films. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *9* (40), 13618–13629.
- Kumari, S.; Rath, P.; Sri Hari Kumar, A.; Tiwari, T. Extraction and Characterization of Chitin and Chitosan from Fishery Waste by Chemical Method. *Environ. Technol. Innovation* 2015, *3*, 77–85.
- 105. Abdou, E. S.; Nagy, K. S. A.; Elsabee, M. Z. Extraction and Characterization of Chitin and Chitosan from Local Sources. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2008**, *99* (5), 1359–1367.
- 106. Srinivasan, H.; Kanayairam, V.; Ravichandran, R. Chitin and Chitosan Preparation from Shrimp Shells Penaeus monodon and its Human Ovarian Cancer Cell Line, PA-1. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2018**, *107*, 662–667.
- 107. El Knidri, H.; Belaabed, R.; Addaou, A.; Laajeb, A.; Lahsini, A. Extraction, Chemical Modification and Characterization of Chitin and Chitosan. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2018**, *120*, 1181–1189.
- Benhabiles, M. S.; Abdi, N.; Drouiche, N.; Lounici, H.; Pauss, A.; Goosen, M.; Mameri, N. Protein Recovery by Ultrafiltration during Isolation of Chitin from Shrimp Shells Parapenaeus Longirostris. *Food Hydrocolloids* **2013**, *32* (1), 28–34.
- Donato, R. K.; Mija, A. Keratin Associations with Synthetic, Biosynthetic and Natural Polymers: An Extensive Review. *Polymers* 2019, *12* (1), 32.
- Islam, S.; Rahman Bhuiyan, M. A.; Islam, M. N. Chitin and Chitosan: Structure, Properties and Applications in Biomedical Engineering. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2016**, *25* (3), 854–866.
- 111. Tharanathan, R. N.; Kittur, F. S. Chitin the Undisputed Biomolecule of Great Potential. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2003**, *43* (1), 61–87.
- Sarma, A. Biological Importance and Pharmaceutical Significance of Keratin: A Review. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2022, 219, 395–413.
- Salleh, K. M.; Abd Rashid, N. F. Keratin-Based Biomaterials for Biomedical Applications. In *Polymer Composites Derived from Animal Sources*; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2024; pp 219–242.
- 114. Fuchs, E. Keratins and the Skin. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 1995, 11.1, 123–154.
- McKittrick, J.; Chen, P. Y.; Bodde, S. G.; Yang, W.; Novitskaya, E. E.; Meyers, M. A. The Structure, Functions, and Mechanical Properties of Keratin. *JOM* **2012**, *64* (4), 449–468.

- Wang, B.; Yang, W.; McKittrick, J.; Meyers, M. A. Keratin: Structure, Mechanical Properties, Occurrence in Biological Organisms, and Efforts at Bioinspiration. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 2016, *76*, 229–318.
- 117. Fraser, R. D. B.; MacRae, T. P.; Suzuki, E. Structure of the *α*-Keratin Microfibril. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1976**, *108* (2), 435–452.
- 118. Sawyer, R. H.; Glenn, T.; French, J. O.; Mays, B.; Shames, R. B.; Barnes, G. L. Jr.; Rhodes, W.; Ishikawa, Y. The Expression of Beta (β) Keratins in the Epidermal Appendages of Reptiles and Birds. *Am. Zool.* **2000**, *40* (4), 530–539.
- 119. Alexander, N. J. Comparison of α and β Keratin in Reptiles. *Z. für Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat.* **1970**, *110* (2), 153–165.
- 120. Zhang, W.; Fan, Y. Structure of Keratin. In Fibrous Proteins; Humana Press: New York, 2021; pp 41–53.
- 121. Parry, D. A. D.; Bruce Fraser, R. D.; Squire, J. M. Fifty Years of Coiled-Coils and α-Helical Bundles: A Close Relationship Between Sequence and Structure. J. Struct. Biol. 2008, 163 (3), 258–269.
- 122. Pauling, L.; Corey, R. B.; Branson, H. R. The Structure of Proteins: Two Hydrogen-Bonded Helical Configurations of the Polypeptide Chain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1951**, *37* (4), 205–211.
- 123. Parry, D. A. D.; Steinert, P. M. Intermediate Filaments: Molecular Architecture, Assembly, Dynamics and Polymorphism. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **1999**, *32* (2), 99–187.
- 124. Yu, J.; Yu, D. W.; Checkla, D. M.; Freedberg, I. M.; Bertolino, A. P. Human Hair Keratins. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **1993**, *101* (s1), 56S–59S.
- 125. Hatzfeld, M.; Burba, M. Function of Type I and Type II Keratin Head Domains: Their Role in Dimer, Tetramer and Filament Formation. *J. Cell Sci.* **1994**, *107* (7), 1959–1972.
- 126. Coulombe, P. A.; Omary, M. B. 'Hard' and 'Soft' Principles Defining the Structure, Function and Regulation of Keratin Intermediate Filaments. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **2002**, *14* (1), 110–122.
- Alibardi, L.; Dalla Valle, L.; Toffolo, V.; Toni, M. Scale Keratin in Lizard Epidermis Reveals Amino Acid Regions Homologous with Avian and Mammalian Epidermal Proteins. *Anat. Rec. Part A Discov. Mol. Cell. Evol. Biol.* 2006, 288A (7), 734–752.
- 128. Basit, A.; asghar, F.; Sadaf, S.; Akhtar, M. W. Health Improvement of Human Hair and Their Reshaping Using Recombinant Keratin K31. *Biotechnol. Rep.* **2018**, *20*, e00288.
- Holthaus, K. B.; Eckhart, L.; Dalla Valle, L.; Alibardi, L. Review: Evolution and Diversification of Corneous Beta-Proteins, the Characteristic Epidermal Proteins of Reptiles and Birds. *J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol.* **2018**, *330* (8), 438–453.
- Bear, R. S.; Rugo, H. J. The Results of X-ray Diffraction Studies on Keratin Fibers. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1951, 53 (3), 627–648.
- 131. Qiu, J.; Wilkens, C.; Barrett, K.; Meyer, A. S. Microbial Enzymes Catalyzing Keratin Degradation: Classification, Structure, Function. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2020**, *44*, 107607.
- 132. Feughelman, M. *Mechanical Properties and Structure of Alpha-Keratin Fibres: Wool, Human Hair and Related Fibres*; UNSW Press: Sydney, Australia, 1997.
- Lupas, A. N.; Bassler, J.; Dunin-Horkawicz, S. The Structure and Topology of *a*-Helical Coiled Coils. In *Fibrous Proteins: Structures and Mechanisms*; Humana Press: New York, 2017; pp 95–129.
- 134. Wegst, U. G. K.; Ashby, M. F. The Mechanical Efficiency of Natural Materials. *Philos. Mag.* 2004, *84* (21), 2167–2186.
- 135. Yang, F.-C.; Zhang, Y.; Rheinstädter, M. C. The Structure of People's Hair. PeerJ 2014, 2, e619.
- Alibardi, L. Cornification in Reptilian Epidermis Occurs through the Deposition of Keratin-Associated Beta-Proteins (Beta-Keratins) onto a Scaffold of Intermediate Filament Keratins. J. Morphol. 2012, 274 (2), 175–193.
- 137. Loughlin, W. A.; Tyndall, J. D. A.; Glenn, M. P.; Fairlie, D. P. Beta-Strand Mimetics. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104* (12), 6085–6118.

- Martínez-Hernández, A. L.; Velasco-Santos, C.; de Icaza, M.; Castaño, V. Hierarchical Microstructure in Keratin Biofibers. *Microsc. Microanal.* 2003, 9 (S02), 1282–1283.
- 139. Swift, J. A.; Smith, J. R. Microscopical Investigations on the Epicuticle of Mammalian Keratin Fibres. *J. Microsc.* **2001**, *204* (3), 203–211.
- 140. Bradbury, J. H.; King, N. L. R. The Chemical Composition of Wool. IV. The Quantity of Each Histological Component. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1967**, *20* (12), 2803.
- 141. Appleyard, H. M.; Greville, C. M. The Cuticle of Mammalian Hair. Nature 1950, 166 (4233), 1031.
- 142. Yu, Y.; Yang, W.; Wang, B.; Meyers, M. A. Structure and Mechanical Behavior of Human Hair. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2017, *73*, 152–163.
- 143. Thibaut, S.; Barbarat, P.; Leroy, F.; Bernard, B. A. Human Hair Keratin Network and Curvature. *Int. J. Dermatol.* **2007**, *46* (s1), 7–10.
- 144. Dobb, M. G. Electron-Diffraction Studies of Keratin Cells. J. Text. Inst. 1970, 61 (5), 232-234.
- 145. Zhang, D. *Physical, Morphological and Chemical Structure & Property Relationships for α-Keratins in Bleached Human Hair*, The University of Manchester: United Kingdom, 2013.
- Chou, C.-C.; Lepore, E.; Antonaci, P.; Pugno, N.; Buehler, M. J. Mechanics of Trichocyte Alpha-Keratin Fibers: Experiment, Theory, and Simulation. J. Mater. Res. 2015, 30 (1), 26–35.
- 147. Rogers, G. E. Electron Microscopy of Wool. J. Ultrastruct. Res. 1959, 2 (3), 309–330.
- Cui, Y.; Gong, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, D.; Bai, H. A Thermally Insulating Textile Inspired by Polar Bear Hair. Adv. Mater. 2018, 30 (14). https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201706807.
- 149. Abidin, N. A. Z.; Kormin, F.; Mohamed Anuar, N. A. F.; Abu Bakar, M. F. The Potential of Insects as Alternative Sources of Chitin: An Overview on the Chemical Method of Extraction from Various Sources. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21* (14), 4978.
- 150. Rinaudo, M. Chitin and Chitosan: Properties and Applications. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2006, 31 (7), 603–632.
- Carlström, D. The Crystal Structure of *a*-Chitin (Poly-N-Acetyl-D-Glucosamine). J. Cell Biol. **1957**, 3 (5), 669–683.
- 152. Satitsri, S.; Muanprasat, C. Chitin and Chitosan Derivatives as Biomaterial Resources for Biological and Biomedical Applications. *Molecules* **2020**, *25* (24), 5961.
- 153. Jang, M.-K.; Kong, B.; Jeong, Y.; Lee, C. H.; Nah, J. Physicochemical Characterization of α-Chitin, β-Chitin, and γ-Chitin Separated from Natural Resources. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2004**, *42* (14), 3423–3432.
- 154. Kaya, M.; Mujtaba, M.; Ehrlich, H.; Salaberria, A. M.; Baran, T.; Amemiya, C. T.; Galli, R.; Akyuz, L.; Sargin, I.; Labidi, J. On Chemistry of *y*-Chitin. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2017**, *176*, 177–186.
- 155. Saito, Y.; Okano, T.; Gaill, F.; Chanzy, H.; Putaux, J. L. Structural Data on the Intra-Crystalline Swelling of β-Chitin. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2000**, *28* (1), 81–88.
- 156. Hahn, T.; Looijenga-Vos, A.; Aroyo, M. I.; Flack, H. D.; Momma, K.; Konstantinov, P. Guide to the Use of the Space-Group Tables. In *International Tables for Crystallography*; International Union of Crystallography: Chester, UK, 2016; pp 142–174.
- 157. Minke, R.; Blackwell, J. The Structure of α-Chitin. J. Mol. Biol. 1978, 120 (2), 167–181.
- 158. Rössle, M.; Flot, D.; Engel, J.; Burghammer, M.; Riekel, C.; Chanzy, H. Fast Intracrystalline Hydration of β-Chitin Revealed by Combined Microdrop Generation and On-Line Synchrotron Radiation Microdiffraction. *Biomacromolecules* **2003**, *4* (4), 981–986.
- Noishiki, Y.; Nishiyama, Y.; Wada, M.; Kuga, S. Complexation of α-Chitin with Aliphatic Amines. *Biomacromolecules* **2005**, *6* (4), 2362–2364.
- 160. Rudall, K. M. Chitin and its Association with Other Molecules. J. Polym. Sci., Part C: Polym. Symp. **1969**, 28 (1), 83–102.
- Blackwell, J.; Parker, K. D.; Rudall, K. M. Chitin in Pogonophore Tubes. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 1965, 45 (3), 659–661.

- 162. Rudall, K. M.; Kenchington, W. The Chitin System. Biol. Rev. 1973, 48 (4), 597-633.
- 163. Mogilevskaya, E. L.; Akopova, T. A.; Zelenetskii, A. N.; Ozerin, A. N. The Crystal Structure of Chitin and Chitosan. *Polym. Sci. Ser. A* **2006**, *48* (2), 116–123.
- Clark, G. L.; Smith, A. F. X-ray Diffraction Studies of Chitin, Chitosan, and Derivatives. J. Phys. Chem. 1936, 40 (7), 863–879.
- 165. Ogawa, Y.; Kimura, S.; Wada, M. Electron Diffraction and High-Resolution Imaging on Highly-Crystalline β-Chitin Microfibril. J. Struct. Biol. 2011, 176 (1), 83–90.
- 166. Bogdanova, O. I.; Polyakov, D. K.; Streltsov, D. R.; Bakirov, A. V.; Blackwell, J.; Chvalun, S. N. Structure of β-Chitin from Berryteuthis Magister and its Transformation during Whisker Preparation and Polymerization Filling. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2016**, *137*, 678–684.
- 167. Noishiki, Y.; Takami, H.; Nishiyama, Y.; Wada, M.; Okada, S.; Kuga, S. Alkali-Induced Conversion of β-Chitin to α-Chitin. *Biomacromolecules* **2003**, *4* (4), 896–899.
- Riva, R.; Ragelle, H.; des Rieux, A.; Duhem, N. J.; Jérôme, C.; Preat, V. Chitosan and Chitosan Derivatives in Drug Delivery and Tissue Engineering. In *Chitosan for Biomaterials II*; Springer: Berlin Heidelberg, 2011; pp 19–44.
- 169. Sivashankari, P. R.; Prabaharan, M. Deacetylation Modification Techniques of Chitin and Chitosan. In Chitosan Based Biomaterials Volume 1; Elsevier: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2017; pp 117–133.
- 170. Shepherd, R.; Reader, S.; Falshaw, A. *Glycoconj. J.* **1997**, *14* (4), 535–542.
- 171. Cartier, N.; Domard, A.; Chanzy, H. Single Crystals of Chitosan. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **1990**, *12* (5), 289–294.
- 172. Pillai, C. K. S.; Paul, W.; Sharma, C. P. Chitin and Chitosan Polymers: Chemistry, Solubility and Fiber Formation. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2009**, *34* (7), 641–678.
- 173. Sánchez-Machado, D. I.; Lopez-Cervantes, J.; Correa-Murrieta, M. A.; Sanchez-Duarte, R. G. G.; Flores, P. C.; de la Mora-López, G. S. Chitosan. In *Nonvitamin and Nonmineral Nutritional Supplements*; Academic Press: London, UK, 2019; pp 485–493.
- 174. Younes, I.; Rinaudo, M. Chitin and Chitosan Preparation from Marine Sources. Structure, Properties and Applications. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13* (3), 1133–1174.
- Drack, M.; Gebeshuber, I. C. Comment on "Innovation through Imitation: Biomimetic, Bioinspired and Biokleptic Research" by A. E. Rawlings, J. P. Bramble and S. S. Staniland, Soft Matter, 2012, 8, 6675. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9* (7), 2338.
- 176. Prashanth, K. V. H.; Tharanathan, R. N. Chitin/Chitosan: Modifications and Their Unlimited Application Potential An Overview. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *18* (3), 117–131.
- 177. Wei, A.; Fu, J.; Guo, F. Mechanical Properties of Chitin Polymorphs: A Computational Study. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2021**, *56* (20), 12048–12058.
- Hou, J.; Aydemir, B. E.; Dumanli, A. G. Understanding the Structural Diversity of Chitins as a Versatile Biomaterial. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **2021**, *379* (2206). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta. 2020.0331.
- 179. Nishino, T.; Matsui, R.; Nakamae, K. Elastic Modulus of the Crystalline Regions of Chitin and Chitosan. *J. Polym. Sci. B Polym. Phys.* **1999**, *37* (11), 1191–1196.
- Ren, J.; Wang, Y.; Yao, Y.; Wang, Y.; Fei, X.; Qi, P.; Lin, S.; Kaplan, D. L.; Buehler, M. J.; Ling, S. Biological Material Interfaces as Inspiration for Mechanical and Optical Material Designs. *Chem. Rev.* 2019, *119* (24), 12279–12336.
- Salavati, M. Mechanical Properties of *a*-Chitin and Chitosan Biocomposite: A Molecular Dynamic Study. *J. Compos. Sci.* **2023**, *7* (11), 464.
- 182. Montroni, D.; Sparla, F.; Fermani, S.; Falini, G. Influence of Proteins on Mechanical Properties of a Natural Chitin-Protein Composite. *Acta Biomater.* **2021**, *120*, 81–90.

- Hajiali, F.; Jin, T.; Yang, G.; Santos, M.; Lam, E.; Moores, A. Mechanochemical Transformations of Biomass into Functional Materials. *ChemSusChem* 2022, *15* (7). https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc. 202102535.
- 184. Gao, K.; Guo, Y.; Niu, Q.; Fang, H.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, L.; Zhou, L. Effects of Chitin Nanofibers on the Microstructure and Properties of Cellulose Nanofibers/Chitin Nanofibers Composite Aerogels. *Cellulose* **2018**, *25* (8), 4591–4602.
- 185. Khantayanuwong, S.; Khemarom, C.; Salaemae, S. Effects of Shrimp Chitosan on the Physical Properties of Handsheets. *Agric. Nat. Resour.* **2017**, *51* (1), 53–56.
- 186. Lertsutthiwong, P.; Chandrkrachang, S.; Nazhad, M. M.; Stevens, W. F. Chitosan as a Dry Strength Agent for Paper. *Appita J.* **2002**, *55* (3), 208–212.
- Ashori, A.; Harun, J.; Zin, W. M.; Yusoff, M. N. M. Enhancing Dry-Strength Properties of Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus) Paper through Chitosan. *Polym.-Plast. Technol. Eng.* 2006, 45 (1), 125–129.
- Vikele, L.; Laka, M.; Sable, I.; Rozenberga, L.; Grinfelds, U.; Zoldners, J.; Passas, R.; Mauret, E. Effect of Chitosan on Properties of Paper for Packaging. *Cellul. Chem. Technol.* 2017, *51* (1–2), 67–73.
- Habibie, S.; Hamzah, M.; Anggaravidya, M.; Kalembang, E. The Effect of Chitosan on Physical and Mechanical Properties of Paper. J. Chem. Eng. Mater. Sci. 2016, 7 (1), 1–10.
- Nada, A. M. A.; El-Sakhawy, M.; Kamel, S.; Eid, M.; Adel, A. M. Mechanical and Electrical Properties of Paper Sheets Treated with Chitosan and its Derivatives. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2006, 63 (1), 113–121.
- 191. Fu, J.; He, C.; Xia, B.; Li, Y.; Feng, Q.; Yin, Q.; Shi, X.; Feng, X.; Wang, H.; Yao, H. C-axis Preferential Orientation of Hydroxyapatite Accounts for the High Wear Resistance of the Teeth of Black Carp (Mylopharyngodon piceus). *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23509.
- Sedriks, A. J.; Mulhearn, T. O. Mechanics of Cutting and Rubbing in Simulated Abrasive Processes. Wear **1963**, 6 (6), 457–466.
- 193. Khattak, S.; Wahid, F.; Liu, L. P.; Jia, S. R.; Chu, L. Q.; Xie, Y. Y.; Li, Z. X.; Zhong, C. Applications of Cellulose and Chitin/chitosan Derivatives and Composites as Antibacterial Materials: Current State and Perspectives. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *103* (5), 1989–2006.
- 194. van Nieuwenhoven, R. W.; Bürger, A. M.; Mears, L. L. E.; Kienzl, P.; Reithofer, M.; Elbe-Bürger, A.; Gebeshuber, I. C. Verifying Antibacterial Properties of Nanopillars on Cicada Wings. *Appl. Nanosci.* 2024, *14* (3), 531–541.
- 195. Kong, M.; Chen, X. G.; Xing, K.; Park, H. J. Antimicrobial Properties of Chitosan and Mode of Action: A State of the Art Review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2010**, *144* (1), 51–63.
- Sudarshan, N. R.; Hoover, D. G.; Knorr, D. Antibacterial Action of Chitosan. *Food Biotechnol.* 1992, 6 (3), 257–272.
- Papineau, A. M.; Hoover, D. G.; Knorr, D.; Farkas, D. F. Antimicrobial Effect of Water-Soluble Chitosans with High Hydrostatic Pressure. *Food Biotechnol.* **1991**, *5* (1), 45–57.
- Helander, I. M.; Nurmiaho-Lassila, E. L.; Ahvenainen, R.; Rhoades, J.; Roller, S. Chitosan Disrupts the Barrier Properties of the Outer Membrane of Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2001, *71* (2–3), 235–244.
- Leuba, J. L.; Stossel, P. Chitosan and Other Polyamines: Antifungal Activity and Interaction with Biological Membranes. In *Chitin in Nature and Technology*; Plenum Press: London, 1986; pp 215–222.
- Rhoades, J.; Roller, S. Antimicrobial Actions of Degraded and Native Chitosan against Spoilage Organisms in Laboratory Media and Foods. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2000, 66 (1), 80–86.
- No, H. Antibacterial Activity of Chitosans and Chitosan Oligomers with Different Molecular Weights. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2002, 74 (1–2), 65–72.
- Salah, F.; Ghoul, Y. E.; Mahdhi, A.; Majdoub, H.; Jarroux, N.; Sakli, F. Effect of the Deacetylation Degree on the Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Activity of Acemannan from Aloe Vera. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2017, *103*, 13–18.

- 203. Yun, Y. S.; Kim, K. S.; Lee, Y. N. Antibacterial and Antifungal Effect of Chitosan. J. Chitin Chitosan **1999**, 4 (1), 8–14.
- Liu, N.; Chen, X. G.; Park, H. J.; Liu, C. G.; Liu, C. S.; Meng, X. H.; Yu, L. J. Effect of MW and Concentration of Chitosan on Antibacterial Activity of Escherichia coli. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2006, *64* (1), 60–65.
- Jeon, Y. Antimicrobial Effect of Chitooligosaccharides Produced by Bioreactor. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2001, 44 (1), 71–76.
- Bai, R.-K.; Huang, M.-Y.; Jiang, Y.-Y. Selective Permeabilities of Chitosan-Acetic Acid Complex Membrane and Chitosan-Polymer Complex Membranes for Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide. *Polym. Bull.* **1988**, *20* (1). https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00262253.
- Younes, I.; Sellimi, S.; Rinaudo, M.; Jellouli, K.; Nasri, M. Influence of Acetylation Degree and Molecular Weight of Homogeneous Chitosans on Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2014, *185*, 57–63.
- Mourya, V. K.; Inamdar, N. N. Chitosan-Modifications and Applications: Opportunities Galore. *React. Funct. Polym.* 2008, 68 (6), 1013–1051.
- 209. Heedy, S.; Marshall, M. E.; Pineda, J. J.; Pearlman, E.; Yee, A. F. Synergistic Antimicrobial Activity of a Nanopillar Surface on a Chitosan Hydrogel. *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.* **2020**, *3* (11), 8040–8048.
- Frost, S. J.; Mawad, D.; Wuhrer, R.; Myers, S.; Lauto, A. Semitransparent Bandages Based on Chitosan and Extracellular Matrix for Photochemical Tissue Bonding. *BioMed. Eng. Online* 2018, *17* (1). https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12938-018-0444-1.
- Narasimhan, V.; Siddique, R. H.; Lee, J. O.; Kumar, S.; Ndjamen, B.; Du, J.; Hong, N.; Sretavan, D.; Choo, H. Multifunctional Biophotonic Nanostructures Inspired by the Longtail Glasswing Butterfly for Medical Devices. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *13* (6), 512–519.
- 212. Arisoy, F. D.; Kolewe, K. W.; Homyak, B.; Kurtz, I. S.; Schiffman, J. D.; Watkins, J. J. Bioinspired Photocatalytic Shark-Skin Surfaces with Antibacterial and Antifouling Activity via Nanoimprint Lithography. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10 (23), 20055–20063.
- Jenkins, J.; Mantell, J.; Neal, C.; Gholinia, A.; Verkade, P.; Nobbs, A. H.; Su, B. Antibacterial Effects of Nanopillar Surfaces Are Mediated by Cell Impedance, Penetration and Induction of Oxidative Stress. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15471-x.
- 214. Mi, F.-L.; Tan, Y. C.; Liang, H. F.; Sung, H. W. In Vivo Biocompatibility and Degradability of a Novel Injectable-Chitosan-Based Implant. *Biomaterials* **2002**, *23* (1), 181–191.
- Madhumathi, K.; Sudheesh Kumar, P. T.; Abhilash, S.; Sreeja, V.; Tamura, H.; Manzoor, K.; Nair, S. V.; Jayakumar, R. Development of Novel Chitin/Nanosilver Composite Scaffolds for Wound Dressing Applications. J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Med. 2009, 21 (2), 807–813.
- Tan, H.; Chu, C. R.; Payne, K. A.; Marra, K. G. Injectable In Situ Forming Biodegradable Chitosan– Hyaluronic Acid Based Hydrogels for Cartilage Tissue Engineering. *Biomaterials* 2009, *30* (13), 2499–2506.
- 217. Chen, M.-C.; Ling, M. H.; Lai, K. Y.; Pramudityo, E. Chitosan Microneedle Patches for Sustained Transdermal Delivery of Macromolecules. *Biomacromolecules* **2012**, *13* (12), 4022–4031.
- Wei, Z.; Yang, J. H.; Liu, Z. Q.; Xu, F.; Zhou, J. X.; Zrínyi, M.; Osada, Y.; Chen, Y. M. Novel Biocompatible Polysaccharide-Based Self-Healing Hydrogel. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2015, *25* (9), 1352–1359.
- Chen, L.; Meng, R.; Qing, R.; Li, W.; Wang, Z.; Hou, Y.; Deng, J.; Pu, W.; Gao, Z.; Wang, B.; Hao, S. Bioinspired Robust Keratin Hydrogels for Biomedical Applications. *Nano Lett.* **2022**, *22* (22), 8835–8844.
- 220. Wang, J.; Hao, S.; Luo, T.; Cheng, Z.; Li, W.; Gao, F.; Guo, T.; Gong, Y.; Wang, B. Feather Keratin Hydrogel for Wound Repair: Preparation, Healing Effect and Biocompatibility Evaluation. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2017**, *149*, 341–350.

- 221. Stuart-Fox, D.; Moussalli, A. Camouflage, Communication and Thermoregulation: Lessons from Colour Changing Organisms. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **2008**, *364* (1516), 463–470.
- Miller, R.; Owens, S. J.; Rørslett, B. Plants and Colour: Flowers and Pollination. *Opt Laser. Technol.* 2011, 43 (2), 282–294.
- 223. Shawkey, M. D.; D'Alba, L. Interactions between Colour-Producing Mechanisms and Their Effects on the Integumentary Colour Palette. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **2017**, *372* (1724), 20160536.
- Cuthill, I. C.; Allen, W. L.; Arbuckle, K.; Caspers, B.; Chaplin, G.; Hauber, M. E.; Hill, G. E.; Jablonski, N. G.; Jiggins, C. D.; Kelber, A.; Mappes, J.; Marshall, J.; Merrill, R.; Osorio, D.; Prum, R.; Roberts, N. W.; Roulin, A.; Rowland, H. M.; Sherratt, T. N.; Skelhorn, J.; Speed, M. P.; Stevens, M.; Stoddard, M. C.; Stuart-Fox, D.; Talas, L.; Tibbetts, E.; Caro, T. The Biology of Color. *Science* 2017, *357* (6350). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan0221.
- 225. Lizundia, E.; Nguyen, T. D.; Winnick, R. J.; MacLachlan, M. J. Biomimetic Photonic Materials Derived from Chitin and Chitosan. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2021**, *9* (3), 796–817.
- 226. Nikolov, S.; Fabritius, H.; Petrov, M.; Friák, M.; Lymperakis, L.; Sachs, C.; Raabe, D.; Neugebauer, J. Robustness and Optimal Use of Design Principles of Arthropod Exoskeletons Studied by Ab Initio-Based Multiscale Simulations. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* **2011**, *4* (2), 129–145.
- 227. Zobl, S.; Wilts, B. D.; Salvenmoser, W.; Pölt, P.; Gebeshuber, I. C.; Schwerte, T. Orientation-Dependent Reflection of Structurally Coloured Butterflies. *Biomimetics* **2020**, *5* (1), 5.
- Zobl, S.; Salvenmoser, W.; Schwerte, T.; Gebeshuber, I. C.; Schreiner, M. Morpho Peleides Butterfly Wing Imprints as Structural Colour Stamp. *Bioinspiration Biomimetics* **2016**, *11* (1), 016006.
- 229. Schenk, F.; Wilts, B. D.; Stavenga, D. G. The Japanese Jewel Beetle: A Painter's Challenge. *Bioinspiration Biomimetics* **2013**, *8* (4), 045002.
- Wilts, B. D.; Saranathan, V. A Literal Elytral Rainbow: Tunable Structural Colors Using Single Diamond Biophotonic Crystals in Pachyrrhynchus Congestus Weevils. *Small* **2018**, *14* (46). https://doi.org/10. 1002/smll.201802328.
- 231. Butt, H.; Yetisen, A. K.; Mistry, D.; Khan, S. A.; Hassan, M. U.; Yun, S. H. Morpho Butterfly-Inspired Nanostructures. *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **2016**, *4* (4), 497–504.
- 232. Leertouwer, H. L.; Wilts, B. D.; Stavenga, D. G. Refractive Index and Dispersion of Butterfly Chitin and Bird Keratin Measured by Polarizing Interference Microscopy. *Opt. Express* **2011**, *19* (24), 24061.
- 233. Castle, E. S. The Double Refraction of Chitin. J. Gen. Physiol. 1936, 19 (5), 797-805.
- Seago, A. E.; Brady, P.; Vigneron, J. P.; Schultz, T. D. Gold Bugs and beyond: A Review of Iridescence and Structural Colour Mechanisms in Beetles (Coleoptera). *J. R. Soc. Interface* 2008, 6 (Suppl. 2). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2008.0354.focus.
- 235. Prum, R. O.; Cole, J. A.; Torres, R. H. Blue Integumentary Structural Colours in Dragonflies (Odonata) are Not Produced by Incoherent Tyndall Scattering. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2004**, *207* (22), 3999–4009.
- 236. McDonald, L. T. *Circularly Polarised Optics in Scarabaeidae*; University of Exeter: United Kingdom, 2017.
- Mouchet, S. R.; Lobet, M.; Kolaric, B.; Kaczmarek, A.; Van Deun, R.; Vukusic, P.; Deparis, O.; Van Hooijdonk, E. Photonic Scales of Hoplia Coerulea Beetle: Any Colour You like. *Mater. Today: Proc.* 2017, 4 (4), 4979–4986.
- Burresi, M.; Cortese, L.; Pattelli, L.; Kolle, M.; Vukusic, P.; Wiersma, D. S.; Steiner, U.; Vignolini, S. Bright-White Beetle Scales Optimise Multiple Scattering of Light. *Sci. Rep.* 2014, 4 (1). https://doi.org/ 10.1038/srep06075.
- Siddique, R. H.; Gomard, G.; Hölscher, H. The Role of Random Nanostructures for the Omnidirectional Anti-Reflection Properties of the Glasswing Butterfly. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7909.

- Saranathan, V.; Seago, A. E.; Sandy, A.; Narayanan, S.; Mochrie, S. G. J.; Dufresne, E. R.; Cao, H.; Osuji, C. O.; Prum, R. O. Structural Diversity of Arthropod Biophotonic Nanostructures Spans Amphiphilic Phase-Space. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15* (6), 3735–3742.
- 241. Suksangpanya, N.; Yaraghi, N. A.; Kisailus, D.; Zavattieri, P. Twisting Cracks in Bouligand Structures. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* **2017**, *76*, 38–57.
- 242. Luo, Y.; Li, Y.; Liu, K.; Li, L.; Wen, W.; Ding, S.; Huang, Y.; Liu, M.; Zhou, C.; Luo, B. Modulating of Bouligand Structure and Chirality Constructed Bionically Based on the Self-Assembly of Chitin Whiskers. *Biomacromolecules* **2023**, *24* (6), 2942–2954.
- Nekvapil, F.; Pinzaru, S. C.; Barbu-Tudoran, L.; Suciu, M.; Glamuzina, B.; Tamaş, T.; Chiş, V. Color-Specific Porosity in Double Pigmented Natural 3d-Nanoarchitectures of Blue Crab Shell. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60031-4.
- 244. Muzzarelli, R. A. A. Chitin Nanostructures in Living Organisms. In *Chitin: Formation and Diagenesis*; Springer: Dordrecht, 2011; pp 1–34.
- 245. Jayakumar, R.; Menon, D.; Manzoor, K.; Nair, S.; Tamura, H. Biomedical Applications of Chitin and Chitosan Based Nanomaterials A Short Review. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2010**, *82* (2), 227–232.
- 246. Kostag, M.; El Seoud, O. A. Sustainable Biomaterials Based on Cellulose, Chitin and Chitosan Composites – A Review. *Carbohydr. Polym. Technol. Appl.* **2021**, *2*, 100079.
- 247. Gurau, G.; Rogers, R. D. Which Part of a Shrimp has More Economic Value, the Shell or the Meat? *ECS Meet. Abstr.* **2018**, *MA2018-02* (53), 1864.
- Zhu, K.; Tu, H.; Yang, P.; Qiu, C.; Zhang, D.; Lu, A.; Luo, L.; Chen, F.; Liu, X.; Chen, L.; Fu, Q.; Zhang, L. Mechanically Strong Chitin Fibers with Nanofibril Structure, Biocompatibility, and Biodegradability. *Chem. Mater.* 2019, *31* (6), 2078–2087.
- 249. Verlee, A.; Mincke, S.; Stevens, C. V. Recent Developments in Antibacterial and Antifungal Chitosan and its Derivatives. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2017**, *164*, 268–283.
- Benhabiles, M. S.; Salah, R.; Lounici, H.; Drouiche, N.; Goosen, M.; Mameri, N. Antibacterial Activity of Chitin, Chitosan and its Oligomers Prepared from Shrimp Shell Waste. *Food Hydrocolloids* 2012, *29* (1), 48–56.
- 251. Azuma, K.; Osaki, T.; Minami, S.; Okamoto, Y. Anticancer and Anti-Inflammatory Properties of Chitin and Chitosan Oligosaccharides. *J. Funct. Biomater.* **2015**, *6* (1), 33–49.
- 252. Muzzarelli, R. A. A. Chitins and Chitosans as Immunoadjuvants and Non-Allergenic Drug Carriers. *Mar. Drugs* **2010**, *8* (2), 292–312.
- Qiu, Y.; Zhang, N.; Kang, Q.; An, Y.; Wen, X. Fabrication of Permeable Tubular Constructs from Chemically Modified Chitosan with Enhanced Antithrombogenic Property. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part B: Appl. Biomater. 2009, 90B (2), 668–678.
- NorMazila Ramli, A.; Mahadi, N. M.; Rabu, A.; Murad, A. M.; Bakar, F. D.; Illias, R. M. Molecular Cloning, Expression and Biochemical Characterisation of a Cold-Adapted Novel Recombinant Chitinase from Glaciozyma Antarctica PI12. *Microb. Cell Factories* 2011, *10* (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1475-2859-10-94.
- Singh, R.; Shitiz, K.; Singh, A. Chitin and Chitosan: Biopolymers for Wound Management. *Int. Wound J.* 2017, 14 (6), 1276–1289.
- Kumar, A.; Vimal, A.; Kumar, A. Why Chitosan? From Properties to Perspective of Mucosal Drug Delivery. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2016, 91, 615–622.
- 257. Jin, T.; Liu, T.; Lam, E.; Moores, A. Chitin and Chitosan on the Nanoscale. *Nanoscale Horiz*. **2021**, *6* (7), 505–542.
- 258. Dutta, P. K.; Dutta, J.; Tripathi, V. S. J. Sci. Ind. Res. 2004, 63, 20-31.
- Nagahama, H.; Nwe, N.; Jayakumar, R.; Koiwa, S.; Furuike, T.; Tamura, H. Novel Biodegradable Chitin Membranes for Tissue Engineering Applications. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2008, 73 (2), 295–302.

- Bružauskaitė, I.; Bironaitė, D.; Bagdonas, E.; Bernotienė, E. Scaffolds and Cells for Tissue Regeneration: Different Scaffold Pore Sizes–Different Cell Effects. *Cytotechnology* **2015**, *68* (3), 355–369.
- Ding, F.; Deng, H.; Du, Y.; Shi, X.; Wang, Q. Emerging Chitin and Chitosan Nanofibrous Materials for Biomedical Applications. *Nanoscale* 2014, 6 (16), 9477–9493.
- 262. Shen, X.; Shamshina, J. L.; Berton, P.; Gurau, G.; Rogers, R. D. Hydrogels Based on Cellulose and Chitin: Fabrication, Properties, and Applications. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18* (1), 53–75.
- Wang, J.; Zhuang, S. Chitosan-Based Materials: Preparation, Modification and Application. J. Clean. Prod. 2022, 355, 131825.
- Xing, X.; Han, Y.; Cheng, H. Biomedical Applications of Chitosan/Silk Fibroin Composites: A Review. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2023, 240, 124407.
- Wan, A. C. A.; Tai, B. C. U. Chitin A Promising Biomaterial for Tissue Engineering and Stem Cell Technologies. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2013, *31* (8), 1776–1785.
- 266. Vazquez-Ayala, L.; Del Ángel-Olarte, C.; Escobar-García, D. M.; Rosales-Mendoza, S.; Solis-Andrade, I.; Pozos-Guillén, A.; Palestino, G. Chitosan Sponges Loaded with Metformin and Microalgae as Dressing for Wound Healing: A Study in Diabetic Bio-Models. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2024**, 254, 127691.
- 267. Patrulea, V.; Ostafe, V.; Borchard, G.; Jordan, O. Chitosan as a Starting Material for Wound Healing Applications. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* **2015**, *97*, 417–426.
- Piekarska, K.; Sikora, M.; Owczarek, M.; Jóźwik-Pruska, J.; Wiśniewska-Wrona, M. Chitin and Chitosan as Polymers of the Future – Obtaining, Modification, Life Cycle Assessment and Main Directions of Application. *Polymers* **2023**, *15* (4), 793.
- 269. Dai, T.; Tanaka, M.; Huang, Y. Y.; Hamblin, M. R. Chitosan Preparations for Wounds and Burns: Antimicrobial and Wound-Healing Effects. *Expert Rev. Anti-infect. Ther.* **2011**, *9* (7), 857–879.
- Sánchez-Machado, D. I.; López-Cervantes, J.; Martínez-Ibarra, D. M.; Escárcega-Galaz, A. A.; Vega-Cázarez, C. A. The Use of Chitosan as a Skin-Regeneration Agent in Burns Injuries: A Review. *E-Polymers* 2022, *22* (1), 75–86.
- Muzzarelli, R.; Baldassarre, V.; Conti, F.; Ferrara, P.; Biagini, G.; Gazzanelli, G.; Vasi, V. Biological Activity of Chitosan: Ultrastructural Study. *Biomaterials* 1988, 9 (3), 247–252.
- 272. Kumar, P. T. S.; Abhilash, S.; Manzoor, K.; Nair, S.; Tamura, H.; Jayakumar, R. Preparation and Characterization of Novel β-Chitin/Nanosilver Composite Scaffolds for Wound Dressing Applications. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2010**, *80* (3), 761–767.
- 273. Smolen, V. F. Bioavailability and Pharmacokinetic Analysis of Drug Responding Systems. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **1978**, *18* (1), 495–522.
- 274. Cirillo, G.; Hampel, S.; Spizzirri, U. G.; Parisi, O. I.; Picci, N.; Iemma, F. Carbon Nanotubes Hybrid Hydrogels in Drug Delivery: A Perspective Review. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2014**, *2014*, *1*–17.
- Coelho, J. F.; Ferreira, P. C.; Alves, P.; Cordeiro, R.; Fonseca, A. C.; Góis, J. R.; Gil, M. H. Drug Delivery Systems: Advanced Technologies Potentially Applicable in Personalized Treatments. *EPMA J.* 2010, 1 (1), 164–209.
- Baharlouei, P.; Rahman, A. Chitin and Chitosan: Prospective Biomedical Applications in Drug Delivery, Cancer Treatment, and Wound Healing. *Mar. Drugs* 2022, *20* (7), 460.
- 277. Gandhi, K. J.; Deshmane, S. V.; Biyani, K. R. Polymers in Pharmaceutical Drug Delivery System: A Review. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Rev. Res. 2012, 14 (2), 57–66.
- 278. Muhamad, I. I.; Selvakumaran, S.; Md Lazim, N. A. Designing Polymeric Nanoparticles for Targeted Drug Delivery System. *Nanomed* **2014**, *287*, 287.
- Ahmed, T. A.; Aljaeid, B. M. Preparation, Characterization, and Potential Application of Chitosan, Chitosan Derivatives, and Chitosan Metal Nanoparticles in Pharmaceutical Drug Delivery. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2016, 483–507.

- Egorov, A. R.; Kirichuk, A. A.; Rubanik, V. V.; Rubanik, V. V. Jr.; Tskhovrebov, A. G.; Kritchenkov, A. S. Chitosan and its Derivatives: Preparation and Antibacterial Properties. *Materials* 2023, *16* (18), 6076.
- 281. Ali, A.; Ahmed, S. A Review on Chitosan and its Nanocomposites in Drug Delivery. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2018**, *109*, 273–286.
- Parhi, R. Drug Delivery Applications of Chitin and Chitosan: A Review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2020, *18* (3), 577–594.
- 283. Chuan, D.; Jin, T.; Fan, R.; Zhou, L.; Guo, G. Chitosan for Gene Delivery: Methods for Improvement and Applications. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2019**, *268*, 25–38.
- 284. Pillé, J.-Y.; Li, H.; Blot, E.; Bertrand, J. R.; Pritchard, L. L.; Opolon, P.; Maksimenko, A.; Lu, H.; Vannier, J. P.; Soria, J.; Malvy, C.; Soria, C. Intravenous Delivery of Anti-RhoA Small Interfering RNA Loaded in Nanoparticles of Chitosan in Mice: Safety and Efficacy in Xenografted Aggressive Breast Cancer. *Hum. Gene Ther.* **2006**, *17* (10), 1019–1026.
- Murata, J.; Saiki, I.; Nishimura, S.; Nishi, N.; Tokura, S.; Azuma, I. Inhibitory Effect of Chitin Heparinoids on the Lung Metastasis of B16-BL6 Melanoma. *Jpn. J. Cancer Res.* **1989**, *80* (9), 866–872.
- Park, J. K.; Chung, M. J.; Choi, H. N.; Park, Y. I. Effects of the Molecular Weight and the Degree of Deacetylation of Chitosan Oligosaccharides on Antitumor Activity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2011, *12* (1), 266–277.
- 287. Suzuki, K.; Mikami, T.; Okawa, Y.; Tokoro, A.; Suzuki, S.; Suzuki, M. Antitumor Effect of Hexa-N-Acetylchitohexaose and Chitohexaose. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1986**, *151*, 403–408.
- Jeon, Y.-J.; Kim, S.-K. Antitumor Activity of Chitosan Oligosaccharides Produced in Ultrafiltration Membrane Reactor System. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2002, 12 (3), 503–507.
- 289. Salah, R.; Michaud, P.; Mati, F.; Harrat, Z.; Lounici, H.; Abdi, N.; Drouiche, N.; Mameri, N. Anticancer Activity of Chemically Prepared Shrimp Low Molecular Weight Chitin Evaluation with the Human Monocyte Leukaemia Cell Line, THP-1. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2013**, *52*, 333–339.
- 290. Huang, M.; Khor, E.; Lim, L.-Y. Uptake and Cytotoxicity of Chitosan Molecules and Nanoparticles: Effects of Molecular Weight and Degree of Deacetylation. *Pharm. Res.* **2004**, *21* (2), 344–353.
- Younes, I.; Frachet, V.; Rinaudo, M.; Jellouli, K.; Nasri, M. Cytotoxicity of Chitosans with Different Acetylation Degrees and Molecular Weights on Bladder Carcinoma Cells. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2016, *84*, 200–207.
- 292. Karagozlu, M. Z.; Kim, S.-K. Anticancer Effects of Chitin and Chitosan Derivatives. In *Marine Carbohydrates: Fundamentals and Applications, Part A*; Academic Press: Waltham, USA, 2014; pp 215–225.
- 293. Przekora, A. The Summary of the Most Important Cell-Biomaterial Interactions that Need to be Considered during In Vitro Biocompatibility Testing of Bone Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering Applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2019, *97*, 1036–1051.
- 294. Tao, F.; Cheng, Y.; Shi, X.; Zheng, H.; Du, Y.; Xiang, W.; Deng, H. Applications of Chitin and Chitosan Nanofibers in Bone Regenerative Engineering. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2020**, *230*, 115658.
- Dresselhaus, M. S.; Ajayan, P. M.; Zhou, O. Z. Applications of Carbon Nanotubes. In *Carbon Nanotubes-Synthesis, Structure, Properties, and Applications*; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001; pp 391–425.
- 296. Wang, S.-F.; Shen, L.; Zhang, W. D.; Tong, Y. J. Preparation and Mechanical Properties of Chitosan/ Carbon Nanotubes Composites. *Biomacromolecules* **2005**, *6* (6), 3067–3072.
- Kucharska, M.; Walenko, K.; Lewandowska-Szumieł, M.; Brynk, T.; Jaroszewicz, J.; Ciach, T. Chitosan and Composite Microsphere-Based Scaffold for Bone Tissue Engineering: Evaluation of Tricalcium Phosphate Content Influence on Physical and Biological Properties. *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Med.* 2015, 26 (3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-015-5464-9.

- Zhou, D.; Qi, C.; Chen, Y. X.; Zhu, Y. J.; Sun, T. W.; Chen, F.; Zhang, C. Q. Comparative Study of Porous Hydroxyapatite/Chitosan and Whitlockite/Chitosan Scaffolds for Bone Regeneration in Calvarial Defects. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2017**, *12*, 2673–2687.
- 299. Hu, Q. Preparation and Characterization of Biodegradable Chitosan/Hydroxyapatite Nanocomposite Rods via In Situ Hybridization: A Potential Material as Internal Fixation of Bone Fracture. *Biomaterials* **2004**, *25* (5), 779–785.
- Todd, E. A.; Mirsky, N. A.; Silva, B. L. G.; Shinde, A. R.; Arakelians, A. R. L.; Nayak, V. V.; Marcantonio, R. A. C.; Gupta, N.; Witek, L.; Coelho, P. G. Functional Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Regeneration: A Comprehensive Review of Materials, Methods, and Future Directions. *J. Funct. Biomater.* **2024**, *15* (10), 280.
- Reddy, M. S. B.; Ponnamma, D.; Choudhary, R.; Sadasivuni, K. K. A Comparative Review of Natural and Synthetic Biopolymer Composite Scaffolds. *Polymers* 2021, *13* (7), 1105.
- Rodríguez-Vázquez, M.; Vega-Ruiz, B.; Ramos-Zúñiga, R.; Saldaña-Koppel, D. A.; Quiñones-Olvera, L. F. Chitosan and its Potential Use as a Scaffold for Tissue Engineering in Regenerative Medicine. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 1–15.
- Zhao, D.; Aili, A.; Zhai, Y.; Xu, S.; Tan, G.; Yin, X.; Yang, R. Radiative Sky Cooling: Fundamental Principles, Materials, and Applications. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 2019, 6 (2). https://doi.org/10.1063/1. 5087281.
- Chen, M.; Pang, D.; Chen, X.; Yan, H.; Yang, Y. Passive Daytime Radiative Cooling: Fundamentals, Material Designs, and Applications. *EcoMat* 2021, 4 (1). https://doi.org/10.1002/eom2.12153.
- Tsai, C.-C.; Childers, R. A.; Nan Shi, N.; Ren, C.; Pelaez, J. N.; Bernard, G. D.; Pierce, N. E.; Yu, N. Physical and Behavioral Adaptations to Prevent Overheating of the Living Wings of Butterflies. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14408-8.
- Wu, W.; Lin, S.; Wei, M.; Huang, J.; Xu, H.; Lu, Y.; Song, W. Flexible Passive Radiative Cooling Inspired by Saharan Silver Ants. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2020**, *210*, 110512.
- Willot, Q.; Simonis, P.; Vigneron, J. P.; Aron, S. Total Internal Reflection Accounts for the Bright Color of the Saharan Silver Ant. *PLoS One* 2016, *11* (4), e0152325.
- Shi, N. N.; Tsai, C. C.; Camino, F.; Bernard, G. D.; Yu, N.; Wehner, R. Keeping Cool: Enhanced Optical Reflection and Radiative Heat Dissipation in Saharan Silver Ants. *Science* 2015, 349 (6245), 298–301.
- Bohren, C. F.; Huffman, D. R. Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles; John Wiley & Sons: Weinheim, Germany, 2008.
- Schuller, J. A.; Taubner, T.; Brongersma, M. L. Optical Antenna Thermal Emitters. Nat. Photonics 2009, 3 (11), 658–661.
- Zimmerl, M.; van Nieuwenhoven, R.; Whitmore, K.; Vetter, W.; Gebeshuber, I. Biomimetic Cooling: Functionalizing Biodegradable Chitosan Films with Saharan Silver Ant Microstructures. *Biomimetics* 2024, 9 (10), 630.
- 312. Huang, W. Impact Resistant and Energy Absorbent Natural Keratin Materials: Horns and Hooves; University of California: San Diego, 2018.
- Steinert, P. M. Structure of the Three-Chain Unit of the Bovine Epidermal Keratin Filament. J. Mol. Biol. 1978, 123 (1), 49–70.
- Shavandi, A.; Silva, T. H.; Bekhit, A. A.; Bekhit, A. E. D. A. Keratin: Dissolution, Extraction and Biomedical Application. *Biomater. Sci.* 2017, 5 (9), 1699–1735.
- 315. Suzuta, K. Studies on the Disulfide Cross-Linked Structure and Mechanical Properties of Keratin Fibers. Ph.D. Thesis, Shinshu University, Japan, 2015.
- 316. Barthlott, W.; Neinhuis, C. Purity of the Sacred lotus, or Escape from Contamination in Biological Surfaces. *Planta* **1997**, *202* (1), 1–8.

- Wang, S.; Yang, Z.; Gong, G.; Wang, J.; Wu, J.; Yang, S.; Jiang, L. Icephobicity of Penguins Spheniscus Humboldti and an Artificial Replica of Penguin Feather with Air-Infused Hierarchical Rough Structures. J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120 (29), 15923–15929.
- Michels, J.; Appel, E.; Gorb, S. N. Coupling Wings with Movable Hooks Resilin in the Wing-Interlocking Structures of Honeybees. *Arthropod Struct. Dev.* 2021, 60, 101008.
- 319. Mainwaring, D. E.; Nguyen, S. H.; Webb, H.; Jakubov, T.; Tobin, M.; Lamb, R. N.; Wu, A. H. F.; Marchant, R.; Crawford, R. J.; Ivanova, E. P. The Nature of Inherent Bactericidal Activity: Insights from the Nanotopology of Three Species of Dragonfly. *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8* (12), 6527–6534.
- 320. Green, D. W.; Lee, K. K. H.; Watson, J. A.; Kim, H. Y.; Yoon, K. S.; Kim, E. J.; Lee, J. M.; Watson, G. S.; Jung, H. S. High Quality Bioreplication of Intricate Nanostructures from a Fragile Gecko Skin Surface with Bactericidal Properties. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41023.
- Jaggessar, A.; Shahali, H.; Mathew, A.; Yarlagadda, P. K. D. V. Bio-Mimicking Nano and Micro-Structured Surface Fabrication for Antibacterial Properties in Medical Implants. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* 2017, *15* (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-017-0306-1.
- Hasan, J.; Webb, H. K.; Truong, V. K.; Pogodin, S.; Baulin, V. A.; Watson, G. S.; Watson, J. A.; Crawford, R. J.; Ivanova, E. P. Selective Bactericidal Activity of Nanopatterned Superhydrophobic Cicada Psaltoda Claripennis Wing Surfaces. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *97* (20), 9257–9262.
- 323. Li, X.; Cheung, G. S.; Watson, G. S.; Watson, J. A.; Lin, S.; Schwarzkopf, L.; Green, D. W. The Nanotipped Hairs of Gecko Skin and Biotemplated Replicas Impair and/or Kill Pathogenic Bacteria with High Efficiency. *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8* (45), 18860–18869.
- 324. Ma, M.; Hill, R. M. Superhydrophobic Surfaces. Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 2006, 11 (4), 193–202.
- 325. Watson, G. S.; Green, D. W.; Schwarzkopf, L.; Li, X.; Cribb, B. W.; Myhra, S.; Watson, J. A. A Gecko Skin Micro/Nano Structure – A Low Adhesion, Superhydrophobic, Anti-wetting, Self-Cleaning, Biocompatible, Antibacterial Surface. *Acta Biomater.* **2015**, *21*, 109–122.
- 326. Liu, K.; Jiang, L. Bio-Inspired Self-Cleaning Surfaces. Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 2012, 42 (1), 231–263.
- 327. Christie, R. Colour Chemistry; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2014.
- 328. Zollinger, H. Color Chemistry: Syntheses, Properties, and Applications of Organic Dyes and Pigments; John Wiley & Sons: Weinheim, Germany, 2003.
- 329. Jeon, D.-J.; Ji, S.; Lee, E.; Kang, J.; Kim, J.; D'Alba, L.; Manceau, M.; Shawkey, M. D.; Yeo, J. S. How Keratin Cortex Thickness Affects Iridescent Feather Colours. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 2023, *10* (1). https://doi. org/10.1098/rsos.220786.
- 330. Yoda, M.; Minami, G.; Garden, J.-L.; Bourgeois, O.; Haque, A.; Kumar, A.; Deyhle, H.; Hieber, S.; Müller, B.; Cano, M.; Maspoch, D.; Sobolev, K.; Sanchez, F.; Jabbari, E.; Nevill, J.; Malleo, D.; Bøggild, P. ; Chen, W.; Wang, C.; Bhushan, B.; Rao, A. Nano-FET. In *Encyclopedia of Nanotechnology*; Springer Netherlands: Dorthrecht, Netherlands, 2012; p 1543.
- 331. Gruson, H.; Elias, M.; Andraud, C.; Djediat, C.; Berthier, S.; Doutrelant, C.; Gomez, D. Hummingbird Iridescence: An Unsuspected Structural Diversity Influences Colouration at Multiple Scales. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1101/699744.
- 332. Saranathan, V.; Finet, C. Cellular and Developmental Basis of Avian Structural Coloration. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **2021**, *69*, 56–64.
- 333. Prum, R. O.; Dufresne, E. R.; Quinn, T.; Waters, K. Development of Colour-Producing β-Keratin Nanostructures in Avian Feather Barbs. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 2009, *6* (Suppl. 2). https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsif.2008.0466.focus.
- Burg, S. L.; Parnell, A. J. Self-Assembling Structural Colour in Nature. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2018, 30 (41), 413001.

- Lee, S.; Novitskaya, E. E.; Reynante, B.; Vasquez, J.; Urbaniak, R.; Takahashi, T.; Woolley, E.; Tombolato, L.; Chen, P. Y.; McKittrick, J. Impact Testing of Structural Biological Materials. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2011, *31* (4), 730–739.
- Bertram, J. E. A.; Gosline, J. M. Functional Design of Horse Hoof Keratin: The Modulation of Mechanical Properties Through Hydration Effects. *J. Exp. Biol.* **1987**, *130* (1), 121–136.
- Huang, W.; Yaraghi, N. A.; Yang, W.; Velazquez-Olivera, A.; Li, Z.; Ritchie, R. O.; Kisailus, D.; Stover, S. M.; McKittrick, J. A Natural Energy Absorbent Polymer Composite: The Equine Hoof Wall. *Acta Biomater.* 2019, *90*, 267–277.
- Collins, S. N.; Cope, B. C.; Hopegood, L.; Latham, R. J.; Linford, R. G.; Reilly, J. D. Stiffness as a Function of Moisture Content in Natural Materials: Characterisation of Hoof Horn Samples. *J. Mater. Sci.* 1998, 33 (21), 5185–5191.
- Lazarus, B. S.; Luu, R. K.; Ruiz-Pérez, S.; Bezerra, W. B. A.; Becerra-Santamaria, K.; Leung, V.; Durazo, V. H. L.; Jasiuk, I.; Barbosa, J. D.; Meyers, M. A. Equine Hoof Wall: Structure, Properties, and Bioinspired Designs. *Acta Biomater.* 2022, *151*, 426–445.
- Setterbo, J. J.; Garcia, T. C.; Campbell, I. P.; Reese, J. L.; Morgan, J. M.; Kim, S. Y.; Hubbard, M.; Stover, S. M. Hoof Accelerations and Ground Reaction Forces of Thoroughbred Racehorses Measured on Dirt, Synthetic, and Turf Track Surfaces. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **2009**, *70* (10), 1220–1229.
- Kasapi, M. A.; Gosline, J. M. Design Complexity and Fracture Control in the Equine Hoof Wall. J. Exp. Biol. 1997, 200 (11), 1639–1659.
- Kasapi, M. A.; Gosline, J. M. Micromechanics of the Equine Hoof Wall: Optimizing Crack Control and Material Stiffness through Modulation of the Properties of Keratin. J. Exp. Biol. 1999, 202 (4), 377–391.
- Quan, H.; Kisailus, D.; Meyers, M. A. Hydration-Induced Reversible Deformation of Biological Materials. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 2020, 6 (3), 264–283.
- 344. Farren, L.; Shayler, S.; Ennos, A. R. The Fracture Properties and Mechanical Design of Human Fingernails. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2004**, *207* (5), 735–741.
- Johnson, K. L.; Trim, M.; Francis, D.; Whittington, W.; Miller, J.; Bennett, C.; Horstemeyer, M. Moisture, Anisotropy, Stress State, and Strain Rate Effects on Bighorn Sheep Horn Keratin Mechanical Properties. *Acta Biomater.* 2017, 48, 300–308.
- Ma, Y.-S.; Kuo, F. M.; Liu, T. H.; Lin, Y. T.; Yu, J.; Wei, Y. Exploring Keratin Composition Variability for Sustainable Thermal Insulator Design. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2024, *275*, 133690.
- 347. McCafferty, D. J.; Pandraud, G.; Gilles, J.; Fabra-Puchol, M.; Henry, P. Y. Animal Thermoregulation: A Review of Insulation, Physiology and Behaviour Relevant to Temperature Control in Buildings. *Bioinspiration Biomimetics* 2017, *13* (1), 011001.
- Williams, C. L.; Hagelin, J. C.; Kooyman, G. L. Hidden Keys to Survival: The Type, Density, Pattern and Functional Role of Emperor Penguin Body Feathers. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 2015, *282* (1817), 20152033.
- 349. D'alba, L.; Carlsen, T. H.; Ásgeirsson, Á.; Shawkey, M. D.; Jónsson, J. E. Contributions of Feather Microstructure to Eider Down Insulation Properties. J. Avian Biol. 2017, 48 (8), 1150–1157.
- Sullivan, T. N.; Chon, M.; Ramachandramoorthy, R.; Roenbeck, M. R.; Hung, T.; Espinosa, H. D.; Meyers, M. A. Reversible Attachment with Tailored Permeability: The Feather Vane and Bioinspired Designs. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2017**, *27* (39). https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201702954.
- Lu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Guo, E.; Kong, X.; Kang, H.; Xu, Y.; Li, R.; Fan, G.; Wang, T. Micro Hierarchical Structure and Mechanical Property of Sparrow Hawk (Accipiter nisus) Feather Shaf. *Comput. Model. Eng. Sci.* 2021, 127 (2), 705–720.
- 352. Liu, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, J.; Cai, Z.; Guo, C. Multiscale Characterization and Biomimetic Design of Porcupine Quills for Enhanced Mechanical Performance. *Materials* **2024**, *17* (9), 1949.

- 353. Shao, Z.; Wang, Y.; Bai, H. A Superhydrophobic Textile Inspired by Polar Bear Hair for Both in Air and Underwater Thermal Insulation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *397*, 125441.
- 354. Zhao, N.; Wang, Z.; Cai, C.; Shen, H.; Liang, F.; Wang, D.; Wang, C.; Zhu, T.; Guo, J.; Wang, Y.; Liu, X.; Duan, C.; Wang, H.; Mao, Y.; Jia, X.; Dong, H.; Zhang, X.; Xu, J. Bioinspired Materials: From Low to High Dimensional Structure. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26* (41), 6994–7017.
- 355. Bhushan, B. Biomimetics: Lessons from Nature An Overview. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2009, 367 (1893), 1445–1486.
- Metwally, S.; Martínez Comesaña, S.; Zarzyka, M.; Szewczyk, P. K.; Karbowniczek, J. E.; Stachewicz, U. Thermal Insulation Design Bioinspired by Microstructure Study of Penguin Feather and Polar Bear Hair. *Acta Biomater.* 2019, *91*, 270–283.
- 357. Zhuge, Y.; Liu, F. Recent Progress of Bionic Hierarchical Structure in the Field of Thermal Insulation Protection. *J. Bionic Eng.* **2023**, *21* (1), 1–18.
- 358. Dresp, B.; Langley, K. Fine Structural Dependence of Ultraviolet Reflections in the King Penguin Beak Horn. *Anat. Rec. Part A Discov. Mol. Cell. Evol. Biol.* **2006**, *288A* (3), 213–222.
- 359. Greenwold, M. J.; Bao, W.; Jarvis, E. D.; Hu, H.; Li, C.; Gilbert, M. T. P.; Zhang, G.; Sawyer, R. H. Dynamic Evolution of the Alpha (*a*) and Beta (*β*) Keratins Has Accompanied Integument Diversification and the Adaptation of Birds into Novel Lifestyles. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2014**, *14* (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12862-014-0249-1.
- Tee, Y. L.; Maconachie, T.; Pille, P.; Leary, M.; Do, T.; Tran, P. From Nature to Additive Manufacturing: Biomimicry of Porcupine Quill. *Mater. Des.* 2021, *210*, 110041.
- Seki, Y.; Kad, B.; Benson, D.; Meyers, M. A. The Toucan Beak: Structure and Mechanical Response. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 2006, 26 (8), 1412–1420.
- 362. Lingham-Soliar, T. Feather Structure, Biomechanics and Biomimetics: The Incredible Lightness of Being. J. Ornithol. **2014**, 155 (2), 323–336.
- 363. Achrai, B.; Bar-On, B.; Wagner, H. D. Bending Mechanics of the Red-Eared Slider Turtle Carapace. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 2014, 30, 223–233.
- 364. Sahu, S. K.; Sreekanth, P. S. R.; Reddy, S. V. K. A Brief Review on Advanced Sandwich Structures with Customized Design Core and Composite Face Sheet. *Polymers* **2022**, *14* (20), 4267.
- 365. Sullivan, T. N.; Pissarenko, A.; Herrera, S. A.; Kisailus, D.; Lubarda, V. A.; Meyers, M. A. A Lightweight, Biological Structure with Tailored Stiffness: The Feather Vane. *Acta Biomater.* **2016**, *41*, 27–39.
- Liu, Z. Q.; Jiao, D.; Meyers, M.; Zhang, Z. Structure and Mechanical Properties of Naturally Occurring Lightweight Foam-Filled Cylinder – The Peacock's Tail Coverts Shaft and its Components. *Acta Biomater.* 2015, *17*, 137–151.
- 367. Yang, W.; Chao, C.; McKittrick, J. Axial Compression of a Hollow Cylinder Filled with Foam: A Study of Porcupine Quills. *Acta Biomater.* **2013**, *9* (2), 5297–5304.
- Wang, B.; Sullivan, T. N.; Pissarenko, A.; Zaheri, A.; Espinosa, H. D.; Meyers, M. A. Lessons from the Ocean: Whale Baleen Fracture Resistance. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *31* (3). https://doi.org/10.1002/adma. 201804574.
- Vincent, J. F. V.; Owers, P. Mechanical Design of Hedgehog Spines and Porcupine Quills. J. Zool. 1986, 210 (1), 55–75.
- 370. Attenborough, D. *Life on Earth: A Natural History*; Little Brown & Company: Boston, United States, 1979.
- Hansen, W. R.; Autumn, K. Evidence for Self-Cleaning in Gecko Setae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2005, 102 (2), 385–389.
- Wu, J.; Kim, S.; Chen, W.; Carlson, A.; Hwang, K. C.; Huang, Y.; Rogers, J. A. Mechanics of Reversible Adhesion. Soft Matter 2011, 7 (18), 8657–8662.

- Arzt, E.; Gorb, S.; Ralph, S. From Micro to Nano Contacts in Biological Attachment Devices. *Proc. Natl.* Acad. Sci. 2003, 100 (19), 10603–10606.
- Gorb, S. N. Biological Attachment Devices: Exploring Nature's Diversity for Biomimetics. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2008, *366* (1870), 1557–1574.
- 375. Palacio, M. L. B.; Bhushan, B.; Schricker, S. R. Gecko-Inspired Fibril Nanostructures for Reversible Adhesion in Biomedical Applications. *Mater. Lett.* **2013**, *92*, 409–412.
- Autumn, K.; Sitti, M.; Liang, Y. A.; Peattie, A. M.; Hansen, W. R.; Sponberg, S.; Kenny, T. W.; Fearing, R.; Israelachvili, J. N.; Full, R. J. Evidence for van der Waals Adhesion in Gecko Setae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2002, 99 (19), 12252–12256.
- Alibardi, L. Cell Organization of Barb Ridges in Regenerating Feathers of the Quail: Implications of the Elongation of Barb Ridges for the Evolution and Diversification of Feathers. *Acta Zool.* 2007, *88* (2), 101–117.
- Tang, D.; Liu, D.; Fan, Z. Study on the Interlocking Mechanism of Barbules of an Eagle Feather and the Corresponding Microstructures to Reconstitute Their Integrity. *J. Test. Eval.* 2020, *48* (3), 2494–2504.
- Chang, W.-L.; Wu, H.; Chiu, Y. K.; Wang, S.; Jiang, T. X.; Luo, Z. L.; Lin, Y. C.; Hsu, J. T.; Huang, H. L.; Gu, H. J.; Lin, T. Y.; Yang, S. M.; Lee, T. T.; Lai, Y. C.; Lei, M.; Shie, M. Y.; Yao, C. T.; Chen, Y. W.; Tsai, J.; Shieh, S. J.; Hwu, Y. K.; Cheng, H. C.; Tang, P. C.; Hung, S. C.; Chen, C. F.; Habib, M.; Widelitz, R. B.; Wu, P.; Juan, W. T.; Chuong, C. M. The Making of a Flight Feather: Bio-Architectural Principles and Adaptation. *Cell* **2019**, *179* (6), 1409–1423.e17.
- Roland Ennos, A.; Hickson, J. R. E.; Roberts, A. Functional Morphology of the Vanes of the Flight Feathers of the Pigeon Columba Livia. *J. Exp. Biol.* **1995**, *198* (5), 1219–1228.
- 381. Dyck, J. The Evolution of Feathers*. Zool. Scripta 1985, 14 (2), 137–154.
- Gebeshuber, I. C. Bird Biomimetics. In *Newsletter of the Malaysian Nature Society*, 2014; p. 4. https://www2.iap.tuwien.ac.at/~gebeshuber/MNS_Newsletter_Dec_2014.pdf.
- Li, X.; Tao, D.; Lu, H.; Bai, P.; Liu, Z.; Ma, L.; Meng, Y.; Tian, Y. Recent Developments in Gecko-Inspired Dry Adhesive Surfaces from Fabrication to Application. *Surf. Topogr. Metrol. Prop.* 2019, 7 (2), 023001.
- Russell, A. P.; Stark, A. Y.; Higham, T. E. The Integrative Biology of Gecko Adhesion: Historical Review, Current Understanding, and Grand Challenges. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 2019, 59 (1), 101–116.
- Boesel, L. F.; Greiner, C.; Arzt, E.; del Campo, A. Gecko-Inspired Surfaces: A Path to Strong and Reversible Dry Adhesives. *Adv. Mater.* 2010, *22* (19), 2125–2137.
- Kwak, J.-S.; Kim, T.-W. A Review of Adhesion and Friction Models for Gecko Feet. Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf. 2010, 11 (1), 171–186.
- Prowse, M. Environmental Effects on the Mechanical Properties and Adhesion of Gecko Setae. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Washington, 2012.
- Israelachvili, J. N.; Tabor, D. Van der Waals Forces: Theory and Experiment. In Progress in Surface and Membrane Science; Academic Press: New York, Vol. 7, 1973; pp 1–55.
- Hamaker, H. C. The London van der Waals Attraction Between Spherical Particles. *Physica* **1937**, 4 (10), 1058–1072.
- Hiller, U. Untersuchungen zum Feinbau und zur Funktion der Haftborsten von Reptilien. Z. Morphol. Tiere 1968, 62 (4), 307–362.
- Stork, N. E. Experimental Analysis of Adhesion of Chrysolina Polita (Chrysomelidae: Coleoptera) on A Variety of Surfaces. J. Exp. Biol. 1980, 88 (1), 91–108.
- 392. Fan, P. L.; O'Brien, W. J. Adhesion in Deformable Isolated Capillaries. *Adhes. Sci. Technol.* **1975**, 635–646. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-4331-8_14.
- 393. Orr, F. M.; Scriven, L. E.; Rivas, A. P. Pendular Rings between Solids: Meniscus Properties and Capillary Force. J. Fluid Mech. 1975, 67 (4), 723–742.

- 394. Bhushan, B. *Principles and Applications to Tribology: Bhushan/Introduction*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: New York, USA, 2013.
- 395. Wan Kim, T.; Bhushan, B. The Adhesion Model Considering Capillarity for Gecko Attachment System. J. R. Soc. Interface **2007**, 5 (20), 319–327.