Die approbierte Originalversion dieser Diplom-/ Masterarbeit ist in der Hauptbibliothek der Technischen Universität Wien aufgestellt und zugänglich.

http://www.ub.tuwien.ac.at



The approved original version of this diploma or master thesis is available at the main library of the Vienna University of Technology.

http://www.ub.tuwien.ac.at/eng



Unterschrift des Betreuers

## D I P L O M A R B E I T

# Adaptive Isogeometrische BEM

geschrieben am Institut für Analysis und Scientific Computing der Technischen Universität Wien

unter der Anleitung von Ao.Univ.Prof. Dipl.Math. Dr.techn. Dirk Praetorius Dipl.-Ing. Michael Feischl

 $\operatorname{durch}$ 

Gregor Gantner Siedlung 36 2054 Haugsdorf



## $\mathsf{D} \ \mathsf{I} \ \mathsf{P} \ \mathsf{L} \ \mathsf{O} \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathsf{A} \quad \mathsf{T} \ \mathsf{H} \ \mathsf{E} \ \mathsf{S} \ \mathsf{I} \ \mathsf{S}$

# Adaptive Isogeometric BEM

written at the Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing of the Vienna University of Technology

supervised by Ao.Univ.Prof. Dipl.Math. Dr.techn. Dirk Praetorius Dipl.-Ing. Michael Feischl

by

Gregor Gantner Siedlung 36 2054 Haugsdorf

## Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Ich erkläre an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Diplomarbeit selbstständig und ohne fremde Hilfe verfasst, andere als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel nicht benutzt bzw. die wörtlich oder sinngemäß entnommenen Stellen als solche kenntlich gemacht habe.

Unterschrift:

## Danksagung

Mein Dank gilt vor allem Professor Dirk Praetorius. Durch viele sehr gute Vorlesungen hat er mein Interesse an der Numerik geweckt. Das Thema, welches er mir für die Diplomarbeit vorgeschlagen hat, hat mich bis zum Schluss fasziniert.

Weiters möchte ich mich bei meinem Ko-Betreuer Michael Feischl herzlich bedanken. Traten während der Arbeit Unklarheiten auf, so nahm er sich stets für mich Zeit und half mir mit fruchtbaren Denkanstößen weiter.

Außerden möchte ich meinen Eltern Dank aussprechen, dafür dass sie mich stets in meinen Bestrebungen unterstüzt haben.

Nicht zuletzt will ich mich bei meinen Studienkollegen bedanken, mit denen ich eine wunderschöne Zeit verbringen durfte und hoffentlich auch zukünftig verbringen werde.

Finanziell wurde diese Arbeit durch das FWF Projekt Adaptive Boundary Element Method (P21732) unterstützt.

### Contents

1. Introduction	11
2. Localization of the Sobolev-Slobodeckij Norm	13
3. Local Error Indicators	29
3.1. Faermann-Estimators	31
3.2. Weighted-residual error estimator	33
4. Adaptive Algorithm with B-splines and NURBS	36
4.1. B-splines and NURBS	36
4.2. Adaptive Algorithm	46
5. Numerical Solution of Symm's Integral Equation	51
5.1. Numerical Approximation of $V_h$	54
5.2. Numerical Approximation of $F_h$	58
5.3. Numerical Evaluation of $V\psi_h$	61
5.4. Numerical Evaluation of $Kg$	63
5.5. Numerical Approximation of $ r_h _{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x_i))}$	64
6. Numerical Examples	67
6.1. Smooth solution on circle	68
6.2. Piecewise smooth solution on square	70
6.3. Singular solution on pacman	73
Appendix A. Differential Geometry	77
Appendix B. Implementation	80
B.1. Functions.h	80
B.2. Structures.h	81
B.3. Spline.h and Spline.c	83
B.4. Vmatrix.h and Vmatrix.c	91
B.5. Fvector.h and Fvector.c	99
B.6. Faer1Estimator.h and Faer1Estimator.c	107
B.7. MEX files	115
B.8. markElements.m	116
References	120

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this work, we consider an open two-dimensional set  $\Omega$  whose boundary  $\Gamma$ can be parametrized by some piecewise smooth path  $\gamma$ , and a subset  $\Gamma_D$  of the boundary. For given right hand side  $F \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ , we want to numerically approximate the solution  $\phi \in \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  of the boundary integral equation

$$V\phi = F. \tag{1.1}$$

Here  $V : \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  denotes a continuous and linear operator such that  $(\chi, \psi) \mapsto \langle V\chi, \psi \rangle$  defines an elliptic bilinear form on  $\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ . This problem is of practical interest, since the Dirichlet problem of elliptic partial differential equations can equivalently be written in such a form; see e.g. [McL00, Ste08].

As example serves the Poisson problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions for a twodimensional bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$ : Find u such that

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  

$$u = q \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$
(1.2)

Here f is a given volume force on  $\Omega$  and g are given Dirichlet boundary conditions on  $\Gamma$ . A classical solution  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfies (1.2) pointwise. In general, one can not expect that classical solutions exist. Instead one seeks for so-called *weak solutions u* in the Sobolev space  $H^1(\Omega)$  with  $u|_{\Gamma} = g$  and

$$\forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega): \quad \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \langle f, v \rangle. \tag{1.3}$$

Here, we assume that  $f \in \widetilde{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$  and  $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ . There always exists a unique weak solution. The normal derivative  $\phi := \partial u / \partial \nu \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  of this weak solution solves Symm's integral equation

$$V\phi = (K+1/2)g - N_0 f =: F,$$
(1.4)

with the the single-layer operator  $V : H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ , the double-layer operator  $K : H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ , and the Newton operator  $N_0 : \widetilde{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ . If we assume diam $(\Omega) < 1$  for the two-dimensional case, the single-layer operator has exactly the properties as the integral operator V from above. This especially implies the unique solvability of Symm's integral equation. Therefore, the solution  $\phi \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  of Symm's integral equation determines the weak solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  of the Dirichlet problem via the representation formula

$$u = \widetilde{V}\phi - \widetilde{K}g + \widetilde{N}_0 f, \tag{1.5}$$

with the Newton potential  $\widetilde{N}_0: \widetilde{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega)$ , the single-layer potential  $\widetilde{V}: \widetilde{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega)$  and the double-layer potential  $\widetilde{K}: H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^1(\Omega)$ . For more details and proofs, see for example [Ste08, Chapter 4.1.1, 6.1, 6.2, 6.4 and page 136–143]. Steinbach denotes the double-layer potential with W instead of  $\widetilde{K}$ .

For the numerical solution of elliptic partial differential equations, one often assumes the considered domain to be a polygon. In [CHB09], Thomas Hughes and collaborators consider three dimensional geometries whose boundaries are so called *NURBS surfaces*, and propose to use transformed *NURBS functions*, corresponding to this geometry, as *finite element method* (FEM) approximation space. Indeed, *computer aided design* (CAD) is mainly based on NURBS, wherefore nearly all geometries of practical interest are of such a form. This new

concept is called *isogeometric analysis* (IGA). Since designers usually construct the surface of the geometry rather than the geometry itself, FEM requires to generate a volume mesh for the use of IGA. This drawback motivates the use of *boundary element method* (BEM). Here, one writes the partial differential equation (if possible) as boundary integral equation and then applies Galerkin method. Hence, for BEM only a description and discretization of the surface (boundary) of the computational domain is needed. In this sense, BEM is the natural method of IGA.

In this work, we consider BEM for the boundary integral equation (1.1). Following the idea of IGA, we use NURBS transformed to the boundary as approximation space. Notice that piecewise polynomials, which are usually considered for BEM, are just special NURBS. We introduce an adaptive algorithm which is based on the local Faermann error estimator developed in [Fae00]. Assuming that  $\gamma$  is an arc length parametrization and taking transformed piecewise polynomials as approximation space, Faermann proved efficiency and reliability of this estimator.

In Section 2 and 3, we generalize Faermann's results to abstract approximation spaces satisfying a certain assumption (Assumption 2.10). Moreover we prove reliability of a weighted residual error estimator in Section 3. In the next Section we define B-splines and NURBS, show that their transformed linear span fulfills Assumption 2.10, and introduce the adaptive algorithm, Algorithm 4.15. In contrast to Faermann, we allow non arc length parametrizations  $\gamma$  for the transformation to the boundary. Then, in Section 5 we develop methods for the implementation of Symm's integral equation (1.4). The stiffness matrix, the right-hand side vector and the Faermann estimator are approximated by tensor-Gauss quadrature. Finally, Section 6 provides some numerical experiments. Here we compare conventional and isogeometric approaches as well as uniform and adaptive mesh refinement. Yet we have not been able to prove convergence of the algorithm. However, the numerical examples even show certain convergence rates. The thesis is concluded with the Appendix, where we prove some assertions for piecewise smooth paths  $\gamma$ , needed throughout the work. Moreover the codes for the implementation of the numerical examples can be found there.

#### 2. Localization of the Sobolev-Slobodeckij Norm

Througout this section, we assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is an open set such that the boundary  $\partial \Omega =: \Gamma$  can by parameterized by a fixed regular closed curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma$  with a < b as in Definition A.1. With  $\gamma$  we denote the (b - a)-periodic extension of  $\gamma$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and with  $\gamma'^{\ell}$  and  $\gamma'^{r}$  its left resp. right derivative. The fact that  $\gamma$  is a regular closed curve now just means that it is closed, continuous, piecewise continuously differentiable,  $\gamma|_{[a,b]}$  is bijective,  $\gamma'^{\ell}(t) \neq 0$ ,  $\gamma'^{r}(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and that  $\gamma'^{\ell}(t) + c\gamma'^{r}(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all c > 0.

Theorem A.3 implies that  $\gamma|_{(a+t,b+t)} : (a+t,b+t) \to \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(a+t)\}$  is a homeomorphism for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We consider a fixed subset  $\Gamma_D \subseteq \Gamma$ , where either  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$  or  $\Gamma_D = \gamma([a_D, b_D])$ with  $a_D < b_D \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b_D - a_D < b - a$ .

With  $\gamma_L$  we denote the arc length parametrization of  $\gamma$  from Lemma A.7 as well as its *L*-periodic extension.

**Lemma 2.1.** There exists  $C_{\Gamma} > 0$  with

$$\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } 0 < |t - s| \le \frac{3}{4}L : \quad C_{\Gamma}^{-1} \le \frac{|\gamma_L(t) - \gamma_L(s)|}{|t - s|} \le C_{\Gamma}.$$
(2.1)

*Proof.* For  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , with the substitution rule proves

$$\left|\gamma_{L}(t) - \gamma_{L}(s)\right| = \left|\int_{s}^{t} \gamma_{L}'(r) \,\mathrm{d}r\right| = \left|(t-s) \int_{0}^{1} \gamma_{L}'\left(r(t-s) + s\right) \,\mathrm{d}r\right|.$$

Hence, the set

$$\left\{\frac{\gamma_L(t) - \gamma_L(s)}{t - s} : s, t \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq t\right\}$$

is bounded above by some positive constant  $C_1$ . For each  $r \in [0, L]$  there exists  $\ell \in \{0, \ldots, 7\}$  with

$$\left[r, r + \frac{3}{4}L\right] \subseteq \left[\frac{\ell}{8}L, \frac{\ell+7}{8}L\right].$$
(2.2)

Due to Corollary A.6,  $\gamma_L \Big|_{\left[\frac{\ell}{8}L, \frac{\ell+7}{8}L\right]}^{-1}$  is Lipschitz continuous, i.e. there exists a positive constant  $C(\ell)$  with

$$\forall s, t \in \left[\frac{\ell}{8}L, \frac{\ell+7}{8}L\right] : |t-s| \le C(\ell)|\gamma_L(t) - \gamma_L(s)|.$$

Defining  $C_2 := \max_{\ell=0,\dots,7} C(\ell)$ , we get with (2.2) for any  $r \in [0, L]$ 

$$\forall s, t \in \left[r, r + \frac{3}{4}L\right]: \quad |t - s| \le C_2 |\gamma_L(t) - \gamma_L(s)|.$$

This implies because of the periodicity of  $\gamma_L$ 

$$\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |t-s| \leq \frac{3}{4}L: \quad |t-s| \leq C_2 |\gamma_L(t) - \gamma_L(s)|$$

With the definition  $C_{\Gamma} := \max(C_1, C_2)$  we finally get (2.1).

**Definition 2.2.** For any measurable subset  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma$ , we define with the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_0) := \lambda \big( \gamma_L^{-1}(\Gamma_0) \big).$$

We call  $\mu_{\Gamma}$  the surface measure on  $\Gamma$ . Obviously it holds  $\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma) = L$ .

*Remark* 2.3. For arbitrary parametrizations  $\tilde{\gamma}$  as in the beginning, one can define

$$\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_0) := \int_{\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}(\Gamma_0)} |\widetilde{\gamma}'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t).$$
(2.3)

This definition is not dependent on the considered path  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , wherefore it coincides with the definition from above. To see this, let  $\tilde{a} = \xi_0 < \cdots < \xi_{n_{\tilde{\gamma}}} = \tilde{b}$  with  $\tilde{\gamma}|_{[\xi_{j-1},\xi_j]} \in C^1([\xi_{j-1},\xi_j])$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ . Then  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\tilde{\gamma}}} \tilde{\gamma}((\xi_{j-1},\xi_j))$  is a manifold and the functions  $\tilde{\gamma}|_{(\xi_{j-1},\xi_j)}$  are embeddings (see [Kal11, Definition 15.2.1]). The surface measure on  $\Gamma$  introduced in (2.3), is just the extension of the surface measure on this manifold (see [Kal11, page 73–75]) with  $\mu_{\Gamma}(\tilde{\gamma}(\{\xi_j\})) = 0$  for  $j = 0, \ldots, n_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ . It does not depend on  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , but only on  $\Gamma$ .

Let u be a measurable function on a measurable subset  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ . Then the transformation theorem of measure theory shows

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} u(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) = \int_{\gamma_L^{-1}(\Gamma_0)} u(\gamma_L(t)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t), \tag{2.4}$$

where one side exists if and only if the other one exists. The following lemma is a generalization of this assertion.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let u be a measurable function on a measurable subset  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ . Then for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} u(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) = \int_{\gamma_L|_{(s,s+L)}^{-1}(\Gamma_0)} u(\gamma_L(t)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t), \tag{2.5}$$

where one side exists if and only if the other one exists.

*Proof.* We define  $s_L := \lfloor \frac{s}{L} \rfloor L$ . Because of the L-periodicity of  $\gamma_L$ , we then have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\gamma_{L}|_{(s,s+L)}^{-1}(\Gamma_{0})} u(\gamma_{L}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{\gamma_{L}^{-1}(\Gamma_{0})\cap(s,s_{L})} u(\gamma_{L}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{\gamma_{L}^{-1}(\Gamma_{0})\cap(s_{L},s+L)} u(\gamma_{L}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{\gamma_{L}^{-1}(\Gamma_{0})\cap(s+L-s_{L},L)} u(\gamma_{L}(t-L+s_{L})) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{\gamma_{L}^{-1}(\Gamma_{0})\cap(0,s+L-s_{L})} u(\gamma_{L}(t+s_{L})) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{\gamma_{L}^{-1}(\Gamma_{0})} u(\gamma_{L}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t). \end{split}$$

Here the first term exists if and only if the last one exists. The assertion follows from (2.4).

Remark 2.5. For arbitrary parametrizations  $\tilde{\gamma}$  as in the beginning of the section, there holds an analogous version of Lemma 2.4. Indeed we have because of Remark 2.3 and [Kal11, page 77] instead of (2.4)

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} u(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) = \int_{\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}(\Gamma_0)} u(\widetilde{\gamma}(t)) |\widetilde{\gamma}'(t)| \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t).$$
(2.6)

Now one can repeat the proof of Lemma 2.4 to see

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} u(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) = \int_{\widetilde{\gamma}|_{(s,s+L)}^{-1}(\Gamma_0)} u(\widetilde{\gamma}(t)) |\widetilde{\gamma}'(t)| \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t), \tag{2.7}$$

where one side exists if and only if the other one exists.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$  be measurable. For a real square-integrable  $u \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$ , we define the *Sobolev-Slobodeckij seminorm*<sup>1</sup>

$$|u|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)}^2 := \int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \in [0, \infty]$$

and the Sobolev-Slobodeckij norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)}^2 := \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}^2 + |u|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)}^2 \in [0,\infty].$$

Moreover, we define the Sobolev space  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\Gamma_0) : \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)} < \infty \right\}$  endowed with

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)} := \int_{\Gamma_0} u(x)v(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) + \int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y).$$

For finite nonempty intervals  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define analogously  $|\cdot|_{H^{1/2}(I)}, ||\cdot||_{H^{1/2}(I)}$  and  $H^{1/2}(I)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$  be nonempty and measurable. Then,  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)$  is a real Hilbert space. An analogous result holds for finite nonempty intervals I.

*Proof.* We define the measure  $\nu(\cdot) := \int_{(\cdot)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^2} d(\mu_{\Gamma} \times \mu_{\Gamma})(x,y)$  on  $\Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0$  and  $\Phi(u) := ((x,y) \mapsto u(x) - u(x))$  for  $u \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$ . Now we note that

$$\forall u \in L^2(\Gamma_0) : |u|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)} = ||\Phi(u)||_{L^2(\nu)}$$

and

$$H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Gamma_0) : \Phi(u) \in L^2(\nu) \right\}.$$

Therefore  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)$  is a vector space. Obviously  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)}$  is a scalar product on  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)$  which induces the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)}$ .

It remains to show completeness. Let  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)$ . Because of the completeness of  $L^2(\Gamma_0)$  and  $L^2(\nu)$ , the sequence  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}$  to some limit  $u \in L^2(\Gamma_0)$  and the sequence  $(\Phi(u_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  to some limit  $U \in L^2(\nu)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\nu)}$ . Hence there exists a subsequence  $(u_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  converging almost everywhere to u. Then  $(\Phi(u_{n_k}))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges almost everywhere to  $\Phi(u)$ . Since  $(\Phi(u_{n_k}(x)))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  also contains a subsequence which converges almost everywhere to U(x, y), this implies U(x, y) = $\Phi(u)$  almost everywhere. Therefore  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)}$  to  $u \in$  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)$ . The proof for intervals I is analogous.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty interval with length  $\lambda(I) \leq \frac{3}{4}L$  and u a measurable function on  $\gamma_L(I)$ . Then, there holds

$$C_{\Gamma}^{-2} |u \circ \gamma_L|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^2 \le |u|_{H^{1/2}(\gamma_L(I))}^2 \le C_{\Gamma}^2 |u \circ \gamma_L|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^2.$$
(2.8)

*Proof.* Due to Lemma 2.4, there holds

$$\int_{\gamma_L(I)} \int_{\gamma_L(I)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) = \int_I \int_I \frac{|u(\gamma_L(s)) - u(\gamma_L(t))|^2}{|\gamma_L(s) - \gamma_L(t)|^2} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t).$$

The assertion of the lemma now follows immediately from Lemma (2.1).

<sup>1</sup>The set  $\{(x, y) \in \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0 : x = y\}$  has measure 0.

### **Definition 2.9.** If $\Gamma = \Gamma_D$ let

$$\{\check{x}_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$$
 with  $a < \check{x}_1 < \check{x}_2 < \dots < \check{x}_n = b$ 

be a set of nodes on (a, b]. We define the corresponding data:

• The nodes on  $\mathbb R$  and the nodes on  $\Gamma$ 

$$\check{x}_{j+n} = \check{x}_j + (b-a)$$
 for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x_j := \gamma(\check{x}_j)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

• The elements on  $\mathbb{R}$  and the elements on  $\Gamma$ 

$$\check{T}_j := [\check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_j] \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } T_j := \gamma(\check{T}_j) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

• The length of the elements

$$h_{\check{T}_j} := \check{x}_j - \check{x}_{j-1}$$
 for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $h_{T_j} := \mu_{\Gamma}(T_j)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Due to Remark 2.5, it holds

$$\inf |\gamma'([a,b])| \cdot h_{\check{T}_j} \le h_{T_j} \le \sup |\gamma'([a,b])| \cdot h_{\check{T}_j}.$$

$$(2.9)$$

If  $\gamma$  is even an arc length parametrization, it holds  $h_{T_j} = h_{\tilde{T}_j}$ . We also define the maximal length of the elements on  $\Gamma$ 

$$h:=\max_{j=1,\ldots,n}h_{T_j}.$$

• The set of nodes

$$\check{\mathcal{N}}_h := \left\{ \check{x}_j : j = 1, \dots, n \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_h := \left\{ x_j : j = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

• The mesh on [a, b] and the mesh on  $\Gamma$ 

$$\check{\mathcal{T}}_h := \{\check{T}_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{T}_h := \{T_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}.$ 

• The two nodes of an element  $T_j$ 

$$x_{T_{j},1} := x_{j-1}$$
 and  $x_{T_{j},2} := x_j$ 

• If  $n \ge 2$ , the two elements containing the node  $x_j$ 

$$T_{x_j,1} := T_j$$
 and  $T_{x_j,2} := T_{j+1}$ 

• If  $n \geq 3$ , the two neighbours of an element  $T_j$ 

$$T_j^- := \gamma(\check{T}_{j-1})$$
 and  $T_j^+ := \gamma(\check{T}_{j+1}).$ 

Indeed the elements  $T_j^{\pm}$  are just the two elements in  $\mathcal{T}_h$  with  $T_j^{\pm} \neq T_j$  and  $T_j^{\pm} \cap T_j \neq \emptyset$ . • The shape regularity constant of the mesh on [a, b] and on  $\Gamma$ 

$$\kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}}_h) := \max\left(\left\{h_{\check{T}}/h_{\check{T}'} : \check{T}, \check{T}' \in \check{\mathcal{T}}_h, \gamma(\check{T}) \cap \gamma(\check{T}') \neq \emptyset\right\}\right),\\ \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h) := \max\left(\left\{h_T/h_{T'} : T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_h, T \cap T' \neq \emptyset\right\}\right).$$

• The *patch function* of first order

$$\omega_h: \mathcal{P}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{P}(\Gamma): \Gamma_0 \mapsto \bigcup \left\{ T \in \mathcal{T}_h : T \cap \Gamma_0 \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

and the patch function of arbitrary order

$$\begin{split} \omega_h^0 &:= i d_{\mathcal{P}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)} \\ \omega_h^\ell &:= \omega_h \circ \omega_h^{\ell-1} \quad \text{for } \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \end{split}$$

For  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x \in \Gamma$ , we write  $\omega_h(x) := \omega_h(\{x\})$  and  $\omega_h^{\ell}(x) := \omega_h^{\ell}(\{x\})$ . If  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$  let

$$\{\check{x}_j : j = 0, \dots, n\}$$
 with  $a_D = \check{x}_0 < \check{x}_1 < \dots < \check{x}_n = b_D$ 

be a set of nodes on  $[a_D, b_D]$ . In this case we do not extend them to nodes on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The n + 1 nodes on  $\Gamma_D$ , the *n* elements, their length, the sets of nodes consisting here of n + 1 points and the meshes can be defined analogously. For inner nodes  $x_j$  with  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ , we define the elements containing  $x_j$  analogously. We set  $T_{x_0} := T_1$  and  $T_{x_n} := T_n$ . We also define the neighbours of an element  $T_j$  as before, where we set

$$T_0 := \emptyset$$
 and  $T_{n+1} := \emptyset$ .

Although it would be canonical to define  $h_{T_1^-}$  and  $h_{T_n^+}$  as 0, it will be more convenient for us to define them as  $\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_D)$ 

$$h_{T_1^-} := h_{T_n^+} := \mu_{\Gamma} (\gamma([\check{x}_n, \check{x}_0 + b - a])).$$

If  $\gamma$  is even an arc length parametrization, Lemma 2.4 yields  $h_{T_1^-} = h_{T_n^+} = \check{x}_0 + L - \check{x}_n$ . Exchanging  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma_D$ , the definitions of the shape regularity constant and of the patch function look exactly the same.

For the rest of this section, we consider fixed mesh data with

$$h \le \frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)}{4} = \frac{L}{4}.$$
(2.10)

This implies  $|\mathcal{T}_h| \geq 4$  for  $\Gamma = \Gamma_D$ . For simplicity, we will also assume  $|\mathcal{T}_h| \geq 4$  for  $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_D$ . Moreover we assume for the rest of the section that  $\gamma$  coincides with the arc length parametrization  $\gamma_L$ . This is no restriction, since the following results are all formulated on the boundary  $\Gamma$  itself and not on the parameter domain [a, b]. There holds a = 0 and b = L.

We suppose that we are given  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and a subspace  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$  of  $L^2(\Gamma_D)$  which satisfies the following assumption.

#### Assumption 2.10. There holds:

(i) For all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$  there exists a fixed function<sup>2</sup>  $\phi_T \in S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$  with connected support  $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_T)$  and

$$T \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\phi_T) \subseteq \omega_h^m(T).$$
 (2.11)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Since  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$  consists of equivalence classes, we actually we mean that  $\phi_T$  is one particular representative of an element of  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ . Note that it is not necessarily possible to replace  $\phi_T$  here by another element of the corresponding equivalence class.

(ii) There exists a constant  $q_{space} \in (0, 1]$  such that for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ 

$$\|1 - \phi_T\|_{L^2(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_T))}^2 \le (1 - q_{space})\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_T)).$$
(2.12)

Our aim is to prove the following localization result whose proof is mainly based on Proposition 2.13 and Proposition 2.16.

**Theorem 2.11.** There exists a constant  $C_{main} > 0$  such that for all  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  satisfying  $\forall v \in S^m(\mathcal{T}_h) : \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} = 0,$  (2.13)

there holds

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{main} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2.$$
(2.14)

The constant is explicitly given by

$$C_{main} = 1 + C_{loc} C_{poinc}.$$

Here,  $C_{loc}$  is the constant in Proposition 2.13, and  $C_{poinc} = C_{poinc}(h, \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h), m, q_{space})$  is the constant in Proposition 2.16.

This theorem, as well as of the following lemmata and propositions needed for its proof, are inspired by similar versions of [Fae00, Section 2]. The theorem corresponds to [Fae00, Theorem 2.2]. She considered  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(\Gamma_D)}$  with s > 0 instead of  $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}$ . Instead of the space  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ , she used transformed piecewise polynomials on [0, L], see [Fae00, page 206]. It is also notable that Faermann did not prove any analogous version of Lemma 2.1, but just assumed that (2.1) holds, see [Fae00, Assumption 2.1].

The converse inequality even holds without orthogonality.

Theorem 2.12. Let  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ . Then,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 \le 2 ||u||_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2.$$
(2.15)

Proof of Theorem 2.12 for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . We define for  $y \neq z \in \Gamma$ 

$$U(y,z) := \frac{|u(y) - u(z)|^2}{|y - z|^2}$$

There holds

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))} &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} |u|^2_{H^{1/2}(T \cup T^+)} \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left( \int_T \int_T U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) + \int_T \int_{T^+} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left( \int_{T^+} \int_{T^+} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) + \int_T \int_{T^+} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) \right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T \int_\Gamma U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z). \end{split}$$

The last term is just  $2||u||_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}^2$ , which concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.12 for  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$ . The proof reads nearly the same

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_{h}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2} &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(T \cup T^{+})}^{2} + |u|_{H^{1/2}(T_{1})}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \left( \int_{T} \int_{T} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) + \int_{T} \int_{T^{+}} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \left( \int_{T^{+}} \int_{T^{+}} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) + \int_{T} \int_{T^{+}} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) \right) \\ &+ \int_{T_{1}} \int_{T_{1}} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{T} \int_{\Gamma_{D}} U(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(z). \end{split}$$

The last term is just  $2||u||_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2$ , which concludes the proof.

**Proposition 2.13.** For  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ , we have

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 + C_{loc} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^2(T)}^2,$$
(2.16)

with the constant

$$C_{loc} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)}{8} + 4C_{\Gamma}^2 & \text{if } \Gamma_D = \Gamma.\\ \frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)}{4} + 4C_{\Gamma}^2 & \text{if } \Gamma_D \neq \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.13 for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . To simplify the notation, we define for  $x, y \in \Gamma$ 

$$U(x,y) := \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^2}.$$

First, we estimate  $|u|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}$ . Up to sets of measure zero, we have  $\omega_h(T) = T^- \stackrel{.}{\cup} T \stackrel{.}{\cup} T^+$ , which shows

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 &= \int_{\Gamma_D} \int_{\Gamma_D} U(x,y) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(y) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{T} \int_{\Gamma_D} U(x,y) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left( \int_{T} \int_{T} U(x,y) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(y) + \int_{T} \int_{T^-} U(x,y) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \right. \\ &+ \int_{T} \int_{T^+} U(x,y) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(y) + \underbrace{\int_{T} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} U(x,y) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(y)}_{=:E_T} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left( \frac{1}{2} |u|_{H^{1/2}(T \cup T^-)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u|_{H^{1/2}(T \cup T^+)}^2 + E_T \right) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} E_T. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$E_T = \int_T \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} U(x, y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \le E_{T,1} + E_{T,2}$$
(2.18)

with

$$E_{T,1} := 2 \int_T |u(y)|^2 \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} |x - y|^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_\Gamma(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_\Gamma(y)$$

and

$$E_{T,2} := 2 \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} |u(x)|^2 \int_T |x-y|^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_\Gamma(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_\Gamma(x).$$

To estimate  $E_{T,1}$ , let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $T = T_j$ ,  $y \in T_j$  and  $\check{y} \in \check{T}_j$  with  $\gamma(\check{y}) = y$ . For any  $t \in M_j$  with

$$M_j := \gamma^{-1} \left( \Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T) \right) \cap \left( \check{x}_j - \frac{L}{2}, \check{x}_j + \frac{L}{2} \right) = \left( \check{x}_j - \frac{L}{2}, \check{x}_{j-2} \right) \cup \left( \check{x}_{j+1}, \check{x}_j + \frac{L}{2} \right), \quad (2.19)$$

it holds because of (2.10)

$$|t - \check{y}| \le |t - \check{x}_j| + |\check{y} - \check{x}_j| \le \frac{L}{2} + h_{\check{T}_j} \le \frac{3}{4}L.$$
(2.20)

Because of Lemma (2.1) and Lemma 2.4, we therefore get that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} |x - y|^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) &= \int_{M_j} |\gamma(t) - \gamma(\check{y})|^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\leq C_{\Gamma}^2 \int_{M_j} |t - \check{y}|^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\leq C_{\Gamma}^2 \left( \int_{(-\infty,\check{x}_{j-2}]} (\check{y} - t)^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[\check{x}_{j+1},\infty)} (t - \check{y})^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \right) \\ &= C_{\Gamma}^2 \Big( (\check{y} - \check{x}_{j-2})^{-1} + (\check{x}_{j+1} - \check{y})^{-1} \Big). \end{split}$$

Since  $\check{y} \in \check{T}_j$ , we have for  $y \in T$ 

$$\int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} |x - y|^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \le C_{\Gamma}^2 (h_{T_{j-1}}^{-1} + h_{T_{j+1}}^{-1}) = C_{\Gamma}^2 (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}).$$
(2.21)

This implies

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} E_{T,1} \le 2C_{\Gamma}^2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2.$$
(2.22)

For  $E_{T,2}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} E_{T,2} &= 2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} |u(x)|^2 \int_T |x - y|^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\Gamma_D} (1 - \chi_{\omega_h(T)}(x)) |u(x)|^2 \int_T |x - y|^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= 2 \int_{\Gamma_D} |u(x)|^2 \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (1 - \chi_{\omega_h(T)}(x)) \int_T |x - y|^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \right) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{T'} |u(x)|^2 g(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x). \end{split}$$

Let  $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_h$  and  $x \in T' \setminus \mathcal{N}_h$ . Then, we have the equivalences

$$\chi_{\omega_h(T)}(x) = 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x \in \omega_h(T)$$
$$\iff \quad T \in \{T'^-, T', T'^+\}.$$

Thus, (2.21) shows for almost every  $x \in T'$  that

$$g(x) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}_h \\ T \notin \{T'^-, T', T'^+\}}} \int_T |x - y|^{-2} d\mu_{\Gamma}(y)$$
$$= \int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T')} |x - y|^{-2} d\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \le C_{\Gamma}^2(h_{T'^-}^{-1} + h_{T'^+}^{-1}).$$

Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} E_{T,2} \leq 2C_{\Gamma}^{2} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} (h_{T'^{-}}^{-1} + h_{T'^{+}}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^{2}(T')}^{2}$$

$$= 2C_{\Gamma}^{2} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} (h_{T^{-}}^{-1} + h_{T^{+}}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2}.$$
(2.23)

Combining (2.17), (2.18), (2.22) and (2.23) shows

$$|u|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 + 4C_{\Gamma}^2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) ||u||_{L^2(T)}^2.$$
(2.24)

It remains to estimate  $||u||_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2$ . From (2.10), we get

$$\frac{8}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)} \le (h_{T^{-}}^{-1} + h_{T^{+}}^{-1}).$$
(2.25)

Therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{D})}^{2} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \|u\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} \leq \frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)}{8} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} (h_{T^{-}}^{-1} + h_{T^{+}}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2}.$$
(2.26)

Adding (2.24) and (2.26) gives the assertion of the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 2.13 for  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$ . The proof follows essentially as for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . We therefore only consider the differences. In (2.17) the last "=" has to be replaced by " $\leq$ ". With  $\check{x}_{-1} := \check{x}_n - L$  and  $\check{x}_{n+1} := \check{x}_0 + L$ , the last "=" of (2.19) has to be replaced by " $\subseteq$ ". To see this, we define  $\omega_T := \omega_h(T) \cup \gamma([\check{x}_n, \check{x}_0 + L])$  for  $T = T_1$  or  $T = T_n$  and  $\omega_T := \omega_h(T)$  else. Then we get (up to two points if  $T = T_1$  or  $T = T_n$ )

$$M_{j} := \gamma^{-1} \left( \Gamma_{D} \setminus \omega_{h}(T) \right) \cap \left( \check{x}_{j} - \frac{L}{2}, \check{x}_{j} + \frac{L}{2} \right)$$
$$\subseteq \gamma^{-1} \left( \Gamma \setminus \omega_{T} \right) \cap \left( \check{x}_{j} - \frac{L}{2}, \check{x}_{j} + \frac{L}{2} \right)$$
$$= \left( \check{x}_{j} - \frac{L}{2}, \check{x}_{j-2} \right) \cup \left( \check{x}_{j+1}, \check{x}_{j} + \frac{L}{2} \right).$$

Proceeding as before, we see again that for any  $y \in T$ 

$$\int_{\Gamma_D \setminus \omega_h(T)} |x - y|^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \le C_{\Gamma}^2(h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}).$$

Finally in (2.25) – (2.26), one must replace 8 by 4, since we do not necessarily have  $\frac{4}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)} \leq h_{T_1^-}^{-1}$  or  $\frac{4}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)} \leq h_{T_n^+}^{-1}$ .

To prove Theorem 2.11 it remains to estimate  $\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) ||u||_{L^2(T)}^2$ . Whereas we didn't use the orthogonality (2.13) for Proposition 2.13, it will be essential for this estimate given in Proposition 2.16.

**Lemma 2.14.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a finite interval with length  $\lambda(I) > 0$ . Then, there holds for all  $u \in L^2(I)$  the Poincaré-type inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(I)|u|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda(I)}\left|\int_{I}u(t)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t)\right|^{2}.$$
(2.27)

*Proof.* Assume  $|u|_{H^{1/2}(I)} < \infty$ , otherwise the assertion holds trivially. The definition  $E := \int_{I} u(t) d\lambda(t)$  leads to

$$\begin{split} &\int_{I} \int_{I} |u(s) - u(t)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{I} \int_{I} u(s)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{I} \int_{I} u(t)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) - 2 \int_{I} \int_{I} u(s)u(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= 2\lambda(I) \int_{I} u(s)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) - 2E^2 = 2\lambda(I) \|u\|_{L^2(I)}^2 - 2E^2. \end{split}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda(I) \|u\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} - 2E^{2} &= \int_{I} \int_{I} \frac{|u(s) - u(t)|^{2}}{|s - t|^{2}} |s - t|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\leq \lambda(I)^{2} \int_{I} \int_{I} \frac{|u(s) - u(t)|^{2}}{|s - t|^{2}} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) = \lambda(I)^{2} |u|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and concludes the proof.

-	-	-	-	
L				

**Lemma 2.15.** For all  $u \in L^2(\Gamma_D)$  satisfying  $\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} = 0$  for all  $v \in S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ , there holds for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ 

$$\begin{cases} \|u\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} \leq C_{patch}h_{T}|u|_{H^{1/2}(T)}^{2} & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \|u\|_{L^{2}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_{T}))}^{2} \leq C_{patch}\mu_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_{T}))\sum_{x \in \omega_{h}^{m-1}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}_{h}}|u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2} & \text{if } m > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.28)$$

with the constant

$$C_{patch} = \begin{cases} \frac{C_{\Gamma}^2}{2q_{space}} & \text{if } m = 0.\\ \frac{C_{\Gamma}^2}{2q_{space}} (1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h))^{2m-1} & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

Here, the constant  $q_{space}$  is the one from Assumption 2.10.

Proof of Lemma 2.15 for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . Since  $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_T)$  is connected, there is an interval I of length  $\lambda(I) \leq L$  with  $\gamma(I) = \operatorname{supp}(\phi_T)$ . We use Lemma 2.14 and get

$$\|u \circ \gamma\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(I)\|u \circ \gamma\|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \underbrace{\left|\int_{I} u \circ \gamma(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t)\right|^{2}}_{=:E^{2}}.$$

We use Lemma 2.4, the orthogonality (2.13) and Assumption 2.10, (ii), to get

$$E^{2} = \left| \int_{\operatorname{supp}(\phi_{T})} u(y)(1 - \phi_{T}(y)) \, d\lambda(y) \right|^{2}$$
$$= \left| \int_{I} \left( u \circ \gamma(t) \right) \left( 1 - \phi_{T} \circ \gamma(t) \right) \, d\lambda(t) \right|^{2}$$
$$\leq \| 1 - (\phi_{T} \circ \gamma) \|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \| u \circ \gamma \|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \leq (1 - q_{space}) \lambda(I) \| u \circ \gamma \|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}.$$

Using the previous inequality, we therefore get

$$||u \circ \gamma||^{2}_{L^{2}(I)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(I)|u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(I)} + (1 - q_{space})||u \circ \gamma||^{2}_{L^{2}(I)},$$

which implies

$$||u||_{L^{2}(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_{T}))}^{2} \leq \frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_{T}))}{2q_{space}} |u \circ \gamma|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^{2}.$$
(2.29)

If m = 0 we are done due to (2.10) and Lemma 2.8. To estimate  $|u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(I)}$  for p > 0, we use induction on  $\ell$  to prove the following assertion:

$$\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N} : \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} : \quad |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell}])} \le (1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h))^{\ell-1} \sum_{q=j}^{j+\ell-1} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_q \cup \check{T}_{q+1})}. \quad (2.30)$$

For  $\ell = 1$  it is obvious. The induction hypothesis for  $\ell - 1 \ge 1$  is

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{Z} : \quad |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}])} \le (1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h))^{\ell-2} \sum_{q=j}^{j+\ell-2} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_q \cup \check{T}_{q+1})}. \tag{2.31}$$

For  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  we introduce

$$\check{U}(r,s) := \frac{|u(\gamma(r)) - u(\gamma(s))|^2}{23}$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell}])} &= \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}]} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}]} \check{U}(r,s) \, d\lambda(r) \, d\lambda(s) \\ &+ \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \check{U}(r,s) \, d\lambda(r) \, d\lambda(s) + 2 \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}]} \check{U}(r,s) \, d\lambda(r) \, d\lambda(s) \\ &= |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}])} + |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{j+\ell})} + 2 \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \check{U}(r,s) \, d\lambda(r) \, d\lambda(s) \\ &+ 2 \underbrace{\int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}]} \check{U}(r,s) \, d\lambda(r) \, d\lambda(s)}_{:=E} \\ &\leq |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}])} + |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{j+\ell-1}\cup\check{T}_{j+\ell})} + 2E. \end{aligned}$$

For  $r < t < s \in \mathbb{R}$  , we have

$$\check{U}(r,s) \le 2\frac{|u(\gamma(r)) - u(\gamma(t))|^2}{|r-s|^2} + 2\frac{|u(\gamma(t)) - u(\gamma(s))|^2}{|r-s|^2} \le 2\check{U}(r,t) + 2\check{U}(t,s).$$

hence with  $h_q := h_{\check{T}_q}$  for  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\begin{split} E &= \frac{1}{h_{j+\ell-1}} \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell-1}} \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-2}]} \check{U}(r,s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(r) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{h_{j+\ell-1}} \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell-1}} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-2}]} \check{U}(r,t) \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} 1 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(r) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{h_{j+\ell-1}} \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell-1}} \int_{\check{T}_{j+\ell}} \check{U}(t,s) \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-2}]} 1 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(r) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\leq \frac{h_{j+\ell}}{h_{j+\ell-1}} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}])} + \frac{\check{x}_{j+\ell-2} - \check{x}_{j-1}}{h_{j+\ell-1}} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{j+\ell-1} \cup \check{T}_{j+\ell})}. \end{split}$$

There holds

$$\frac{\check{x}_{j+\ell-2}-\check{x}_{j-1}}{h_{j+\ell-1}} = \sum_{q=j}^{j+\ell-2} \frac{h_q}{h_{j+\ell-1}} \le \sum_{q=j}^{j+\ell-2} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{j+\ell-1-q} = \sum_{q=1}^{\ell-1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^q.$$

This implies

$$E \leq \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h) |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}])} + |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{j+\ell-1}\cup\check{T}_{j+\ell})} \sum_{q=1}^{\ell-1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^q.$$

Inserting this into our estimate (2.32) and using

$$1 + 2\sum_{q=1}^{\ell-1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^q \le (1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h))^{\ell-1}$$

as well as the induction hypothesis (2.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell}])} \\ &\leq (1+2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))|u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j+\ell-1}])} + (1+2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{\ell-1}|u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{j+\ell-1}\cup\check{T}_{j+\ell})} \\ &\leq (1+2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{\ell-1}\sum_{q=j}^{j+\ell-2}|u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{q}\cup\check{T}_{q+1})} + (1+2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{\ell-1}|u \circ \gamma|_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{j+\ell-1}\cup\check{T}_{j+\ell})} \\ &= (1+2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{\ell-1}\sum_{q=j}^{j+\ell-1}|u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{q}\cup\check{T}_{q+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the induction step and thus proves (2.30). There is a  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$\gamma([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{\min(j+2m,j-1+n)}]) = \omega_h^m(T).$$

Because of Assumption 2.10, (i), one can choose I such that  $I \subseteq [\check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_{\min(j+2m,j-1+n)}]$ . We use (2.29) and (2.30) for  $\ell = \min(2m, n-1)$  to see

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_{T}))}^{2} &\leq \frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_{T}))}{2q_{space}} (1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{\min(2m, -1+n) - 1} \sum_{q=j}^{\min(j+2m, j-1+n) - 1} |u \circ \gamma|_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{q} \cup \check{T}_{q+1})}^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_{T}))}{2q_{space}} (1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{2m-1} \sum_{q=j}^{\min(j+2m, j-1+n) - 1} |u \circ \gamma|_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{q} \cup \check{T}_{q+1})}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 2.8 and

$$\left\{x_q: q=j,\ldots,\min(j+2m,j-1+n)-1\right\} \subseteq \omega_h^{m-1}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}_h,$$

to get

$$\sum_{q=j}^{\min(j+2m,j-1+n)-1} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_q \cup \check{T}_{q+1})} \le C_{\Gamma}^2 \sum_{q=j}^{\min(j+2m,j-1+n)-1} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x_q))}$$
$$\le C_{\Gamma}^2 \sum_{x \in \omega_h^{m-1}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}_h} |u|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))},$$

which concludes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.15 for  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$ . As before we see (2.29), where we choose  $I \subseteq [a_D, b_D]$ . For m = 0 we are again done. There holds an analogous version of (2.30)

$$\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N} : \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} :$$

$$j + \ell \leq n \implies |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_{j+\ell}])} \leq (1 + 2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h))^{\ell-1} \sum_{q=j}^{j+\ell-1} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_q \cup \check{T}_{q+1})}.$$

$$(2.33)$$

This can be proven just as before. Let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $\gamma([\check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_{\min(j+2m,n)}]) = \omega_h^m(T)$ and  $I \subseteq [\check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_{\min(j+2m,n)}]$ . Note that  $\ell := \min(j+2m,n) - j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\ell + j \leq n$ . Hence, we may apply (2.33) to see

$$\begin{aligned} |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(I)} &\leq |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}([\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{\min(j+2m,n)}])} \\ &\leq (1+2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{\ell-1} \sum_{q=j}^{\min(j+2m,n)-1} |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{q} \cup \check{T}_{q+1})} \\ &\leq (1+2\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}))^{2m-1} \sum_{q=j}^{\min(j+2m,n)-1} |u \circ \gamma|^{2}_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_{q} \cup \check{T}_{q+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.8, we see

$$\sum_{q=j}^{\min(j+2m,n)-1} |u \circ \gamma|^2_{H^{1/2}(\check{T}_q \cup \check{T}_{q+1})} \le C_{\Gamma}^2 \sum_{x \in \omega_h^{m-1}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}_h} |u|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}$$

and conclude the proof.

**Proposition 2.16.** For all  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  satisfying  $\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} = 0$  for all  $v \in S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ , there holds

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \le C_{poinc} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2,$$
(2.34)

with the constant

$$C_{poinc} = \begin{cases} C_{patch}\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h) & \text{if } \Gamma_D = \Gamma \text{ and } m = 0. \\ 4C_{patch}m\left(1 + 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1}\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^\ell\right) & \text{if } \Gamma_D = \Gamma \text{ and } m > 0. \\ C_{patch}\max\left(\frac{h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma\setminus\Gamma_D)},\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)\right) & \text{if } \Gamma_D \neq \Gamma \text{ and } m = 0. \\ 4C_{patch}m\max\left(\frac{(m+1)h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma\setminus\Gamma_D)},1 + 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1}\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^\ell\right) & \text{if } \Gamma_D \neq \Gamma \text{ and } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $C_{patch}$  is the constant of Lemma 2.15.

Proof of Proposition 2.16 for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . For m = 0, Lemma 2.15 implies

$$\begin{split} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} (h_{T^{-}}^{-1} + h_{T^{+}}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} &\leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} (h_{T^{-}}^{-1} + h_{T^{+}}^{-1}) C_{patch} h_{T} |u|_{H^{1/2}(T)}^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} C_{patch} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}) \left( |u|_{H^{1/2}(T)}^{2} + |u|_{H^{1/2}(T^{+})}^{2} \right) \\ &\leq C_{patch} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h}) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_{h}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2}. \end{split}$$

For m > 0, Assumption 2.10, (i), and Lemma 2.15 give

$$||u||_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} \leq ||u||_{L^{2}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_{T}))}^{2} \leq C_{patch}\mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_{h}^{m}(T))\sum_{x\in\omega_{h}^{m-1}(T)\cap\mathcal{N}_{h}}|u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2}.$$
(2.35)

Let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $T = T_j$ . Then, we have with  $h_{\ell} := h_{\tilde{T}_{\ell}}$  for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h^m(T))}{h_{T^-}} \le \frac{\check{x}_{j+m} - \check{x}_{j-1-m}}{h_{j-1}} = \sum_{\ell=-m+1}^{m+1} \frac{h_{j-1+\ell}}{h_{j-1}} \le \sum_{\ell=-m+1}^{m+1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{|\ell|} \le 1 + 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{\ell} \quad (2.36)$$

and

$$\frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h^m(T))}{h_{T^+}} \le \frac{\check{x}_{j+m} - \check{x}_{j-1-m}}{h_{j+1}} = \sum_{\ell=-m-1}^{m-1} \frac{h_{j+1+\ell}}{h_{j+1}} \le \sum_{\ell=-m-1}^{m-1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{|\ell|} \le 1 + 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{\ell}.$$
(2.37)

Combining (2.35), (2.36) and (2.37), we obtain with  $C := C_{patch} (1 + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{\ell})$ 

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} (h_{T^{-}}^{-1} + h_{T^{+}}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} \leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \left( \sum_{x \in \omega_{h}^{m-1}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}_{h}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2} + \sum_{x \in \omega_{h}^{m-1}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}_{h}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2} \right)$$

$$= 2C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{N}_{h} \\ x \in \omega_{h}^{m-1}(T)}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2}$$

$$= 2C \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_{h}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \\ x \in \omega_{h}^{m-1}(T)}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2}$$

$$= 4Cm \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_{h}} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2}.$$
(2.38)

This is just the assertion of the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 2.16 for  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$ . For m = 0, Lemma 2.15 implies

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \le \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) C_{patch} h_T \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(T)}^2.$$

Now, we split the sum into two sums, shift the indices in the second one, and see

$$\begin{split} \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}(h_{T^{-}}^{-1}+h_{T^{+}}^{-1})\|u\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} &\leq C_{patch}\max\left(\frac{h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma\setminus\Gamma_{D})},\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h})\right)\\ &\left(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}\left(|u|_{H^{1/2}(T)}^{2}\right)+\left(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_{h}\setminus\{T_{n}\}}\left(|u|_{H^{1/2}(T^{+})}^{2}\right)+|u|_{H^{1/2}(T_{1})}^{2}\right)\right)\\ &\leq C_{patch}\max\left(\frac{h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma\setminus\Gamma_{D})},\kappa(\mathcal{T}_{h})\right)\sum_{x\in\mathcal{N}_{h}}|u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2}.\end{split}$$

For m > 0, we have again (2.35). Let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $T = T_j$ . If j = 1, we have

$$\frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h^m(T))}{h_{T^-}} = \frac{\check{x}_{\min(1+m,n)} - \check{x}_0}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_D)} \le \frac{(m+1)h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_D)}$$

If  $j \neq 1$ , we have with  $h_{\ell} := h_{\check{T}_{\ell}}$  for  $\ell = 1, \ldots, n$ 

$$\frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h^m(T))}{h_{T^-}} = \frac{\check{x}_{\min(j+m,n)} - \check{x}_{\max(j-1-m,0)}}{h_{j-1}} \le \sum_{\ell=\max(-m+1,-j-2)}^{\min(m+1,n-j+1)} \frac{h_{j-1+\ell}}{h_{j-1}}$$
$$\le \sum_{\ell=\max(-m+1,-j-2)}^{\min(m+1,n-j+1)} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{|\ell|} \le 1 + 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{\ell}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h^m(T))}{h_{T^-}} \le \max\left(\frac{(m+1)h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_D)}, 1+2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1}\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^\ell\right).$$

Analogously one shows

$$\frac{\mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h^m(T))}{h_{T^+}} \le \max\left(\frac{(m+1)h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_D)}, 1+2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1}\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^\ell\right).$$

With

$$C := C_{patch} \max\left(\frac{(m+1)h}{\mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_D)}, 1 + 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+1} \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h)^{\ell}\right),$$

we get again (2.38), if we replace the last "=" with a " $\leq$ ".

Now we can finally prove the main result of this section.

 $Proof \ of \ Theorem \ 2.11.$  The theorem follows directly from Proposition 2.13 and Proposition 2.16

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 + C_{loc} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) \|u\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \\ &\leq (1 + C_{loc} C_{poinc}) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.

#### 3. Local Error Indicators

In this section let  $\Omega$  be an open set and  $\Gamma_D$  a subset of the boundary  $\Gamma$ , which is parametrized by  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma$ , as in Section 2. We introduce  $\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D) := H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)'$ as the topological dual space of  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  endowed with the dual norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}$ . For  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$  we also write  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D) := \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ . We consider a bounded linear mapping

$$V: H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$$

such that

$$(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \langle V\phi, \psi \rangle$$

defines an elliptic bilinear form on  $\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ . For a given right-hand side  $F \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ , we want to find a solution  $\phi \in \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  of

 $V\phi = F.$ 

It follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that the topological dual space of a normed space separates points, see e.g. [Rud91, page 60]. Hence, we get

$$\forall \psi \in \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D) : \quad \langle V\phi, \psi \rangle = \langle F, \psi \rangle$$

which has a unique solution due to the Lax-Milgram lemma, see e.g. [BS08, Theorem 2.7.7]. This also implies the bijectivity of the operator V.

The following definition and lemma are taken from [SS11, page 29–30].

**Definition 3.1.** If  $X \leq Y$  are real Hilbert spaces such that the identity  $I : X \to Y$  is a continuous and dense embedding, we call (X, Y, X') a *Gelfand triple*.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let (X, Y, X') be a Gelfand triple. Then Y' is continuously, densely and injectively embedded in X' by the mapping  $y' \mapsto y'|_X$ .

*Proof.* First we check that the mapping really maps to X'. Let  $y' \in Y'$  and  $x \in X$ . Then we have

$$|y'(x)| \le ||y'||_{Y'} ||x||_Y \le ||y'||_{Y'} ||x||_X ||I: X \to Y||.$$

The continuity of the mapping follows from

$$\|y'|_X\|_{X'} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|y'(x)|}{\|x\|_X} \le \|y'\|_{Y'} \|I : X \to Y\|.$$

We prove that  $Y'|_X$  is dense in X' by showing that the orthogonal complement of  $Y'|_{X'}$  in X' is  $\{0\}$ . Let x' be an element of the complement and  $x := J_X^{-1}x'$ , with the Riesz mapping

$$J_X: X \to X': x \mapsto \langle \cdot, x \rangle_X.$$

Then, we have with the Riesz mapping  $J_Y: Y \to Y'$ 

$$0 = \langle x', (J_Y x) |_X \rangle = \langle x, J_X^{-1}((J_Y x) |_X) \rangle_X = (J_Y x)(x) = \langle x, x \rangle_Y$$

and hence x' = 0. The injectivity directly follows from the fact that two continuous functions on Y coincide if they coincide on the dense subset X.

Due to the Riesz representation theorem one can identify the Hilbert space Y with its dual space Y' by the Riesz mapping

$$J_Y: Y \to Y': y \mapsto \langle \cdot, y \rangle_Y.$$

Because of Lemma 3.2, we can identify Y with  $J_Y(Y)|_X$  which is a dense subspace of X'. Hence, we have

$$X \le Y \le X',$$

where every inclusion is dense.

**Lemma 3.3.** The spaces  $X := H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D), Y := L^2(\Gamma_D)$ , and  $\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  define a Gelfand triple.

Proof of Lemma 3.3 for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . Obviously the identity  $I : H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma)$  is continuous. To see the density of  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  in  $L^2(\Gamma)$  we proceed as follows.

First we note that  $C_{00}((a, b))$ , the space of all continuous functions with compact support in (a, b), is dense in  $L^2((a, b))$ . This is a direct consequence of the fundamental lemma of calculus of variations.

Because of Lemma 2.4 we have for all measurable u on (a, b)

$$\int_{\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(a)\}} \left| u(\gamma|_{(a,b)}^{-1}(x)) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) = \int_{(a,b)} |u(t)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t).$$

This implies that the mapping  $(\cdot) \circ \gamma|_{(a,b)}^{-1}$  is an isometric isomorphism from  $L^2((a,b))$  to  $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(a)\})$ . Therefore  $C_{00}((a,b)) \circ \gamma|_{(a,b)}^{-1}$  is dense in  $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(a)\})$ . We recall that  $\gamma|_{(a,b)}$  is a homeomorphism to  $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(a)\}$ . Moreover each element of  $C_{00}((a,b)) \circ \gamma|_{(a,b)}^{-1}$  can be extended continuously at the point  $\gamma(a)$  with the value 0. Hence we can identify  $C_{00}((a,b)) \circ \gamma|_{(a,b)}^{-1}$  as subset of  $C(\Gamma)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is compact and  $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(a)\})$  can be identified with  $L^2(\Gamma)$ , we conclude that  $C(\Gamma)$  is a dense subset of  $L^2(\Gamma)$ .

Obviously Lip( $\Gamma$ ), the space of all Lipschitz functions on  $\Gamma$ , is a subspace of  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ . Due to [Geo67], Lip( $\Gamma$ ) is dense in  $C(\Gamma)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}$  and therefore also with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}$ , which concludes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 3.3 for  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$ . Because of the fundamental lemma of calculus of variations, the space  $C([a_D, b_D])$  is dense in  $L^2([a_D, b_D])$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\int_{\Gamma_D} \left| u(\gamma|_{[a_D, b_D]}^{-1}(x)) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) = \int_{[a_D, b_D]} |u(t)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t).$$

This shows that  $(\cdot) \circ \gamma|_{[a_D, b_D]}^{-1}$  is an isometric isomorphism from  $L^2([a_D, b_D])$  to  $L^2(\Gamma_D)$ . Since  $\gamma|_{[a_D, b_D]}$  is a homeomorphism to  $\Gamma_D$ , we conclude that  $C(\Gamma_D)$  is dense in  $L^2(\Gamma_D)$ . Finally, we see as before that  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  is dense in  $L^2(\Gamma_D)$ .

Because of this lemma, it makes sense to discretize the problem by introducing a finite dimensional Galerkin trial space  $S \leq L^2(\Gamma_D) \leq \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ . Because of the Lax-Milgram lemma there exists a unique  $\phi_S \in S$  such that

$$\forall \psi_S \in S: \quad \langle V\phi_S, \psi_S \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} = \langle F\psi_S \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}. \tag{3.1}$$

The Lemma of Céa, see for example [BS08, Theorem 2.8.1], states quasi-optimality

$$\|\phi - \phi_S\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)} \le C_{Cea} \inf_{\psi_S \in S} \|\phi - \psi_S\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)},$$
(3.2)

where  $C_{Cea}$  is the ratio of the continuity constant of the operator V and the ellipticity constant of the bilinear form. For adaptive mesh-refinement, we want to estimate the discretitization error

$$e_S := \phi - \phi_S \in \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$$

by computable local quantities. After the calculation of  $\phi_S$ , one can compute the residual

$$r_S := V e_S = F - V \phi_S \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D).$$

Due to the open mapping theorem, see for instance [Rud91, Chapter 2.11], there holds for  $\psi \in \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ 

$$C_{op,1} \| V\psi \|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le \| \psi \|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{op,2} \| V\psi \|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2$$

with the constants  $C_{op,1} := ||V||^{-2}$  and  $C_{op,2} := ||V^{-1}||^2$ . Therefore, the error is equivalent to the residual, i.e.

$$C_{op,1} \|r_S\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le \|e_S\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{op,2} \|r_S\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2.$$
(3.3)

Using this and the results of Section 2, we can construct three different local error estimators. We present them and show their efficiency resp. reliability in the following two subsections.

3.1. Faermann-Estimators. Analogous theorems as in this subsection have first been proven by Faermann, see [Fae00, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2]. She considered  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$  and instead of the space  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ , she used transformed piecewise polynomials on [0, L], see [Fae00, page 206].

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a mesh on  $\Gamma_D$  with  $|\mathcal{T}_h| \geq 4$  and  $h \leq \mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)/4$  and <sup>3</sup>  $S = S^m(\mathcal{T}_h) \leq L^2(\Gamma_D)$  a finite dimensional trial space satisfying Assumption 2.10. Then, there holds

$$C_{eff}^{F_1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_1}(x)^2 \le \|e_S\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{rel}^{F_1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_1}(x)^2,$$
(3.4)

where for  $x \in \mathcal{N}_h$ 

$$\eta_h^{F_1}(x)^2 := |r_S|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$C_{eff}^{F_1} = \frac{C_{op,1}}{2}$$
 and  $C_{rel}^{F_1} = C_{op,2}C_{main}$ 

Here,  $C_{main} = C_{main}(h, \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h), m, q_{space})$  is the constant of Theorem 2.11. Note that  $C_{main}$  depends in a monotonously decreasing way on h, whereas the dependence on the other parameters is monotonously increasing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Indeed, the trial space only needs to be a superspace of  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ .

*Proof.* The lower estimate follows directly from Theorem 2.12 and (3.3)

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_1}(x)^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |r_S|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))} \le 2 ||r_S||^2_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)} \le \frac{2}{C_{op,1}} ||e_S||^2_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}.$$

The residual  $r_S$  is orthogonal to  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$  due to (3.1). Hence the upper estimate is a consequence of (3.3) and Theorem 2.11

$$\|e_S\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{op,2} \|r_S\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{op,2} C_{main} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 = C_{rel}^{F_1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_1}(x)^2.$$

This concludes the proof.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a mesh on  $\Gamma_D$  with  $|\mathcal{T}_h| \geq 4$  and  $h \leq \mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)/4$ , and  $^4 S = S^m(\mathcal{T}_h) \leq L^2(\Gamma_D)a$  finite dimensional trial space satisfying Assumption 2.10. For  $x \in \mathcal{N}_h$  we define

$$\eta_h^{F_2}(x)^2 := |r_S|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))} + h^{-1}_{T_{x,2}} ||r_S||^2_{L^2(T_{x,1})} + h^{-1}_{T_{x,1}} ||r_S||^2_{L^2(T_{x,2})},$$
(3.6)

if x is an interior  $node^5$ , else we set

$$\eta_h^{F_2}(x_0)^2 := |r_S|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))} + h^{-1}_{T_x} ||r_S||^2_{L^2(T_x)}, \qquad (3.7)$$

and

$$\eta_h^{F_2}(x_{|\mathcal{T}_h|})^2 := |r_S|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))} + h_{T_x^+}^{-1} ||r_S||^2_{L^2(T_x)},$$
(3.8)

Then there holds

$$C_{eff}^{F_2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_2}(x)^2 \le \|e_S\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{rel}^{F_2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_2}(x)^2,$$
(3.9)

where

$$C_{eff}^{F_2} = \frac{C_{op,1}}{2(1+C_{poinc})}$$
 and  $C_{rel}^{F_2} = \max(1, C_{loc})C_{op,2}$ 

Here,  $C_{poinc} = C_{poinc}(h, \kappa(\mathcal{T}_h), m, q_{space})$  is the constant of Proposition 2.16. Note that  $C_{main}$  depends in a monotonously decreasing way on h, whereas the dependence on the other parameters is monotonously increasing.

*Proof.* The upper estimate is an immediate consequence of (3.3) and Proposition 2.13

$$\begin{split} \|e_S\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 &\leq C_{op,2} \|r_S\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{op,2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |r_S|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 + C_{op,2} C_{loc} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) \|r_S\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{rel}^{F_2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_2}(x)^2. \end{split}$$

<sup>4</sup>Indeed, the trial space only needs to be a superspace of  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ .

<sup>5</sup>This means that  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ , or,  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$  and  $x \neq x_0$  and  $x \neq x_{|\mathcal{T}_h|}$ .

The residual  $r_S$  is orthogonal to S due to (3.1). Therefore the lower estimate holds because of Proposition 2.16, Theorem 2.12 and (3.3)

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^{F_2}(x)^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |r_S|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))} + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_{T^-}^{-1} + h_{T^+}^{-1}) ||r_S||^2_{L^2(T)}$$
  

$$\leq (1 + C_{poinc}) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} |r_S|^2_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}$$
  

$$\leq (1 + C_{poinc}) 2 ||r_S||^2_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}$$
  

$$\leq (1 + C_{poinc}) \frac{2}{C_{op,1}} ||e_S||^2_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}.$$

This concludes the proof.

3.2. Weighted-residual error estimator. Before we present the weighted-residual estimator  $\eta_h^R$  and show its reliability, we start with the following lemma and proposition. Analogous versions have been proved by Carstensen and Faermann in [CF01, Theorem 7.2, Lemma 7.4]. The proof of the following lemma was inspired by [NPV11, Proposition 2.2]

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a finite nonempty open interval. Then, there holds for all  $u \in H^1(I)$ 

$$|u|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^2 \le 2\lambda(I)|u|_{H^1(I)}^2.$$
(3.10)

*Proof.* We recall that  $H^1(I)$  coincides with the space of all absolutely continuous functions on  $\overline{I}$  with  $L^2$  derivative, i.e.

$$H^{1}(I) = \left\{ u \in AC(\overline{I}) : u' \in L^{2}(I) \right\};$$
(3.11)

for a proof, see e.g. [Kal13, Beispiel 19.1.6]. First we consider I = (0, 1). We use the transformation theorem, with  $r = \rho(s - t) + t$  and  $s - t = \sigma$ , as well as the Cauchy Schwarz inequality to get

$$\begin{split} |u|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^2 &= \int_I \int_I \left| \frac{u(s) - u(t)}{s - t} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_I \int_I \left| \frac{\int_{(0,s)} u'(r) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(r) - \int_{(0,t)} u'(r) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(r)}{s - t} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_I \int_I \left| \int_I u'(\rho(s - t) + t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\rho) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\leq \int_I \int_I \int_I \left| u'(\rho(s - t) + t) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\rho) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_I \int_{(-t,1-t)} \int_I \left| u'(\rho\sigma + t) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\rho) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\sigma) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t). \end{split}$$

We formally extend u' to  $\mathbb{R}$ , by defining it on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus I$  as zero. This and the Fubini theorem lead to

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^2 &\leq \int_I \int_{(-1,1)} \int_I \left| u'(\rho\sigma + t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\lambda(\rho) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\sigma) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &\leq \int_I \int_{(-1,1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| u'(\rho\sigma + t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\sigma) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\rho) \\ &= \int_I \int_{(-1,1)} \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\sigma) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\rho) = 2|u|_{H^1(I)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|u|_{H^{1/2}((0,1))}^2 \le 2|u|_{H^1((0,1))}^2.$$
(3.12)

If I = (a, b) is arbitrary, we define the function  $u_{(0,1)} : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R} : \tau \mapsto u(\tau(b-a) + a)$ . Obviously the function is in  $H^1((0,1))$ , where  $u'_{(0,1)}(\tau) = (b-a)u'(\tau(b-a) + a)$  for almost every  $\tau \in (0,1)$ . We use the transformation theorem, with  $s = \sigma(b-a) + a$ ,  $t = \tau(b-a) + a$ and  $r = \rho(b-a) + a$ , as well as (3.12) for  $u_{(0,1)}$  to get

$$\begin{split} |u|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^2 &= \int_I \int_I \left| \frac{u(s) - u(t)}{s - t} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{(0,1)} \int_{(0,1)} \left| \frac{u(\sigma(b-a) + a) - u(\tau(b-a) + a)}{\sigma - \tau} \right|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= |u_{(0,1)}|_{H^{1/2}((0,1))}^2 \leq 2|u_{(0,1)}|_{H^1((0,1))}^2 \\ &= 2 \int_{(0,1)} |u'_{(0,1)}(\rho)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\rho) = 2(b-a) \int_I |u'(r)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(r) = 2\lambda(I)|u|_{H^1(I)}. \end{split}$$

This is just the assertion of the lemma.

Let I be a real interval with length  $0 < \lambda(I) < L$ . With the L-periodic extended arc length parametrization  $\gamma_L$  of  $\gamma$  as in Lemma A.7, we define<sup>6</sup>

$$H^1(\gamma_L(I)) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\gamma_L(I)) : u \circ \gamma_L |_I \in H^1(I) \right\}.$$

For  $u \in H^1(\gamma_L(I))$ , we set for almost every  $t \in I$ 

$$\partial_{\Gamma} u \big( \gamma_L(t) \big) := (u \circ \gamma_L)'(t).$$

Moreover we define

$$H^{1}(\Gamma) := \left\{ u \in L^{2}(\Gamma) : u \circ \gamma_{L} |_{J} \in H^{1}(J) \text{ for all finite intervals } J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

For  $u \in H^1(\Gamma)$ , we set for almost every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\partial_{\Gamma} u \big( \gamma_L(t) \big) := (u \circ \gamma_L)'(t).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Here, we actually mean  $H^1(I^\circ)$ , where  $I^\circ$  denotes the interior of I. Note that  $\gamma(I_1) = \gamma(I_2)$  for intervals  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  with length smaller than L, implies  $I_1 = I_2 + qL$  with some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a mesh on  $\Gamma_D$  with  $h \leq \mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)/4$ . Then, there holds for all  $u \in H^1(\Gamma_D)$ 

$$|u|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x))}^2 \le 2C_{\Gamma}^2 \mu_{\Gamma} \big(\omega_h(x)\big) \|\partial_{\Gamma} u\|_{L^2(\omega_h(x))}^2.$$
(3.13)

Here,  $C_{\Gamma}$  is the constant of Lemma 2.1.

*Proof.* Let I be a real interval with  $\gamma_L(I) = \omega_h(x)$ . Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 3.6 show

$$|u|_{H^{1/2}(\gamma_L(I))}^2 \le C_{\Gamma}^2 |u \circ \gamma_L|_{H^{1/2}(I)}^2 \le 2C_{\Gamma}^2 \mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h(x)) |u \circ \gamma_L|_{H^1(I)}^2.$$

Due to Lemma 2.4,  $|u \circ \gamma_L|^2_{H^1(I)}$  just coincides with  $\|\partial_{\Gamma} u\|^2_{L^2(\omega_h(x))}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a mesh on  $\Gamma_D$  with  $|\mathcal{T}_h| \geq 4$  and  $h \leq \mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)/4$  and  $T_h \leq S = S^m(\mathcal{T}_h) \leq L^2(\Gamma_D)$  a finite dimensional trial space satisfying Assumption 2.10. For  $r_S \in H^1(\Gamma_D)$ , there holds

$$\|e_S\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{rel}^R \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_h} \eta_h^R(x)^2,$$
(3.14)

where for  $x \in \mathcal{N}_h$ 

$$\eta_h^R(x)^2 := \mu_{\Gamma}(\omega_h(x)) \|\partial_{\Gamma} r_S\|_{L^2(\omega_h(x))}^2.$$
(3.15)

and

$$C_{rel}^R = 2C_{\Gamma}^2 C_{rel}^{F_1}$$

Here,  $C_{\Gamma}$  is the constant of Lemma 2.1 and  $C_{rel}^{F_1}$  is the constant of Theorem 3.4.

*Proof.* Due to Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.7 there holds

$$\|e_{S}\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_{D})}^{2} \leq C_{rel}^{F_{1}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_{h}} |r_{S}|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x))}^{2} \leq C_{rel}^{R} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_{h}} \eta_{h}^{R}(x),$$
roof.

concluding the proof.

Remark 3.9. In Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5, and Theorem 3.8, the error estimators are node based. With these theorems it is easy to construct element based estimators. Indeed, if  $\eta_h(x)$  is a node based error estimator, one can define for  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ 

$$\eta_h(T)^2 := \eta_h(x_{T,1})^2 + \eta_h(x_{T,2})^2.$$
(3.16)

If the node based error estimator is efficient and reliable with constants  $C_{eff}$  and  $C_{rel}$ , the element based one satisfies

$$\frac{C_{eff}}{2} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_h(T)^2 \le \|e_S\|_{\widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{rel} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_h(T)^2.$$
(3.17)

In Theorem 3.8, we can also define another element based version as

$$\eta_h^R(T)^2 := h_T \|\partial_\Gamma r_S\|_{L^2(T)}^2$$
(3.18)

for  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ . It is reliable with constant  $C_{rel} = 2(\kappa(\mathcal{T}_h) + 1)C_{rel}^R$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Indeed, the trial space only needs to be a superspace of  $S^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ .

#### 4. Adaptive Algorithm with B-splines and NURBS

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set,  $\Gamma_D$  a subset of the boundary  $\Gamma$ , which is parametrized by  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma$ , as in Section 2. Additionally, we assume that  $\gamma$  is piecewise two times differentiable. We consider the problem  $V\phi = F$ , where  $V : \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  and  $F \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  are as in Section 3.

It is our goal to approximate the solution  $\phi$ . To this end, we will use the linear span of B-splines and NURBS, transformed to the boundary by the parametrization  $\gamma$ , as approximation spaces. They will be presented in the first subsection. Here, we will also show that the transformed span satisfies Assumption 2.10 of Section 2. In [Fae00], Faermann only considered the span of B-splines, transformed to the boundary by an arc length parametrization of the boundary. In the second subsection, we introduce an adaptive algorithm based on the error estimators of Section 3.

4.1. **B-splines and NURBS.** Throughout this subsection, we consider a sequence of *knots*  $\check{\mathcal{K}} := (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $t_{i-1} \leq t_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lim_{i \to \pm \infty} t_i = \pm \infty$ . For the multiplicity of any knot  $t_i$  we write  $\#t_i$ . We denote the corresponding set of *nodes*  $\check{\mathcal{N}} := \{t_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\check{x}_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with  $\check{x}_{j-1} < \check{x}_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define by inductivity the *i*-th *B*-Spline of degree p

$$B_{i,0} := \chi_{[t_{i-1},t_i)},$$
  

$$B_{i,p} := \beta_{i-1,p} B_{i,p-1} + (1 - \beta_{i,p}) B_{i+1,p-1} \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{N},$$
(4.1)

where, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\beta_{i,p}(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+p}-t_i} & \text{if } t_i \neq t_{i+p}, \\ 0 & \text{if } t_i = t_{i+p}. \end{cases}$$

We also use the notations  $B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}} := B_{i,p}$  and  $\beta_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}} := \beta_{i,p}$  to stress the dependence on the knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}}$ .

The following assertions about B-splines are mainly taken from [dB86].

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the following assertions hold:

- (i) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $B_{i,p}|_{[t_{\ell-1},t_{\ell})}$  is a polynomial of degree p.
- (ii) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $B_{i,p}$  vanishes outside the interval  $[t_{i-1}, t_{i+p})$ . It is positive on the open interval  $(t_{i-1}, t_{i+p})$ .
- (iii) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it holds  $t_{i-1} = t_{i+p}$  if and only if  $B_{i,p} = 0$ .
- (iv) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $B_{i,p}$  is completely determined by the p+2 knots  $t_{i-1}, \ldots, t_{i+p}$ . Therefore, we will also use the notation

$$B(\cdot|t_{i-1},\ldots,t_{i+p}) := B_{i,p}.$$
(4.2)

(v) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : \quad B_{i,p}^{s+\check{\mathcal{K}}}(t) = B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}(t-s), \tag{4.3}$$

and for c > 0

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \quad B_{i,p}^{c\check{\mathcal{K}}}(t) = B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}(t/c). \tag{4.4}$$
- (vi) For  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell} = (t_{i,\ell})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of knots such that  $\#t_{i,\ell} = \#t_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise to  $\check{\mathcal{K}}$ , then  $(B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell}})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges almost everywhere to  $B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (vii) The B-splines of degree p form a partition of unity, i.e.

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} B_{i,p} = 1 \quad on \ \mathbb{R}.$$
(4.5)

*Proof.* The proof for (i)–(iv) can be found in [dB86, Section 2]. To prove (v), we note that for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  there holds

$$\chi_{[s+t_{\ell-1},s+t_{\ell})}(t) = \chi_{[t_{\ell-1},t_{\ell})}(t-s) \text{ and } \chi_{[ct_{\ell-1},ct_{\ell}+s)}(t) = \chi_{[t_{\ell-1},t_{\ell})}(t/c)$$

as well as

$$\frac{t - (s + t_{\ell})}{(s + t_{\ell+p}) - (s + t_{\ell})} = \frac{(t - s) - t_{\ell}}{t_{\ell+p} - t_{\ell}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{t - ct_{\ell}}{ct_{\ell+p} - ct_{\ell}} = \frac{t/c - t_{\ell}}{t_{\ell+p} - t_{\ell}}.$$

Hence, the assertion is an immediate consequence of the definition of B-splines. (vi) is proved by induction, noting that for all  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\beta_{i,p'}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell}} \xrightarrow{a.e.} \beta_{i,p'}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{i,0}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell}} \xrightarrow{a.e.} B_{i,0}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}.$$

(vii) is proved in [dB86, page 9-10].

With Lemma 4.2, (ii), we can define for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the vector space

$$\mathscr{S}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i} B_{i,p} : a_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$
(4.6)

Note that the sum is locally finite.

The following two theorems are proved in [dB86, Theorem 5, Theorem 6].

**Theorem 4.3.** The space  $\mathscr{S}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}})$  coincides with the space of all right-continuous piecewise polynomials of degree lower or equal p with break points  $(t_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  which are, for all  $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ , at least  $p - \#t_i$  times continuously differentiable at  $t_i$  if  $p - \#t_i \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let I = [a, b) be a finite interval and  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\{B_{i,p}|_{I} : i \in \mathbb{Z}, B_{i,p}|_{I} \neq 0\}$$
(4.7)

is a basis for the space of all right-continuous piecewise polynomials of degree lower or equal p on I with breakpoints  $\check{\mathcal{N}} \cap (a, b)$  and which are, at each break point  $t_i$ ,  $p - \#t_i$  times continuously differentiable if  $p - \#t_i \ge 0$ .

Remark 4.5. If we assume  $\#t_i \leq p+1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , Lemma 4.2, (ii), implies that each element in  $\mathscr{S}^p(\check{\mathcal{K}})$  has a unique representation of the form

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}a_iB_{i,p}.$$

For the proof of the following corollary and two lemmas, we refer to [dB86, Corollary 2, Algorithm 11, Section 10].

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If  $\check{\mathcal{K}}'$  is a refinement of  $\check{\mathcal{K}}$ , i.e.  $\check{\mathcal{K}} = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a subsequence of  $\check{\mathcal{K}}' = (t'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then

$$\mathscr{S}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}) \subseteq \mathscr{S}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}'). \tag{4.8}$$

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $t_{\ell-1} < t_{\ell}$  and  $\check{\mathcal{K}}'$  the refinement of  $\check{\mathcal{K}}$ , obtained by adding  $t' := (t_{\ell-1} + t_{\ell})/2$  such that  $t'_{\ell} = t'$ . If  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are some coefficients there exist  $a'_i \in \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}'} \tag{4.9}$$

The new coefficients can be chosen as follows

$$a'_{i} = \begin{cases} a_{i} & \text{if } i \leq \ell - p, \\ (1 - \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}(t'))a_{i-1} + \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}(t')a_{i} & \text{if } \ell + 1 - p \leq i \leq \ell, \\ a_{i-1} & \text{if } \ell + 1 \leq i. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$B_{i,p}^{\prime r} = \frac{p}{t_{i+p-1} - t_{i-1}} B_{i,p-1} - \frac{p}{t_{i+p} - t_i} B_{i+1,p-1}, \qquad (4.10)$$

where we set  $\frac{p}{0} := 0$ .

In addition to the given knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}} = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , let  $\mathcal{W} := (w_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of fixed positive weights  $w_i > 0$ . Then, we define the corresponding NURBS functions.

**Definition 4.9.** For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we define the *i*-th non-uniform rational B-Spline of degree p or shortly NURBS as

$$R_{i,p} := \frac{w_i B_{i,p}}{\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} w_\ell B_{\ell,p}}.$$
(4.11)

Note that the denominator is never zero because of Lemma 4.2 (ii) and (vii). We also use the notation  $R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}},\mathcal{W}} := R_{i,p}$ .

For NURBS functions there hold analogous properties as for B-splines.

**Lemma 4.10.** For  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the following assertions hold:

- (i) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $R_{i,p}|_{[t_{\ell-1},t_{\ell})}$  is a rational function with nonzero denominator, which can be extended continuously at  $t_{\ell}$ .
- (ii) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $R_{i,p}$  vanishes outside the interval  $[t_{i-1}, t_{i+p})$ . It is positive on the open interval  $(t_{i-1}, t_{i+p})$ .
- (iii) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it holds  $t_{i-1} = t_{i+p}$  if and only if  $R_{i,p} = 0$ .
- (iv) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $R_{i,p}$  is completely determined by the 3p + 2 knots  $t_{i-p-1}, \ldots, t_{i+2p}$  and the 2p + 1 weights  $w_{i-p}, \ldots, w_{i+p}$ . Therefore we will also use the notation

 $R(\cdot|t_{i-p-1},\ldots,t_{i+2p},w_{i-p},\ldots,w_{i+p}) := R_{i,p}.$ 

(v) For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \quad R_{i,p}^{s+\check{\mathcal{K}},\mathcal{W}}(t) = R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}},\mathcal{W}}(t-s), \tag{4.12}$$

and for c > 0

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \quad R_{i,p}^{c\check{\mathcal{K}},\mathcal{W}}(t) = R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}},\mathcal{W}}(t/c).$$
(4.13)

- (vi) For  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell} = (t_{i,\ell})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of knots such that  $\#t_{i,\ell} = \#t_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and,  $\mathcal{W}_{\ell} = (w_{i,\ell})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a sequence of positive weights. If  $(\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise to  $\check{\mathcal{K}}$ and  $(\mathcal{W}_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise to  $\mathcal{W}$ , then  $(R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell},\mathcal{W}_{\ell}})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges almost everywhere to  $R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}},\mathcal{W}}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (vii) The NURBS functions of degree p form a partition of unity, i.e.

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} R_{i,p} = 1 \quad on \ \mathbb{R}.$$
(4.14)

- (viii) For all  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  each NURBS function  $R_{i,p}$  is at least  $p \# t_{\ell}$  times continuously differentiable at  $t_{\ell}$  if  $p \# t_{\ell} \ge 0$ .
- (ix) If all weights are equal, then  $R_{i,p} = B_{i,p}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, B-splines are just special NURBS functions.

*Proof.* The lemma is an easy consequence of Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3. We only show (vi). Let

$$M := \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{\ell \to \infty} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell}}(t) = B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}(t) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Due to Lemma 4.10, (vi), M is a set of measure zero. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in M$ , there exists a finite index set I such that for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell},\mathcal{W}_{\ell}}(t) = \frac{w_{i,\ell}B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell}}}{\sum_{q\in I} w_{q,\ell}B_{q,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell}}}(t)$$

as well as

$$R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}},\mathcal{W}}(t) = \frac{w_i B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}}{\sum_{q \in I} w_q B_{q,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}}(t).$$

This implies the convergence of  $R_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{\ell},\mathcal{W}_{\ell}}(t)$ .

With Lemma 4.10, (ii), we can define for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the vector space

$$\mathscr{N}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}, \mathcal{W}) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i} R_{i,p} : a_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \frac{\mathscr{S}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}})}{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{i} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}}}$$
(4.15)

Note that the sums are locally finite.

Remark 4.11. As in Remark 4.5,  $\#t_i \leq p+1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  implies the uniqueness of the representation

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}a_iR_{i,p}$$

For the proof of her main result [Fae00, Theorem 2.2], Faermann needed a similar version of the following lemma. In the proof of [Fae00, Lemma 2.6], she only considered B-splines of degree p = 0, p = 1 and p = 2, induced by a strictly increasing sequence of knots. The following lemma generalizes her result to arbitrary NURBS functions. Note that the proofs are totally different.

For a finite sequence of knots  $s_0 \leq \cdots \leq s_M$  and corresponding nodes  $\check{y}_0, \ldots, \check{y}_m$ , we define the regularity constant

$$\kappa(s_0, \dots, s_M) := \max\left\{\frac{\check{y}_{j+1} - \check{y}_j}{\check{y}_j - \check{y}_{j-1}}, \frac{\check{y}_j - \check{y}_{j-1}}{\check{y}_{j+1} - \check{y}_j} : j = 1, \dots, m-1\right\},\tag{4.16}$$

where we define the maximum as 1 if  $m \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** Let I be a compact interval with nonempty interior,  $\kappa_{\max} \ge 1$ ,  $0 < w_{\min} \le w_{\max}$  real numbers,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\theta : I \to \mathbb{R}^+$  a piecewise continuously differentiable function with positive infimum. Then there exists a constant

 $q_{NURBS} = q_{NURBS} \left( \kappa_{\max}, w_{\min}, w_{\max}, p, \theta \right) \in (0, 1]$ 

such that for arbitrary knots  $s_0 \leq \cdots \leq s_{3p+1} \in I$  with  $\kappa(s_0, \ldots, s_{3p+1}) \leq \kappa_{\max}$ , weights  $w_{\min} \leq v_1, \ldots, v_{2p+1} \leq w_{\max}$  and all  $\ell \in \{p+1, \ldots, 2p+1\}$ ,

$$\left\| \left( 1 - R(\cdot | s_0, \dots, s_{3p+1}, v_1, \dots, v_{2p+1}) \right) \cdot \theta \right\|_{L^1([s_{\ell-1}, s_\ell])} \le (1 - q_{NURBS}) \|\theta\|_{L^1([s_{\ell-1}, s_\ell])}.$$
(4.17)

Note that there holds

 $\operatorname{supp}(R(\cdot|s_0,\ldots,s_{3p+1},v_1,\ldots,v_{2p+1})) = [s_p,s_{2p+1}].$ 

 $q_{NURBS}$  depends in a monotonously decreasing way of  $\kappa_{max}$  and  $w_{max}$ , and in a monotonously increasing way of  $w_{min}$ .

*Proof.* We prove the lemma in five steps.

(1) We give an abstract formulation of the problem. For  $1 \le \nu \le 3p + 1$ , we define the bounded set

$$M_{\nu} := \left\{ (\check{y}_{0}, \dots, \check{y}_{\nu}, v_{1}, \dots, v_{2p+1}) \in I^{\nu} \times [w_{\min}, w_{\max}]^{2p+1} : \check{y}_{0} < \check{y}_{1}, \\ \forall q \in \{2, \dots, \nu\} : \frac{1}{\kappa_{\max}} (\check{y}_{q-1} - \check{y}_{q-2}) \le \check{y}_{q} - \check{y}_{q-1} \le \kappa_{\max} (\check{y}_{q-1} - \check{y}_{q-2}) \right\}.$$

Note that  $(\check{y}, v) \in M_{\nu}$  already implies  $\check{y}_0 < \cdots < \check{y}_{\nu}$ . For a vector of multiplicities  $k \in \mathbb{N}^{\nu+1}$  with  $\sum_{q=0}^{\nu} k_q = 3p+2$  we introduce the function

$$g_{k,\nu}: \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \to \mathbb{R}^{3p+2}: (\check{y}_0, \dots, \check{y}_{\nu}) \mapsto (\underbrace{\check{y}_0, \dots, \check{y}_0}_{k_0 - \text{times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\check{y}_{\nu}, \dots, \check{y}_{\nu}}_{k_{\nu} - \text{times}})$$

Moreover we define for  $\ell \in \{p+1, \ldots, 2p+1\}$  the function, setting  $\frac{1}{0} := 0$ ,

$$\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}: M_{\nu} \to \mathbb{R}: (\check{y}, v) \mapsto \frac{\left\| \left( 1 - R(\cdot | g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}), v) \right) \cdot \theta \right\|_{L^{1}([g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}, g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}])}}{\|\theta\|_{L^{1}([g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}, g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}])}}.$$

Our aim is to show that for arbitrary  $k, \ell, \nu$  there holds  $\sup(\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu})) < 1$ . Then we define the constant  $(1 - q_{NURBS})$  as the maximum of all these suprema. Note that the maximum is taken over a finite set. Before we proceed, we show that  $(1 - q_{NURBS})$  really has the desired properties. Without loss of generality, we can assume that not all considered knots  $s_0, \ldots, s_{3p+1}$  are equal. The corresponding nodes  $\check{y}_0, \ldots, \check{y}_{\nu}$  and weights  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2p+1}$  are in  $M_{\nu}$ . If k is the corresponding multiplicity vector, (4.17) can indeed be equivalently written as

$$\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(\check{y},v) \leq (1-q_{NURBS}).$$

The monotonicity of  $q_{NURBS}$  is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $M_{\nu}$ .

(2) We fix  $k, \ell, \nu$ , where we assume without loss of generality that there exists  $0 \leq \tilde{\nu} \leq \nu$ such that  $\ell - 1 = \sum_{q=0}^{\tilde{\nu}} k_q$ , this just means that the appearing integrals have nonempty integration domains  $[g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}, g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}]$ . Using Lemma 4.10, (ii) and (vii), we see that for  $(\check{y}, v) \in M_{\nu}$ , the function  $R(\cdot|g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}), v)$  attains only values in [0, 1] and is positive on the interval  $(g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}, g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell})$ . This implies

$$\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu}) \subseteq [0,1). \tag{4.18}$$

Because of Lemma 4.10, (vi), we can apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to see that  $\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}$  is continuous. If  $M_{\nu}$  was compact, we would be done. Unfortunately it is not.

(3) Now, we prove the lemma for  $\theta = 1$ . In the definition of  $M_{\nu}$  we replace the interval I by  $\mathbb{R}$  to define a superset of  $M_{\nu}$ 

$$M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}} := \left\{ (\check{y}, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \times [w_{\min}, w_{\max}]^{2p+1} : \check{y}_0 < \check{y}_1, \\ \forall q \in \{2, \dots, \nu\} : \frac{1}{\kappa_{\max}} (\check{y}_{q-1} - \check{y}_{q-2}) \le \check{y}_q - \check{y}_{q-1} \le \kappa_{\max} (\check{y}_{q-1} - \check{y}_{q-2}) \right\}.$$

We extend the function  $\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}$  to

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}: M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}: (\check{y},v) \mapsto \frac{\left\| 1 - R(\cdot|g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}),v) \right\|_{L^1([g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1},g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}])}}{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell} - g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}}.$$

We define a closed and bounded and hence compact subset of  $M_{\nu}$ 

$$M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}}^{0,1} := \big\{ (\check{y}, v) \in M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}} : \check{y}_0 = 0, \check{y}_1 = 1 \big\}.$$

If  $(\check{y}, v) \in M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}}$ , then  $\left(\frac{\check{y}-\check{y}_0}{\check{y}_1-\check{y}_0}, v\right) \in M^{0,1}_{\nu,\mathbb{R}}$  and due to the substitution rule and Lemma 4.10, (v), there holds with the notation  $\int_c^d (\cdot)(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_c^d (\cdot)(t) \, \mathrm{d}t/(d-c)$ 

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}(\check{y},v) &= \int_{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}-1}^{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}} \left(1 - R(t|g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}),v)\right) \theta \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{\frac{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}-\check{y}_{0}}{\check{y}_{1}-\check{y}_{0}}}^{\frac{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}-\check{y}_{0}}{\check{y}_{1}-\check{y}_{0}}} \left(1 - R(t(\check{y}_{1}-\check{y}_{0})+\check{y}_{0}|g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}),v)\right) \theta \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}\left(\frac{\check{y}-\check{y}_{1}}{\check{y}_{2}-\check{y}_{1}},v\right). \end{split}$$

Hence we have

$$\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu}) = \widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}).$$

As in (2) one sees that  $\widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}$  only attains values in [0, 1) and is continuous. Since  $M^{0,1}_{\nu,\mathbb{R}}$  is compact we get

$$\sup\left(\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu})\right) \leq \sup\left(\widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}})\right) < 1.$$

This proves the lemma for  $\theta = 1$ .

(4) We prove the lemma for  $\theta = c_1 \chi_{(-\infty,T)}|_I + c_2 \chi_{[T,\infty)}|_I$  with  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $T \in I$ . Again, we extend the function  $\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}$  to  $M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}}$ 

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu} &: M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R} : \\ & (\check{y},v) \mapsto \frac{\left\| \left( 1 - R(\cdot | g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}), v) \right) \left( c_1 \chi_{(-\infty,T)} + c_2 \chi_{[T,\infty)} \right) \right\|_{L^1([g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}, g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}])}}{\| c_1 \chi_{(-\infty,T)} + c_2 \chi_{[T,\infty)} \|_{L^1([g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}, g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell}])}}. \end{split}$$

For the proof of the lemma, it is sufficient to show  $\sup(\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}})) < 1$ . Due to the substitution rule and Lemma 4.10, (v), we can assume without loss of generality that T = 0. Because of (3) it only remains to show that

$$\sup\left(\widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}(\left\{(\check{y},v)\in M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}}\,:\,\check{y}_0\leq 0\leq\check{y}_\nu\right\}\right)<1.$$

As in (2), one verifies that  $\widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}$  only attains values in [0, 1) and is continuous. Moreover, due to the substitution rule and Lemma 4.10 (v), we have for any  $(\check{y}, v) \in \{(\check{y}, v) \in M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}} : \check{y}_0 \leq 0 \leq \check{y}_\nu\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}(\check{y},v) &= \frac{\int_{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}}^{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}} \left(1 - R\left(t|g_{k,\nu}(\check{y},v)\right)\right) \left(c_1\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(t) + c_2\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)\right) \mathrm{d}t}{\int_{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}}^{g_{k,\nu}} c_1\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(t) + c_2\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\int_{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}}^{\frac{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}}{\check{y}_1 - \check{y}_0}}{\left(1 - R\left(t(\check{y}_1 - \check{y}_0)|g_{k,\nu}(\check{y},v)\right)\right) \left(c_1\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(t) + c_2\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)\right) \,\mathrm{d}t}{\int_{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}}^{\frac{g_{k,\nu}(\check{y})_{\ell-1}}{\check{y}_1 - \check{y}_0}} c_1\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(t) + c_2\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= \widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}\left(\frac{\check{y}}{\check{y}_1 - \check{y}_0}, v\right) \end{split}$$

and hence

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}(\left\{ (\check{y},v) \in M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}} : \check{y}_0 \le 0 \le \check{y}_\nu \right\} ) \\ &= \widetilde{\Theta}_{k,\ell,\nu}(\left\{ (\check{y},v) \in M_{\nu,\mathbb{R}} : \check{y}_1 - \check{y}_0 = 1, \check{y}_0 \le 0 \le \check{y}_\nu \right\} ). \end{split}$$

The second set is compact, since it is the image of a closed and bounded set under a continuous mapping. Therefore it attains a maximum smaller than one. This concludes the proof for  $\theta = c_1 \chi_{(-\infty,T)}|_I + c_2 \chi_{[T,\infty)}|_I$ .

(5) Finally, we are in the position to prove the assertion of the lemma for arbitrary functions  $\theta$  with the desired properties. Let  $((\check{y}^m, v^m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $M_{\nu}$  such that the  $\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}$ -values converge to  $\sup(\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu}))$ . Because of the boundedness of  $M_{\nu}$ , we can assume convergence of the sequence, where the limit  $(\check{y}^{\infty}, v^{\infty})$  is in  $\overline{M_{\nu}}$ , i.e.  $(\check{y}^{\infty}, v^{\infty}) \in M_{\nu}$  or  $(\check{y}^{\infty}, v^{\infty}) \in I^{\nu} \times [w_{\min}, w_{\max}]^{2p+1}$  with  $\check{y}_0^{\infty} = \cdots = \check{y}_{\nu}^{\infty}$ . In the first case, we are done because of (4.18) and the continuity of  $\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}$ . For the second case, we define

$$a_m := g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}^m, v^m)_{\ell-1}, b_m := g_{k,\nu}(\check{y}^m, v^m)_{\ell} \text{ and } R_m := R(\cdot|\check{y}^m, v^m).$$

Note that  $a_m < b_m$ , and that the sequences  $(a_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge to the limit

$$T := \check{y}_0^\infty = \dots = \check{y}_\nu^\infty \in I.$$

We consider two cases.

**Case 1.** If  $\theta$  is continuous at the limit T, it is absolutely continuous on the interval  $[a_m, b_m]$  for sufficiently big  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence we have for sufficiently big  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(\check{y}^{m}, v^{m}) = \frac{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}} (1 - R_{m}(t))\theta(t) dt}{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}} \theta(t) dt}$$
  
=  $\frac{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}} (1 - R_{m}(t))(\theta(a_{m}) + \int_{a_{m}}^{t} \theta'(\tau) d\tau) dt}{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}} (\theta(a_{m}) + \int_{a_{m}}^{t} \theta'(\tau) d\tau) dt}$   
 $\leq \frac{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}} (1 - R_{m}(t))\theta(a_{m}) dt + (b_{m} - a_{m})^{2} \|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}}{(b_{m} - a_{m})\theta(a_{m}) - (b_{m} - a_{m})^{2} \|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}}.$ 

The second summand converges to zero. We consider the first summand. For any C > 1, there holds for sufficiently big  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$(b_m - a_m)\theta(a_m) - (b_m - a_m)^2 \|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)} \ge (b_m - a_m) \left(\theta(a_m) - \frac{1}{C} \inf_{t \in I} \theta(t)\right)$$
$$\ge (b_m - a_m)\theta(a_m)\frac{C - 1}{C}.$$

Hence, (3) shows

$$\frac{\int_{a_m}^{b_m} (1 - R_m(t))\theta(a_m) \,\mathrm{d}t}{(b_m - a_m)\theta(a_m) - (b_m - a_m)^2 \|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}} \le \frac{\int_{a_m}^{b_m} (1 - R_m(t))\theta(a_m) \,\mathrm{d}t}{(b_m - a_m)\theta(a_m)\frac{C-1}{C}} \le \frac{C}{C-1} \Big(1 - q_{NURBS} \big(\kappa_{\max}, w_{\min}, w_{\max}, p, 1\big)\Big).$$

Since C was arbitrary, this implies

$$\sup\left(\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu})\right) \le \left(1 - q_{NURBS}(\kappa_{\max}, w_{\min}, w_{\max}, p, 1)\right) < 1.$$

**Case 2.** If  $\theta$  is not continuous at the limit T we proceed as follows. For sufficiently big  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\theta$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a_m, T]$  and on  $[T, b_m]$ . By considering suitable subsequences, we can assume that  $a_m < b_m \leq T$ ,  $T \leq a_m < b_m$  or  $a_m \leq T \leq b_m$ , each for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the first two cases, we can proceed as in Case 1. In the third case, we argue similarly as in Case 1 to see, with the left-handed limit  $\theta^{\ell}(T)$  and the right-handed

limit  $\theta^r(T)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  big enough

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(\check{y}^{m},v^{m}) &= \frac{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}}\left(1-R_{m}(t)\right)\theta(t)\,\mathrm{d}t}{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}}\theta(t)\,\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= \frac{\int_{a_{m}}^{T}\left(1-R_{m}(t)\right)\left(\theta^{\ell}(T)-\int_{t}^{T}\theta'(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\,\mathrm{d}t}{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}}\theta(t)\,\mathrm{d}t} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{t}^{b_{m}}\left(1-R_{m}(t)\right)\left(\theta^{r}(T)+\int_{T}^{t}\theta'(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\,\mathrm{d}t}{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}}\theta(t)\,\mathrm{d}t} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}}\left(1-R_{m}(t)\right)\left(\theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t)+\theta^{r}(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t)\right)\,\mathrm{d}t}{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}}\theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t)+\theta^{r}(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t-2(b_{m}-a_{m})^{2}\|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}} \\ &+ \frac{2(b_{m}-a_{m})^{2}\|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}}{\int_{a_{m}}^{b_{m}}\theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t)+\theta^{r}(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t-2(b_{m}-a_{m})^{2}\|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}}. \end{split}$$

Again, the second summand converges to zero, wherefore it remains to consider the first one. For any C > 1, there holds for sufficiently big  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\int_{a_m}^{b_m} \theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t) + \theta^{r}(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t - 2(b_m - a_m)^2 \|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}$$

$$\geq \int_{a_m}^{b_m} \theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t) + \theta^{r}(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t - (b_m - a_m)\frac{1}{C}\inf_{t\in I}\theta(t)$$

$$\geq \int_{a_m}^{b_m} \theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t) + \theta^{r}(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t\frac{C-1}{C}.$$

Hence, (4) shows

$$\frac{\int_{a_m}^{b_m} (1 - R_m(t)) \left(\theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t) + \theta^r(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t)\right) dt}{\int_{a_m}^{b_m} \theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t) + \theta^r(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t) dt - 2(b_m - a_m)^2 \|\theta'\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}} \\
\leq \frac{\int_{a_m}^{b_m} (1 - R_m(t)) \left(\theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t) + \theta^r(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t)\right) dt}{\int_{a_m}^{b_m} \theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}(t) + \theta^r(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}(t) dt \frac{C-1}{C}} \\
\leq \frac{C}{C-1} \left(1 - q_{NURBS} \left(\kappa_{\max}, w_{\min}, w_{\max}, p, \theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}|_{I} + \theta^r(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}|_{I}\right)\right)$$

Since C was arbitrary, this implies

$$\sup\left(\Theta_{k,\ell,\nu}(M_{\nu})\right) \leq \left(1 - q_{NURBS}\left(\kappa_{\max}, w_{\min}, w_{\max}, p, \theta^{\ell}(T)\chi_{(-\infty,T)}|_{I} + \theta^{r}(T)\chi_{[T,\infty)}|_{I}\right)\right) < 1,$$

which concludes the proof.

To create a link between NURBS and our problem  $V\phi = F$ , we have to extend Definition 2.9.

**Definition 4.13.** If  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ , let  $\check{\mathcal{N}}_h = \{\check{x}_j : j = 1, \ldots, n\}$  be a set of nodes on (a, b] with  $\check{x}_n = b$ . To each node  $\check{x} \in \check{\mathcal{N}}_h$ , we assign a corresponding multiplicity  $\#\check{x}$ . This induces a sequence of non decreasing knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_h = (t_i)_{i=1}^N$  on (a, b]. Let  $\mathcal{W}_h = (w_i)_{i=1}^N$  be a sequence of weights on these knots. We extend the knot sequence (b - a)-periodically to  $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and the weight sequence to  $(w_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  by  $w_{n+i} := w_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For the extended sequences we also write  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_h$  and  $\mathcal{W}_h$ . We set

$$\hat{\mathscr{N}}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}_{h},\mathcal{W}_{h}) := \mathscr{N}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}_{h},\mathcal{W}_{h})|_{[a,b)} \circ \gamma|_{[a,b)}^{-1}.$$
(4.19)

If  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$ , let  $\check{\mathcal{N}}_h = \{\check{x}_j : j = 0, \dots, n\}$  be a set of nodes on  $[a_D, b_D]$  with  $\check{x}_0 = a_D$ and  $\check{x}_n = b_D$ . To each node  $\check{x} \in \check{\mathcal{N}}_h$  we assign a corresponding multiplicity  $\#\check{x}$  such that  $\#a_D = \#b_D = p + 1$ . This induces a sequence of non decreasing knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_h = (t_i)_{i=0}^N$  on  $[a_D, b_D]$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_h = (w_i)_{i=1}^{N-p}$  be a sequence of weights. We extend the sequences arbitrarily to  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_h = (t_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $a > t_i \to -\infty$  for i < 0 and  $b < t_i \to \infty$  for i > N, and  $\mathcal{W}_h = (w_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with  $w_i > 0$ . We define the space<sup>8</sup>

$$\hat{\mathscr{N}}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}_{h}, \mathcal{W}_{h}) := \mathscr{N}^{p}(\check{\mathcal{K}}_{h}, \mathcal{W}_{h})|_{[a_{D}, b_{D}]} \circ \gamma|_{[a_{D}, b_{D}]}^{-1}.$$
(4.20)

Due to Lemma 4.10 (ii) and (iv), this does not depend on how the sequences are extended.

With the following theorem we conclude that Theorem 2.11, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.8 hold for the span of transformed NURBS functions. To the best of our knowledge, this result is new. For her corresponding results of the first three mentioned theorems [Fae00, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 3.1, and Theorem 3.2], Faermann only considered transformed spline functions as trial space, see [Fae00, page 206]. She only allowed arc length parametrizations  $\gamma : [0, L] \to \Gamma$  for the transformation of the splines onto the boundary, while our result is essentially independent of  $\gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.14.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m := \lceil p/2 \rceil$ . Then, the space  $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^p(\check{\mathcal{K}}_h, \mathcal{W}_h)$  is a subspace of  $L^2(\Gamma_D)$  which satisfies Assumption 2.10 with

$$q_{space} = q_{NURBS} \big( \kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}}_h), \min(\mathcal{W}_h), \max(\mathcal{W}_h), p, \theta \big),$$

where  $\theta = |\gamma|'_{I}|$  with I = [a - (b - a)(m + p), b + (b - a)(2p - m)]. Note that  $q_{space}$  depends in a monotonously decreasing way on  $\kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{h})$  and  $\max(\mathcal{W}_{h})$ , and in a monotonously increasing way of  $\min(\mathcal{W}_{h})$ .

Proof of Theorem 4.14 for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . Lemma 4.10, (i) and (ii), implies  $\mathscr{N}^p(\check{\mathcal{K}}_h, \mathcal{W}_h) \leq L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Using Remark 2.5, we see

$$\mathscr{N}^p(\check{\mathcal{K}}_h, \mathcal{W}_h)|_{[a,b)} \circ \gamma|_{[a,b)}^{-1} \le L^2(\Gamma_D).$$

Let T be an element of the mesh  $\mathcal{T}_h$ ,  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $T = T_j$ , and  $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$  with  $\check{x}_{j-1} = t_{i-1}$  and  $\check{x}_j = t_i$ . We define  $\check{\phi}_T(t) := R_{i-m,p}(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b)$  and extend it continuously at b. We set  $\phi_T := \check{\phi}_T|_{[a,b)} \circ \gamma|_{[a,b)}^{-1}$ . Because of Lemma 4.10 (ii), there holds

$$\check{T}_{j} \subseteq [t_{i-m-1}, t_{i-m+p}] \cap [a, b] = \operatorname{supp}(\check{\phi}_{T}) \subseteq [\check{x}_{j-m-1}, \check{x}_{j-m+p}] \subseteq [\check{x}_{j-m-1}, \check{x}_{j+m}].$$
(4.21)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Lemma 4.10 (ii) and (vii) imply that the space always contains  $\chi_{\{b_D\}}|_{[a_D,b_D]}$ . Since we will consider it as a subset of  $L^2(\Gamma_D)$ , this is not problematic.

Since  $\gamma|_{[a,a+(b-a)/2]}$  and  $\gamma|_{[a+(b-a)/2,b]}$  are homeomorphisms, there holds

$$\gamma(\operatorname{supp}(\check{\phi}_T)) = \gamma\left(\overline{\left\{t \in [a,b] : \check{\phi}_T(t) \neq 0\right\}}\right)$$
$$= \overline{\left\{x \in \gamma([a,a+(b-a)/2]) : \phi(x) \neq 0\right\}} \cup \overline{\left\{x \in \gamma([a+(b-a)/2,b)) : \phi(x) \neq 0\right\}}$$
$$= \operatorname{supp}(\phi_T),$$
(4.22)

wherefore supp $(\phi_T)$  is connected. Moreover, this shows with (4.21)

 $T \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\phi_T) \subseteq \omega_h^m(T).$ 

This implies Assumption 2.10, (i). For Assumption 2.10, (ii), we want to apply Lemma 4.12. Note that  $R_{i-m,p}$  is completely determined by the knots in I and their weights. This is due to  $I \supseteq [t_{i-m-p-1}, t_{i+2p-m}]$  and Lemma 4.10, (iv). The regularity constant of these knots as in (4.16), is obviously smaller or equal than  $\kappa(\check{\mathcal{K}}_h)$ . Since  $\gamma$  is piecewise two times continuously differentiable and its left and right derivative vanishes nowhere,  $|\gamma'|$  is piecewise continuously differentiable and is bounded from above by some positive constant. Hence, we get with Remark 2.5, Lemma 4.12 and (4.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \|1 - \phi_T\|_{L^2(\mathrm{supp}(\phi_T))}^2 &= \int_{\mathrm{supp}(\check{\phi}_T)} (1 - \check{\phi}_T)^2 |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \le \int_{\mathrm{supp}(\check{\phi}_T)} (1 - \check{\phi}_T) |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \|(1 - \check{\phi}_T)\theta\|_{L^1([t_{i-m-1}, t_{i-m+p}] \cap [a,b])} \le (1 - q_{NURBS}) \|\theta\|_{L^1([t_{i-m-1}, t_{i-m+p}] \cap [a,b])} \\ &= (1 - q_{NURBS}) \int_{\mathrm{supp}(\check{\phi}_T)} |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t). \end{aligned}$$

The last term is due to Remark 2.3 just

$$(1-q_{NURBS})\mu_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_T)).$$

Hence Assumption 2.10, (ii), is also fulfilled. This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4.14 for  $\Gamma_D \neq \Gamma$ . We extend the knots by  $t_{-i} := t_0 - i(t_{p+1} - t_0), t_{N+i} := t_N + i(t_N - t_{N-p-1})$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the weights by  $w_{1-i} := w_0, w_{N-p+i} := w_{N-p}$ . Defining  $\check{\phi}_T(t) := R_{i-m,p}|_{[a_D,b_D]}$  and  $\phi_T := \check{\phi}_T \circ \gamma|_{[a_D,b_D]}^{-1}$ , the proof follows as for  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . Equality (4.22) becomes

$$\gamma(\operatorname{supp}(\check{\phi}_T)) = \gamma\left(\overline{\left\{t \in [a_D, b_D] : \check{\phi}_T(t) \neq 0\right\}}\right) = \overline{\left\{x \in \Gamma_D : \phi_T(x) \neq 0\right\}} = \operatorname{supp}(\phi_T),$$
  
source  $\gamma|_{t \to t}$  is a homeomorphism

because  $\gamma|_{[a_D, b_D]}$  is a homeomorphism.

4.2. Adaptive Algorithm. In this subsection, we will present an adaptive algorithm to solve the problem  $V\phi = F$  of Section 3. Let  $0 < \vartheta \leq 1$  be an adaptivity parameter,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  a polynomial order, and  $\kappa_{\max}$  a bound for the shape regularity constant. We start with some nodes  $\check{\mathcal{N}}_{h_0} = \check{\mathcal{N}}_0 = \{\check{x}_j^{[0]} : j = 1, \ldots, n_0\}$  on (a, b] with  $\check{x}_{n_0} = b$  resp.  $\check{\mathcal{N}}_{h_0} = \check{\mathcal{N}}_0 = \{\check{x}_j^{[0]} : j = 0, \ldots, n_0\}$  on  $[a_D, b_D]$  with  $\check{x}_0 = a_D$  and  $\check{x}_{n_0} = b_D$ . Each node has a multiplicity lower or equal p + 1, where for  $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_D$  we assume  $\#a_D = \#b_D = p + 1$ . This induces knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{h_0} = \check{\mathcal{K}}_0 = (t_i^{[0]})_{i=1}^{N_0}$  resp.  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{h_0} = \check{\mathcal{K}}_0 = (t_i^{[0]})_{i=0}^{N_0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_{h_0} = \mathcal{W}_0 = (w_i^{[0]})_{i=1}^{N_0}$  resp.  $\mathcal{W}_{h_0} = \mathcal{W}_0 = (w_i^{[0]})_{i=1}^{N_0}$  be some positive start weights. We extend the knots and weights as

in Definition 4.13 and use the same notation for the extended sequences. We denote  $\mathcal{T}_{h_0} = \mathcal{T}_0$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{h_0} = \mathcal{T}_0$  for the 0-th mesh on the parameter domain resp. on the boundary. For this initial mesh, we make the following assumptions

$$\kappa(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0}) \leq \kappa_{\max},$$

$$h_{0} \leq \mu_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)/4,$$

$$n_{0} \geq 4,$$

$$p+1 \leq N_{0}.$$

$$(4.23)$$

As the initial trial space, we consider

$$S(\mathcal{T}_0) := \hat{\mathcal{N}}^p(\check{\mathcal{K}}_0, \mathcal{W}_0) \le L^2(\Gamma_D) \le \widetilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D).$$
(4.24)

The start approximation of  $\phi$  is  $\phi_0$  with

$$\forall \psi_0 \in S(\mathcal{T}_0) : \quad \langle V\phi_0, \psi_0 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} = \langle F, \psi_0 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}$$

To monitor the local error, we apply one of the local element based error estimators  $\eta_{h_0} = \eta_0$  of Section 3, see Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.8 and Remark 3.9. In the *k*-th step of the algorithm, we use analogous notation. The adaptive algorithm with *Dörfler marking* reads as follows:

**Algorithm 4.15.** INPUT: Initial knots  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_0$  and weights  $\mathcal{W}_0$ , polynomial degree  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , adaptivity parameter  $0 < \vartheta \leq 1$ , bound  $\kappa_{\max}$  for the shape regularity constants. Loop: For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  do (i) – (iv)

- (i) Compute discrete approximation  $\phi_k$ .
- (ii) Compute refinement indicators  $\eta_k(T)$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$ .
- (iii) For  $0 < \vartheta < 1$ , determine set (of minimal cardinalty)  $\mathcal{M}_k \subseteq \mathcal{T}_k$  such that

$$\vartheta \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_k} \eta_k(T)^2 \le \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}_k} \eta_k(T)^2 \tag{4.25}$$

If  $\vartheta = 1$ , we choose  $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{T}_k$ .

(iv) Refine at least the marked elements  $T \in \mathcal{M}_k$  by knot insertion (see below) to obtain  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{k+1}$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i^{[k]} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i^{[k+1]} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}}$$
(4.26)

and  $\kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{k+1}) \leq \kappa_{\max}$ .

OUTPUT: Approximate solutions  $\phi_k$  and error estimator  $\eta_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We explain how knot insertion in (iv) works:

With  $\mathcal{M}_k \subseteq \mathcal{T}_k$  the set of marked elements, let  $\mathcal{M}_k \subseteq \mathcal{R}_k \subseteq \mathcal{T}_k$  be the set of all elements which are refined, i.e.,  $\mathcal{R}_k = \mathcal{T}_k \setminus \mathcal{T}_{k+1}$ , and  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_k$  be the corresponding elements in  $\check{\mathcal{T}}_k$ . We add  $\{t : t \text{ midpoint of some } \check{T} \in \check{\mathcal{R}}_k\}$ , each midpoint assigned with multiplicity one, to the knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_k = (t_i^{[k]})_{i=1}^{N_k}$  resp.  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_k = (t_i^{[k]})_{i=0}^{N_k}$  and obtain  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1} = (t_i^{[k]})_{i=1}^{N_{k+1}}$  resp.  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1} = (t_i^{[k]})_{i=0}^{N_{k+1}}$ . We extend  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}$  as in Definition 4.13. Due to Remark 4.5 and Corollary 4.6, there exist unique weights  $(w_i^{[k+1]})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  with

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i^{[k]} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k} = \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 47}} w_i^{[k+1]} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}}.$$

We have to show that the new weights are again of the form as in Definition 4.13. If  $\Gamma = \Gamma_D$  they are indeed periodic thanks to Lemma 4.10 (v) and their uniqueness. For  $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_D$ , there is nothing to show. Corollary 4.6, and Equation (4.15) and (4.26) imply nestedness of the spaces, i.e., for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  holds

$$S(\mathcal{T}_k) \le S(\mathcal{T}_{k+1}). \tag{4.27}$$

The new weights can even be calculated explicitly. Without loss of generality, we assume  $\mathcal{R}_k = \{\gamma([t_{\ell-1}, t_\ell])\}$  for some  $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, N_k\}$  and denote  $t' = (t_{\ell-1}^{[k]} + t_\ell^{[k]})/2$ . For  $|\mathcal{R}_k| > 1$ , one just has to repetitively apply the following procedure.

**Case**  $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$ . Now we even have  $\ell \in \{p + 1, \dots, N_k - p\}$ . We add t' to  $(t_i^{[k]})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  to get  $(t_i^{[k+1]})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $t_{\ell}^{[k+1]} = t'$ . Applying Lemma 4.7 shows for  $i = 1, \dots, N_{k+1} - p$ 

$$w_i^{[k+1]} = \begin{cases} w_i^{[k]} & \text{if } i \le \ell - p, \\ (1 - \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k}(t')) w_{i-1}^{[k]} + \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k} w_i^{[k]} & \text{if } \ell + 1 - p \le i \le \ell, \\ w_{i-1}^{[k]} & \text{if } \ell + 1 \le i. \end{cases}$$

From this formula it is not hard to check that  $(w_i^{[k+1]})_{i=1}^{N_{k+1}-p}$  depends only on  $(t_i^{[k]})_{i=1}^{N_k}$  and on  $(w_i^{[k]})_{i=1}^{N_k-p}$ , but not on their (arbitrary) extensions. The new weights are even convex combinations of the old ones.

**Case**  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$ . We cannot directly apply Lemma 4.7, because theoretically we have to add infinitely many knots. First, we add  $\{t' + (b-a)q : q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}\}$  to the knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_k$  and obtain  $\check{\mathcal{K}}' = (t'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $t'_0 = t_0^{[k]}, t'_1 = t_1^{[k]}$ . There exist unique weights  $\mathcal{W}' = (w'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i^{[k]} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_i' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}'}$$

With  $I := [t_{-1}^{[k]}, t_{N_k+1}^{[k]})$  there holds because of Lemma 4.2 (ii) and (iv), and our assumption  $p+1 \le N_0 \le N_k$ 

$$\sum_{i=-p}^{N_k+1} w_i^{[k]} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k} |_I = \sum_{i=-p}^{N_k+1} w_i' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}'} |_I = \sum_{i=-p}^{N_k+1} w_i' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k} |_I.$$

With  $t_{N_k}^{[k]} < t_{N_k+1}^{[k]}$ , it is not hard to check that  $B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k}|_I \neq 0$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, N_k$ . Hence, Theorem 4.4 implies  $w_i^{[k]} = w_i'$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, N_k$ . It just remains to add the knots t' - (b - a), t' and t' + (b - a). To this end, we can apply Lemma 4.7. We start adding t' - (b - a) to  $\check{\mathcal{K}}'$ and obtain  $\check{\mathcal{K}}'' = (t_i'')_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $t_0'' = t_0^{[k]}, t_1'' = t_1^{[k]}$ . There exist unique weights  $\mathcal{W}''$  with

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} w_i' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}'} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} w_i'' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}''}$$

Because of Lemma 4.7, we have  $w_i^{[k]} = w_i' = w_i''$  for  $i = 0, ..., N_k$ . Now, we add the knot t' to  $\check{\mathcal{K}}''$  and obtain  $\check{\mathcal{K}}''' = (t_i''')_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $t_{\ell}''' = t'$ . There exist unique weights with

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} w_i'' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}''} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} w_i''' B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}''}$$
48

Lemma 4.7 implies for  $i = 1, \ldots, N_k + 1$ 

$$w_i''' = \begin{cases} w_i^{[k]} & \text{if } i \le \ell - p, \\ (1 - \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}''}(t'))w_{i-1}^{[k]} + \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}''}w_i^{[k]} & \text{if } \ell + 1 - p \le i \le \ell, \\ w_{i-1}^{[k]} & \text{if } \ell + 1 \le i. \end{cases}$$

We even have  $\beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}''} = \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}_k}$ . Finally, we add  $t' + (b-a) = (t''_{\ell+N_k} + t''_{\ell+N_k+1})/2$  and end up with  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1} = (t_i^{[k+1]})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $t_{\ell}^{[k+1]} = t'$ . The weights  $w_i^{[k+1]}$  of

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} w_i^{\prime\prime\prime} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime\prime\prime}} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} w_i^{[k+1]} B_{i,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}^{[k+1]}}$$

have for  $i = 1, ..., N_{k+1} = N_k + 1$  the following form

$$w_i^{[k+1]} = \begin{cases} w_i''' & \text{if } i \le \ell + N_{k+1} - p, \\ \left(1 - \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}'''}(t' + (b-a))\right) w_{i-1}''' + \beta_{i-1,p}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}'''} & \text{if } \ell + N_{k+1} + 1 - p \le i. \end{cases}$$

Again the new weights are just convex combinations of the old ones.

In practice, one can determine a suitable set  $\mathcal{R}_k \supseteq \mathcal{M}_k$ , such that the shape regularity constant stays bounded by  $\kappa_{\max}$ , as follows. Whenever an element  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k$  is refined, we check if  $h_{\tilde{T}'}/(h_{\tilde{T}}/2) > \kappa_{\max}$  for those elements T' in the current mesh with  $T \cap T' \neq \emptyset$ . Due to  $\kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}}_k) \leq \kappa_{\max}$ , this can only happen if  $T' \in \mathcal{T}_k$ . In this case, we refine T' as well, whether or not it is marked. Since  $\mathcal{T}_k$  contains only finitely many elements, this procedure terminates and at most all elements in  $\mathcal{T}_k$  are refined. Indeed, this procedure delivers the minimal set  $\mathcal{R}_k$  with the desired properties.

The following theorem shows that convergence of the error estimator

$$\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_k}\eta_k(T)^2\to 0$$

already implies convergence of our approximations  $\phi_k$  to the exact solution  $\phi$ . If the used error estimator is even efficient, both convergences are equivalent.

**Theorem 4.16.** There holds reliability

$$\|\phi - \phi_k\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 \le C_{rel} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_k} \eta_k(T)^2.$$
(4.28)

We abbreviate the constant of Theorem 4.14,

$$q_{space} = q_{NURBS}(\kappa_{\max}, \min(\mathcal{W}_0), \max(\mathcal{W}_0), p, |\gamma|_I'|),$$
  
where  $I = [a - (b - a)(\lceil p/2 \rceil + p), b + (b - a)(2p - \lceil p/2 \rceil)], and set$   
$$K_{\max} := \kappa_{\max} \frac{\sup_{t \in [a,b]} |\gamma'(t)|}{\inf_{t \in [a,b]} |\gamma'(t)|}.$$

We have  $C_{rel} = C_{rel}^{F_1}(h_0, K_{\max}, \lceil p/2 \rceil, q_{space}), C_{rel} = C_{rel}^{F_2}, \text{ or } C_{rel} = C_{rel}^R(h_0, K_{\max}, \lceil p/2 \rceil, q_{space})$ resp.  $C_{rel} = 2(K_{\max} + 1)C_{rel}^R(h_0, K_{\max}, \lceil p/2 \rceil, q_{space})$  with the constants of Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5, and Theorem 3.8. If we use the element based estimator of Theorem 3.4 or Theorem 3.5, there even holds efficiency

$$C_{eff} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_k} \eta_k(T)^2 \le \|\phi - \phi_k\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2,$$
(4.29)

where  $C_{eff} = C_{eff}^{F_1}/2$  or  $C_{eff} = C_{eff}^{F_2}(h_0, K_{\max}, \lceil p/2 \rceil, q_{space})/2$  with the constants of the corresponding theorems.

*Proof.* Note that the weights  $w \in \mathcal{W}_k$  of each step are just convex combinations of  $\mathcal{W}_0$ , wherefore there holds for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ 

$$\min(\mathcal{W}_0) \le \min(\mathcal{W}_k) \le \max(\mathcal{W}_k) \le \max(\mathcal{W}_0).$$

Equation (2.9) shows

$$\kappa(\mathcal{T}_k) \leq K_{\max}$$

Due to Theorem 4.14, our approximation spaces  $S(\mathcal{T}_k)$  satisfy Assumption 2.10. The theorem immediately follows from Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.8, and Remark 3.9.

Because of (4.27) and the definition of the approximations  $\phi_k$  of  $\phi$ , there holds the orthogonality

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0: \quad \langle V(\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k), \phi_k \rangle = 0$$

and hence

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0: \quad \|\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k\|_V^2 = \|\phi_{k+1}\|_V^2 - \|\phi_k\|_V^2 \tag{4.30}$$

with the energy norm  $\|\cdot\|_{V}^{2} := \langle V(\cdot), \cdot \rangle$  on  $\hat{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_{D})$ . Note that the properties of V imply equivalence of the energy norm and  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_{D})}$ . The same argumentation shows

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0: \quad \|\phi - \phi_k\|_V^2 = \|\phi\|_V^2 - \|\phi_k\|_V^2. \tag{4.31}$$

Equation (4.30) and (4.31) show that the error in the energy norm is always monotonously decreasing. However, a mathematical convergence proof for the proposed adaptive strategy (Algorithm 4.15) remains open. Nevertheless, many numerical examples confirm the convergence conjecture. One can even observe certain convergence rates. Some of these examples are found in Section 6.

## 5. NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF SYMM'S INTEGRAL EQUATION

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set as in Section 2. This time, we assume that the parametrization  $\gamma$  is even two times piecewise differentiable and consider  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma$ . Let  $a = \check{x}_0^{\gamma} < \cdots < \check{x}_{n_{\gamma}}^{\gamma} = b$  such that  $\gamma|_{[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma},\check{x}_j^{\gamma}]}$  is two times continuously differentiable for  $j = 1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}$ . We denote  $\gamma(\check{x}_j^{\gamma}) = x_j^{\gamma}$ . We additionally require that  $\Omega$  is a Lipschitz domain with diam $(\Omega) < 1$ ; see [Ste08, Definition 2.1]. We assume that  $\gamma$  is positively orientated, i.e. the outer normal  $\nu$  at any point  $x = \gamma(s) \in \Gamma \setminus \{x_1^{\gamma}, \ldots, x_n^{\gamma}\}$  satisfies

$$\nu(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma'(s)}{|\gamma'(s)|}$$

We will use the notation  $\gamma'(s)^{\perp} := \nu(\gamma(s))|\gamma'(s)|$ . For  $x \neq y \in \Gamma \setminus \{x_1^{\gamma} \dots, x_{n_{\gamma}}^{\gamma}\}$  we define the *fundamental solution* on the boundary

$$G(x,y) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(|x-y|)$$

and its normal derivative with respect to y

$$\partial_{\nu}G(x,y) := \partial_{\nu(y)}G(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\langle x - y, \nu(y) \rangle}{|x - y|^2}.$$

In this section, we consider for given  $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  Symm's integral equation of Section 1 without volume force

$$V\phi = \left(K + \frac{1}{2}I\right)g,\tag{5.1}$$

with the continuous and linear single-layer operator<sup>9</sup>

$$V: H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma): \psi \mapsto \left(x \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} G(x, y)\psi(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y)\right), \tag{5.2}$$

the continuous and linear double-layer operator

$$K: H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma): f \mapsto \left(x \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{\nu} G(x, y) f(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y)\right), \tag{5.3}$$

and the identity  $I: H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ , see [Ste08, page 119 and 125].

Note that for  $\psi \in L^2(\Gamma)$  and  $f \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  the integrals in (5.2) and in (5.3) exist for almost every  $x \in \Gamma$  in the measure theoretical sense, i.e., the moduli of the integrands are integrable. To see this, fix  $x = \gamma(s) \in \Gamma \setminus \{x_1^{\gamma}, \ldots, x_{n_{\gamma}}^{\gamma}\}$ . For the single-layer operator, we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The definition of V is a little bit sloppy. To be precise one should first define it on  $L^2(\Gamma)$ . Then it can be continuously extended to  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ .

have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Gamma} |G(x,y)\psi(y)| \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma} G(x,y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \int_{\Gamma} \psi(y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \\ &= \int_{[a,b]} G(x,\gamma(t))^2 |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{[a,b]} \left( \ln\left(\frac{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|}{|s-t|}\right) + \ln(|s-t|) \right)^2 |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2. \end{split}$$

The term  $\frac{|\gamma(s)-\gamma(t)|}{|s-t|}$  can be continuously extended by  $|\gamma'(s)|$  if s = t. It is positive for  $t \in [a, b]$ , wherefore  $\ln(|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|/|s-t|)$  is bounded. Elementary computations show that  $t \mapsto \ln(|s-t|)^2$  is integrable. For the double-layer operator we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\partial_{\nu} G(x,y) f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \le \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{[a,b]} \frac{\langle \gamma(s) - \gamma(t), \gamma'(t)^{\perp} \rangle^2}{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|^4} \frac{1}{|\gamma'(t)|} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \cdot \|f\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2.$$

At s = t the integrand can be continuously extended by  $\frac{\langle \gamma''(s), \gamma'(s)^{\perp} \rangle^2}{4|\gamma'(s)|^5}$  because for  $t \neq s$  sufficiently close to s, the path  $\gamma$  is two times continuously differentiable on  $[\min(s, t), \max(s, t)]$ , which implies

$$\frac{\langle \gamma(s) - \gamma(t), \gamma'(t)^{\perp} \rangle}{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|^2} = \frac{\langle \gamma'(t)(s-t) + \int_t^s \gamma''(\tau)(s-\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau, \gamma'(t)^{\perp} \rangle}{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|^2} \\
= \frac{\langle (s-t) \int_0^1 \gamma''(t+(s-t)\tau)(s-t)(1-\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau, \gamma'(t)^{\perp} \rangle}{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|^2} \\
= \langle \int_0^1 \gamma''(t+(s-t)\tau)(1-\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau, \gamma'(t)^{\perp} \rangle \frac{|s-t|^2}{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|^2}.$$
(5.4)

In [Ste08, Theorem 6.23] it is shown that  $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \langle V\phi, \psi \rangle$  defines a symmetric elliptic bilinear form on  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ . Hence V is an operator as in Section 3. We set F := Kg + g/2.

In this section we want to study step (i) and (ii) of Algorithm 4.15. Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\check{\mathcal{N}}_h = \{\check{x}_j : j = 1, \ldots, n\} \supseteq \{\check{x}_j^{\gamma} : j = 1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$  a set of nodes<sup>10</sup> on (a, b] with  $\check{x}_n = b$ . To each node  $\check{x} \in \check{\mathcal{N}}_h$  we assign a corresponding multiplicity  $\#\check{x} \leq p+1$ . This induces a sequence of non decreasing knots  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_h = (t_i)_{i=1}^N$  on (a, b]. Let  $\mathcal{W}_h = (w_i)_{i=1}^N$  be a sequence of positive weights on these knots. As in Definition 4.13, we periodically extend the knot sequence to  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_h = (t_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  and the weight sequence to  $\mathcal{W}_h = (w_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ . We use  $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^p(\check{\mathcal{K}}_h, \mathcal{W}_h)$  as approximation space  $S(\mathcal{T}_h)$ , and want to compute the unique solution of

$$\forall \psi_h \in S(\mathcal{T}_h) : \quad \langle V\phi_h, \psi_h \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \langle F, \psi_h \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$
(5.5)

Since we assumed that the multiplicity of each knot is lower equal p+1, we see with Lemma 4.2 (ii) and Corollary 4.4 that

$$\left\{R_{i,p}|_{[a,b)} : i = (1-p), \dots, N - \#b+1\right\} \circ \gamma|_{[a,b)}^{-1}$$
(5.6)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Note the difference between the knots  $\check{x}_j$  of the currently considered mesh and the break points  $\check{x}_j^{\gamma}$  of the fixed parametrization  $\gamma$ .

is a basis of  $S(\mathcal{T}_h)$ . We abbreviate  $R_i := R_{i,p}|_{[a,b)}$  and  $\hat{R}_{i,p} := R_i \circ \gamma|_{[a,b)}^{-1}$ . There exist unique coefficients  $c_h = (c_{h,1-p}, \ldots, c_{h,N-\#b+1})$  with  $\phi_h = \sum_{i=1-p}^{N-\#b+1} c_{h,i} \hat{R}_i$ . With the real symmetric positive definite matrix

$$V_h := \left( \left\langle V\hat{R}_j, \hat{R}_i \right\rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right)_{j,i=1-p}^{N-\#b+1}$$
(5.7)

and the right-hand side vector

$$F_h := \left( \left\langle F, \hat{R}_i \right\rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right)_{i=1-p}^{N-\#b+1} \tag{5.8}$$

there holds

$$V_h c_h = F_h. \tag{5.9}$$

To calculate  $\phi_h$ , we just have to solve this system of linear equations. In the following subsections, we will simplify the entries of the matrix  $V_h$  and the vector  $F_h$  such that we can use *tensor-Gauss quadrature* to numerically approximate the appearing integrals. Moreover, we will approximate the Faermann estimator  $\eta_h^{F_1}$  of Theorem 3.4. For this, we also have to numerically evaluate the residual  $r_h := F - V \phi_h$ .

For fixed positive weight functions  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in L^1([0, 1])$  and  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the tensor-Gauss quadrature as

$$Q_{n_1,n_2}: C([0,1]^2) \to \mathbb{R}: f \mapsto \sum_{q_1=1}^{n_1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{n_2} f(\xi_{1,q_1}, \xi_{2,q_2}) \omega_{1,q_1} \omega_{2,q_2},$$
(5.10)

where  $\xi_{1,q_1}$  resp.  $\xi_{2,q_2}$  and  $\omega_{1,q_1}$  resp.  $\omega_{2,q_2}$  are the nodes and weights for the Gaussian quadrature on [0, 1] with weight function  $\theta_1$  resp.  $\theta_2$ , see e.g. [Pra06, Chapter 6.3]. We denote

$$Q: C([0,1]^2) \to \mathbb{R}: f \mapsto \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} f(s,t)\theta_1(s)\theta_2(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s)$$
(5.11)

and

$$E_{n_1,n_2} := Q - Q_{n_1,n_2}. \tag{5.12}$$

For  $\ell = 1, 2$  we denote the linear functionals on C([0, 1])

$$Q_{n_{\ell}}^{\ell} = \sum_{q_{\ell}=1}^{n_{\ell}} (\cdot)(\xi_{\ell,q_{\ell}})\omega_{\ell,q_{\ell}},$$
$$Q^{\ell} = \int_{[0,1]} (\cdot)(r)\theta_{\ell}(r) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(r),$$
$$E_{n_{\ell}}^{\ell} = Q^{\ell} - Q_{n_{\ell}}^{\ell}.$$

We prove an estimate for the quadrature error and show convergence.

**Theorem 5.1.** There holds the error estimate

$$|E_{n_1,n_2}(f)| \le \|\theta_2\|_{L^1([0,1])} \|\max_{s \in [0,1]} |E_{n_1}^1 f(s,\cdot)| + \|\theta_1\|_{L^1([0,1])} \|\max_{t \in [0,1]} |E_{n_2}^2 f(\cdot,t)|$$
(5.13)

for arbitrary  $f \in C([0,1]^2)$ , where the right-hand side converges to zero for  $n_1 \to \infty$  and  $n_2 \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* The proof for the estimate works analogously as in [SS11, Theorem 5.3.15]. We only prove convergence of the right-hand side. It suffices to consider the first summand, the argumentation for the second one is analogous. Let  $s_{n_1} \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$|E_{n_1}^1 f(s_{n_1}, \cdot)| = \max_{s \in [0,1]} |E_{n_1}^1 f(s, \cdot)|.$$

Let  $(|E_{n_1(k)}^1 f(s_{n_1(k)}, \cdot)|)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a subsequence. Due to the compactness of [0, 1] there exists a subsequence  $(s_{n_1(k(j))})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(s_{n_1(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges to some limit  $s_{\infty} \in [0, 1]$ . We have

$$|E_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{n_1(k(j))}, \cdot)| \le |E_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{n_1(k(j))}, \cdot) - E_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{\infty}, \cdot)| + |E_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{\infty}, \cdot)|.$$

The second summand is the quadrature error of a standard Gauss quadrature on [0, 1] with weight function. It is well known that this error converges to zero, see e.g. [Pra06, Kapitel 6.3]. It remains to estimate the first summand

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{n_1(k(j))}, \cdot) - E_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{\infty}, \cdot)| \\ &\leq |Q^1 f(s_{n_1(k(j))}, \cdot) - Q^1 f(s_{\infty}, \cdot)| + |Q_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{n_1(k(j))}, \cdot) - Q_{n_1(k(j))}^1 f(s_{\infty}, \cdot)| \\ &\leq 2 \|\theta_1\|_{L^1([0,1])} \|f(s_{n_1(k(j))}, \cdot) - f(s_{\infty}, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}([0,1])}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term converges to zero, since the mapping  $s \mapsto f(s, \cdot)$  from [0, 1] to C([0, 1]) is continuous. We have thus shown that each subsequence of  $(|E_{n_1}^1 f(s_{n_1}, \cdot)|)_{n_1 \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence converging to zero. This concludes the proof.

5.1. Numerical Approximation of  $V_h$ . In this subsection we use the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{split} \dot{G}(s,t) &:= G(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) \\ H_{\ell} &:= t_{\ell} - t_{\ell-1} \\ \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,t) &:= \check{G}(t_{\ell_{1}-1} + H_{\ell_{1}}s, t_{\ell_{2}-1} + H_{\ell_{2}}t) \\ \widetilde{R}_{q}(s) &:= R_{q}(s)|\gamma'(s)| \\ \widetilde{R}_{q,\ell}(s) &:= \widetilde{R}_{q}(t_{\ell-1} + H_{\ell}s) \end{split}$$

There holds for  $i, j = (1 - p), \dots, N - \#b + 1$ 

$$\langle V\hat{R}_{i},\hat{R}_{j}\rangle_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} = \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} G(x,y)\hat{R}_{i}(x)\hat{R}_{j}(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x)$$

$$= \int_{[a,b]} \int_{[a,b]} G(\gamma(s),\gamma(t))R_{i}(s)R_{j}(t)|\gamma'(s)||\gamma'(t)| \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell_{1}=\max(i,1)}^{\min(i+p,N)} \sum_{\ell_{2}=\max(j,1)}^{\min(j+p,N)} \int_{[t_{\ell_{1}-1},t_{\ell_{1}}]} \int_{[t_{\ell_{2}-1},t_{\ell_{2}}]} \check{G}(s,t)\widetilde{R}_{i}(s)\widetilde{R}_{j}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell_{1}=\max(i,1)}^{\min(i+p,N)} \sum_{\ell_{2}=\max(j,1)}^{\min(j+p,N)} H_{\ell_{1}}H_{\ell_{2}} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,t)\widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s)\widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$

Now, we want to calculate each summand

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(s,t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_1}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_2}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s)$$
54

with  $H_{\ell_1} H_{\ell_2} > 0$ .

**Case 1.**  $\gamma([t_{\ell_1-1}, t_{\ell_1}]) \cap \gamma([t_{\ell_2-1}, t_{\ell_2}]) = \emptyset$ : Since the integrand has no singularities, no further simplification is necessary. We use tensor-Gauss quadrature with weight function 1. Theorem 5.1 can be applied.

**Case 2.**  $\gamma([t_{\ell_1-1}, t_{\ell_1}]) = \gamma([t_{\ell_2-1}, t_{\ell_2}])$ : This implies  $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ . There holds

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(s-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
+ \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[s-1,0]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(s-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$
(5.14)

For the first summand in (5.14) we use the *Duffy transformation*  $(s,t) \mapsto (s,st)$  on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  with Jacobi determinant s. This transforms the singular points to s = 0 or t = 0. Then we apply the addition theorem for ln. Formally this reads as

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(s-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-st) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(s-st) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \ln\left(\frac{|\gamma(t_{\ell_{1}-1}+H_{\ell_{1}}s)-\gamma(t_{\ell_{1}-1}+H_{\ell_{1}}s(1-t))||}{st}\right) 
\widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(s(1-t)) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \ln(s) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(s(1-t)) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \ln(t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{1}}(s(1-t)) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$
(5.15)

For the second summand of (5.14), we use again the Duffy transformation  $(s,t) \mapsto (s,st)$ and the addition theorem for ln, to see

To approximate the six final integrals, we use tensor-Gauss quadrature with weight function 1,  $\ln(s)$  resp.  $\ln(t)$ . Due to the following lemma, we can apply Theorem 5.1.

**Lemma 5.2.** If the parametrization  $\gamma$  is  $q \geq 2$  times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{m-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{m}^{\gamma}]$  for  $m \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$ , the integrands of the final terms in (5.15) and in (5.16) are, up to  $\ln(s)$  resp.  $\ln(t), q-1$  times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the assertion for (5.15) and note that (5.16) can be treated analogously. For the second and third summand the assertion is obvious. For the first summand we consider for  $s, t \in (0, 1]$ 

$$\frac{\gamma(t_{\ell_1-1} + H_{\ell_1}s) - \gamma(t_{\ell_1-1} + H_{\ell_1}s(1-t))}{st} = \frac{(t_{\ell_1-1} + H_{\ell_1}s - t_{\ell_1-1} - H_{\ell_1}s(1-t))\int_{[0,1]}\gamma'(t_{\ell_1-1} + H_{\ell_1}s(1-t) + H_{\ell_1}st\tau))\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)}{st} = H_{\ell_1}\int_{[0,1]}\gamma'(t_{\ell_1-1} + H_{\ell_1}(s(1-t) + st\tau))\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau).$$

This term can be continuously extended for s = 0 or t = 0 by  $H_{\ell_1}\gamma|'_{[t_{\ell-1},t_\ell]}(t_{\ell_1-1}+H_{\ell_1}s)$ . Due to the smoothness of the integrand, it is q-1 times continuously partially differentiable. Because of the injectivity of  $\gamma$  and the fact that  $\gamma'$  vanishes nowhere, its modulus is positive for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . Hence, applying  $\ln(|\cdot|)$  to it, preserves the differentiability. The remaining factors in the integrand obviously have the same smoothness.

**Case 3.**  $|\gamma([t_{\ell_1-1}, t_{\ell_1}]) \cap \gamma([t_{\ell_2-1}, t_{\ell_2}])| = 1$ : We only consider the case, where the singularity in the integrand appears at s = 0 and t = 1. The other case can be treated analogously. We have  $t_{\ell_1-1} = t_{\ell_2}$  or  $t_{\ell_2} = b \wedge t_{\ell_1-1} = a$ . There holds

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) = 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
+ \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[s,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$
(5.17)

We consider the first summand in (5.17) and use the Duffy transformation  $(s,t) \mapsto (s,st)$ , transforming the singularity to s = 0, and the addition theorem for ln

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-st) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-st) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \ln\left(\frac{|\gamma(t_{\ell_{1}-1}+H_{\ell_{1}}s)-\gamma(t_{\ell_{2}-1}+H_{\ell_{2}}(1-st))|}{s}\right)$$

$$\widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-st) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \ln(s) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-st) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$
(5.18)

For the second summand in (5.17) we apply Fubini, the Duffy transformation  $(s, t) \mapsto (st, t)$ , transforming the singularity to t = 0, and the addition theorem for ln

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[s,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) d\lambda(t) d\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) d\lambda(s) d\lambda(t) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(st,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(st) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) t d\lambda(t) d\lambda(s) 
= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \ln\left(\frac{|\gamma(t_{\ell_{1}-1}+H_{\ell_{1}}st)-\gamma(t_{\ell_{2}-1}+H_{\ell_{2}}(1-t))|}{t}\right) 
\widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(st) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) t d\lambda(t) d\lambda(s) 
- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \ln(t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(st) \widetilde{R}_{j,\ell_{2}}(1-t) t d\lambda(t) d\lambda(s).$$
(5.19)

To approximate the final four integrals, we use tensor-Gauss quadrature with weight function 1,  $\ln(s)$  resp.  $\ln(t)$ . Due to the following lemma, we can apply Theorem 5.1.

**Lemma 5.3.** If the parametrization  $\gamma$  is  $q \geq 2$  times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{m-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{m}^{\gamma}]$  for  $m \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$ , the integrands of the final terms in (5.18) and in (5.19) are, up to  $\ln(s)$  resp.  $\ln(t), q-1$  times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the assertion for (5.18) and note that (5.19) can be treated analogously. For the second summand the assertion is obvious. For the first summand, let firstly  $t_{\ell_1-1} = t_{\ell_2}$ . We consider, for  $s \in (0, 1], t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{\gamma(t_{\ell_1-1}+H_{\ell_1}s)-\gamma(t_{\ell_2-1}+H_{\ell_2}(1-st))}{s} = \frac{\int_{[t_{\ell_2}-H_{\ell_2}st,t_{\ell_2}+H_{\ell_1}s]}\gamma'(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)}{s}$$
$$= \int_{[-H_{\ell_2}t,H_{\ell_1}]}\gamma'(t_{\ell_2}+s\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau).$$

This term can be continuously extended for s = 0 by  $tH_{\ell_2}\gamma'^{\ell}(t_{\ell_2}) + H_{\ell_1}\gamma'^{r}(t_{\ell_2})$ . Due to the smoothness of the integrand on  $[H_{\ell_2}t, 0]$  and on  $[0, H_{\ell_2}]$ , it is q - 1 times continuously partially differentiable. Because of the injectivity of  $\gamma$  and the fact that  $\gamma'^{\ell}(t_{\ell_2})$  is no negative multiple of  $\gamma'^{r}(t_{\ell_2})$ , its modulus is positive for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . Hence, applying  $\ln(|\cdot|)$  to it preserves the differentiability. The remaining factors in the integrand of the first summand in (5.18) obviously have the same smoothness. Now let  $t_{\ell_2} = b \wedge t_{\ell_1-1} = a$ . If one shifts  $t_{\ell_1}$ to  $t_{\ell_1} + (b - a) = b$ , the proof works as before.  $\Box$  5.2. Numerical Approximation of  $F_h$ . In this subsection we use the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) &:= \partial_{\nu} G\big(\gamma(s),\gamma(t)\big) \\ H_{\ell} &:= t_{\ell} - t_{\ell-1} \\ \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,t) &:= \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(t_{\ell_{1}-1} + H_{\ell_{1}}s \,,\, t_{\ell_{2}-1} + H_{\ell_{2}}t) \\ \widetilde{R}_{i}(s) &:= R_{i}(s) |\gamma'(s)| \\ \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell}(s) &:= \widetilde{R}_{i}(t_{\ell-1} + H_{\ell}s) \\ \check{g}(s) &:= g(\gamma(s)) \\ \widetilde{g}(s) &:= \check{g}(s) |\gamma'(s)| \\ \widetilde{g}_{\ell}(s) &:= \widetilde{g}(t_{\ell-1} + H_{\ell}s) \end{split}$$

We want to calculate  $F_h = \langle g/2 + Kg, \hat{R}_i \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)}$  for  $i = (1-p), \dots, N - \#b+1$ . There holds

$$\begin{split} \langle g/2, \hat{R}_i \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma)} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} g(x) \hat{R}_i(x) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{[a,b]} \check{g}(s) R_i(s) |\gamma'(s)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=\max(i,1)}^{\min(i+p,N)} \int_{[t_{\ell-1},t_{\ell}]} \widetilde{g}(s) \widetilde{R}_i(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=\max(i,1)}^{\min(i+p,N)} H_\ell \int_{[0,1]} \widetilde{g}_\ell(s) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell}(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s). \end{split}$$

We use classical Gauss quadrature to approximate these integrals. Now we consider

$$\langle Kg, \hat{R}_{i} \rangle_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} = \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{\nu} G(x, y) g(y) \hat{R}_{i}(x) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, d\mu_{\Gamma}(x)$$

$$= \int_{[a,b]} \int_{[a,b]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \check{g}(t) R_{i}(s) |\gamma'(s)| |\gamma'(t)| \, d\lambda(t) \, d\lambda(s)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell_{1}=\max(i,1)}^{\min(i+p,N)} \sum_{\ell_{2}=1}^{N} \int_{[t_{\ell_{1}-1},t_{\ell_{1}}]} \int_{[t_{\ell_{2}-1},t_{\ell_{2}}]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \widetilde{R}_{i}(s) \widetilde{g}(t) \, d\lambda(t) \, d\lambda(s)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell_{1}=\max(i,1)}^{\min(i+p,N)} \sum_{\ell_{2}=1}^{N} H_{\ell_{1}} H_{\ell_{2}} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(t) \, d\lambda(t) \, d\lambda(s).$$

For the calculation of each summand with  $H_{\ell_1}, H_{\ell_2} > 0$ , we have again three cases. They can be treated similarly to Subsection 5.1. **Case 1.**  $\gamma([t_{\ell_1-1}, t_{\ell_1}]) \cap \gamma([t_{\ell_2-1}, t_{\ell_2}]) = \emptyset$ : Since the integrand has no singularities, no further simplification is necessary. **Case 2.**  $\gamma([t_{\ell_1-1}, t_{\ell_1}]) = \gamma([t_{\ell_2-1}, t_{\ell_2}])$ : This implies  $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ . There holds

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{1}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{1}}(s-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
+ \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[s-1,0]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{1}}(s-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$
(5.20)

Using the Duffy transformation  $(s, t) \mapsto (s, st)$  on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  with Jacobi determinant s, which transforms the singularities to s = 0 or t = 0, one gets for the first summand in (5.20)

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{1}}(s-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s-st) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{1}}(s-st) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s)$$
(5.21)

For the second summand of (5.20), we use again the Duffy transformation  $(s, t) \mapsto (s, st)$  to see

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(1-s,1-s+t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(1-s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{1}}(1-s+t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(1-s,1-s+st) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(1-s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{1}}(1-s+st) s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s)$$
(5.22)

To approximate the final two integrals, we use tensor-Gauss quadrature with weight function 1. Due to the following lemma, we can apply Theorem 5.1.

**Lemma 5.4.** If the parametrization  $\gamma$  is  $q \geq 2$  times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]$  for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$  and if  $g \circ \gamma$  is q-2 times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]$  for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$ , the integrands of the final terms in (5.21) and in (5.22) are q-2 times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the assertion for (5.21), (5.22) can be treated analogously. For  $s, t \in (0, 1]$  we see as in (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{1}}(s,s(1-t)) \cdot 2\pi |\gamma'(t_{\ell_{1}-1} + H_{\ell_{1}}s(1-t))| \\ &= \left\langle \int_{[0,1]} \gamma''(t_{\ell_{1}-1} + H_{\ell_{1}}s(1-t) + H_{\ell_{1}}(s-s(1-t))\tau)(1-\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau), \right. \\ &\left. \gamma'(t_{\ell_{1}-1} + H_{\ell_{1}}s(1-t))^{\perp} \right\rangle \frac{H_{\ell_{1}}^{2}|s-s(1-t)|^{2}}{|\gamma(t_{\ell_{1}-1} + H_{\ell_{1}}s) - \gamma(t_{\ell_{1}-1} + H_{\ell_{1}}s(1-t))|^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

The first factor of this term can be continuously extended at s = 0 or t = 0 by

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \gamma |_{[t_{\ell_{1}-1},t_{\ell}]}''(t_{\ell_{1}-1}+H_{\ell_{1}}s), \gamma |_{[t_{\ell_{1}-1},t_{\ell}]}'(t_{\ell_{1}-1}+H_{\ell_{1}}s)^{\perp} \right\rangle.$$
59

Due to the smoothness of the integrand, it is q-2 times continuously partially differentiable. For the second factor of the term we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{H_{\ell_1}^2 |s - s(1 - t)|^2}{|\gamma(t_{\ell_1 - 1} + H_{\ell_1}s) - \gamma(t_{\ell_1 - 1} + H_{\ell_1}s(1 - t))|^2} \\ &= \frac{H_{\ell_1}^2 (st)^2}{H_{\ell_1}^2 (st)^2 |\int_{[0,1]} \gamma'(t_{\ell_1 - 1} + H_{\ell_1}s(1 - t) + H_{\ell_1}st\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\int_{[0,1]} \gamma'(t_{\ell_1 - 1} + H_{\ell_1}s(1 - t) + H_{\ell_1}st\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be continuously extended at s = 0 or t = 0 by  $1/|\gamma|'_{[t_{\ell_1-1},t_{\ell_1}]}(t_{\ell_1-1}+H_{\ell_1}s)|^2$ . Due to the smoothness of the integrand it is q-1 times continuously partially differentiable. Altogether this shows that  $(s,t) \mapsto \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_1,\ell_1}(s,s(1-t))$  is q-2 times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ . The remaining factors in the integrand of (5.21) obviously have the same smoothness.

**Case 3.**  $\left|\gamma\left([t_{\ell_1-1}, t_{\ell_1}]\right) \cap \gamma\left([t_{\ell_2-1}, t_{\ell_2}]\right)\right| = 1$ : We only consider the case, where the singularity in the integrand appears at s = 0 and t = 1. The other case can be treated analogously. We have  $t_{\ell_1-1} = t_{\ell_2}$  or  $t_{\ell_2} = b \wedge t_{\ell_1-1} = a$ . There holds

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(1-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
+ \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[s,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(1-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$
(5.23)

We consider the first summand in (5.23) and use the Duffy transformation  $(s, t) \mapsto (s, st)$ , transforming the singularity to s = 0,

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,s]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(1-t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s) 
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-st) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(1-st) s \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s)$$
(5.24)

For the second summand in (5.23), we apply Fubini and the Duffy transformation  $(s, t) \mapsto (st, t)$ , transforming the singularity to t = 0,

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[s,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(1-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(s,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(s) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(1-t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\
= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_{1},\ell_{2}}(st,1-t) \widetilde{R}_{i,\ell_{1}}(st) \widetilde{g}_{\ell_{2}}(1-t) t \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\$$
(5.25)

To approximate the final two integrals, we use tensor-Gauss quadrature with weight function 1. Due to the following lemma, we can apply Theorem 5.1. **Lemma 5.5.** If the parametrization  $\gamma$  is  $q \geq 2$  times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]$ for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$  and if  $g \circ \gamma$  is q-1 times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]$  for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$ , the integrands of the final terms in (5.24) and in (5.25) are q-1 times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the assertion for (5.24), (5.25) can be treated analogously. Firstly we assume that  $t_{\ell_1-1} = t_{\ell_2}$ . For  $s \in (0, 1]$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , there holds

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\gamma(t_{\ell_1-1}+H_{\ell_1}s)-\gamma(t_{\ell_2-1}+H_{\ell_2}(1-st))}{|\gamma(t_{\ell_1-1}+H_{\ell_1}s)-\gamma(t_{\ell_2-1}+H_{\ell_2}(1-st))|^2}\cdot s\\ &=\frac{s^2}{|\gamma(t_{\ell_1-1}+H_{\ell_1}s)-\gamma(t_{\ell_2-1}+H_{\ell_2}(1-st))|^2}\cdot \frac{\gamma(t_{\ell_1-1}+H_{\ell_1}s)-\gamma(t_{\ell_2-1}+H_{\ell_2}(1-st))}{s}\\ &=\frac{s^2}{|\int_{[t_{\ell_2}-H_{\ell_2}st,t_{\ell_2}+H_{\ell_1}s]}\gamma'(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2}\cdot \frac{\int_{[t_{\ell_2}-H_{\ell_2}st,t_{\ell_2}+H_{\ell_1}s]}\gamma'(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)}{s}\\ &=\frac{\int_{[-H_{\ell_2}t,H_{\ell_1}]}\gamma'(t_{\ell_2}+s\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)}{|\int_{[-H_{\ell_2}t,H_{\ell_1}]}\gamma'(t_{\ell_2}+s\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2}. \end{split}$$

At s = 0, this term is continuously extended by

$$\frac{H_{\ell_2}\gamma'^{\ell}(t_{\ell_2})t + H_{\ell_1}\gamma'^{r}(t_{\ell_2})}{|H_{\ell_2}\gamma'^{\ell}(t_{\ell_2})t + H_{\ell_1}\gamma'^{r}(t_{\ell_2})|^2},$$

since  $\gamma'^{\ell}(t_{\ell_2})$  is no negative multiple of  $\gamma'^{r}(t_{\ell_2})$ . The continuous extension is even q-2 times continuously partially differentiable. This proves that  $(s,t) \mapsto \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(s,1-st)$  on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  is q-1 times continuously differentiable. The remaining factors in the integrand of (5.24) obviously have the same smoothness. Now let  $t_{\ell_2} = b \wedge t_{\ell_1-1} = a$ . If one shifts  $t_{\ell_1}$  to  $t_{\ell_1} + (b-a) = b$ , the proof works as before.

5.3. Numerical Evaluation of  $V\psi_h$ . For given  $\psi_h$  in our approximation space  $S(\mathcal{T}_h)$  and  $s \in [a, b] \setminus {\check{x}_0, \ldots, \check{x}_n}$ , we want to evaluate  $V\psi_h(\gamma(s))$ . In this subsection we use the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{split}
\check{G}(s,t) &:= G(\gamma(s),\gamma(t)) \\
h_j &:= \check{x}_j - \check{x}_{j-1} \\
\check{G}_j(s,t) &:= \check{G}(s,\check{x}_{j-1} + h_j t) \\
\check{\psi}_h(t) &:= \psi_h(\gamma(t)) \\
\widetilde{\psi}_h(t) &:= \check{\psi}_h(t) |\gamma'(t)| \\
\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}(t) &:= \widetilde{\psi}_h(\check{x}_{j-1} + h_j t)
\end{split}$$

Let  $j_s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $x \in [\check{x}_{j_s-1}, \check{x}_{j_s}]$ . There holds

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma} G(x,y)\psi_{h}(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) &= \int_{[a,b]} \check{G}(s,t)\check{\psi}_{h}(t)|\gamma'(t)| \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j}]} \check{G}(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq j_{s}}}^{n} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_{j}]} \check{G}(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[\check{x}_{j_{s}-1},\check{x}_{j_{s}}]} \check{G}(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq j_{s}}}^{n} h_{j} \int_{[0,1]} \check{G}_{j}(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[\check{x}_{j_{s}-1},\check{x}_{j_{s}}]} \check{G}(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t). \end{split}$$

For the integrals in the big sum, we use Gauss quadrature with weight function 1. To calculate the single summand we split the integral and use the addition theorem for ln

$$\begin{split} &\int_{[\check{x}_{js-1},\check{x}_{js}]} G(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) = \int_{[\check{x}_{js-1},s]} G(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[s,\check{x}_{js}]} G(s,t)\widetilde{\psi}_{h}(t) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= (s-\check{x}_{js-1}) \int_{[0,1]} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(|\gamma(s) - \gamma(s-t(s-\check{x}_{js-1}))|\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{h}(s-t(s-\check{x}_{js-1})) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &+ (\check{x}_{js}-s) \int_{[0,1]} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(|\gamma(s) - \gamma(s+t(\check{x}_{js}-s))|\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{h}(s+t(\check{x}_{js}-s)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= (s-\check{x}_{js-1}) \int_{[0,1]} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(s-t(s-\check{x}_{js-1}))|}{t}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{h}(s-t(s-\check{x}_{js-1})) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &+ (s-\check{x}_{js-1}) \int_{[0,1]} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(t) \widetilde{\psi}_{h}(s-t(s-\check{x}_{js-1})) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &+ (\check{x}_{js}-s) \int_{[0,1]} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(s+t(\check{x}_{js}-s))|}{t}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{h}(s+t(\check{x}_{js}-s)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &+ (\check{x}_{js}-s) \int_{[0,1]} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(t) \widetilde{\psi}_{h}(s+t(\check{x}_{js}-s)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t). \end{split}$$

$$(5.26)$$

To approximate the final four integrals, we use Gauss quadrature with weight function 1 resp.  $\ln(t)$ . The following lemma states, that for some piecewise smooth parametrization  $\gamma$ , the integrands are, up to  $\ln(t)$ , smooth.

**Lemma 5.6.** If the parametrization  $\gamma$  is  $q \geq 2$  times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]$  for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$ , the integrands of the final terms in (5.26) are q-1 times continuously differentiable on [0, 1].

*Proof.* We only consider the first summand. For  $t \in (0, 1]$  there holds

$$\frac{\gamma(s) - \gamma(s - t(s - \check{x}_{j_s - 1}))}{t} = (s - \check{x}_{j_s - 1}) \int_{[0, 1]} \gamma' \left(s - t(s - \check{x}_{j_s - 1}) + t(s - \check{x}_{j_s - 1})\tau\right) d\lambda(\tau).$$

At t = 0, this term can be continuously extended by  $(s - \check{x}_{j_s-1})\gamma'(s)$ . It is q - 1 times continuously differentiable and its modulus is positive for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The application of  $\ln(\cdot)$  preserves the differentiability. Moreover  $t \mapsto \widetilde{\psi}_h(s - t(s - \check{x}_{j_s-1}))$  obviously has the same smoothness.

5.4. Numerical Evaluation of Kg. Let  $s \in [a, b] \setminus {\{\check{x}_0^{\gamma}, \ldots, \check{x}_{n_{\gamma}}^{\gamma}\}}$  and  $j_s \in {\{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}}$  with  $s \in [\check{x}_{j_s-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j_s}^{\gamma}]$ . In this subsection we use the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\nu} \dot{G}(s,t) &:= \partial_{\nu} G\big(\gamma(s),\gamma(t)\big) \\ h_{j}^{\gamma} &:= \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma} - \check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma} \\ \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{j}(s,t) &:= \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma} + h_{j}^{\gamma}t) \\ \check{g}(t) &= g(\gamma(t)) \\ \widetilde{g}(t) &:= \check{g}(t) |\gamma'(t)| \\ \widetilde{g}_{j}(t) &:= \widetilde{g}(\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma} + h_{j}^{\gamma}t) \end{aligned}$$

There holds

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Gamma} \partial_{\nu} G(x,y) g(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) = \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(x,y) g(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma},\check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \check{g}(t) |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq j_{s}}}^{n_{\gamma}} \int_{[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma},\check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \widetilde{g}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[\check{x}_{j_{s-1}}^{\gamma},s]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \widetilde{g}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[s,\check{x}_{j_{s}}^{\gamma}]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \widetilde{g}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq j_{s}}}^{n_{\gamma}} h_{j}^{\gamma} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{j}(s,t) \widetilde{g}_{j}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[\check{x}_{j_{s-1}}^{\gamma},s]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \widetilde{g}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) + \int_{[s,\check{x}_{j_{s}}^{\gamma}]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,t) \widetilde{g}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq j_{s}}}^{n_{\gamma}} h_{j}^{\gamma} \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}_{j}(s,t) \widetilde{g}_{j}(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &+ (s - \check{x}_{j_{s-1}}^{\gamma}) \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,s - (s - \check{x}_{j_{s-1}}^{\gamma})t) \widetilde{g}(s - (s - \check{x}_{j_{s-1}}^{\gamma})t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \\ &+ (\check{x}_{j_{s}}^{\gamma} - s) \int_{[0,1]} \partial_{\nu} \check{G}(s,s + (\check{x}_{j_{s}}^{\gamma} - s)t) \widetilde{g}(s + (\check{x}_{j_{s}}^{\gamma} - s)t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t). \end{split}$$

To approximate the final three integrals, we use Gauss quadrature with weight function 1. This is justified by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.7.** If the parametrization  $\gamma$  is  $q \geq 2$  times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]$ for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$  and if  $g \circ \gamma$  is q-2 times continuously differentiable continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}^{\gamma}, \check{x}_{j}^{\gamma}]$  for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$ , the integrands of the final terms in (5.27) are q-2times continuously differentiable on [0, 1]. *Proof.* For the sum over j, the assertion is trivial. We only consider the second summand, the third one can be treated analogously. As in (5.4) we see for  $t \in (0, 1]$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\nu}\check{G}\big(s,s-(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t\big) \cdot 2\pi|\gamma'\big(s-(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t\big)| \\ &= \left\langle \int_{[0,1]} \gamma''\big(s-(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t+(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t\tau\big)(1-\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau), \right. \\ &\left. \gamma'\big(s-(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t\big)^{\perp} \right\rangle \frac{|(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t|^{2}}{|\gamma(s)-\gamma(s-(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t)|^{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second factor of this term, we have

$$\frac{|(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t|^{2}}{|\gamma(s)-\gamma(s-(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})t)|^{2}} = \frac{1}{|\int_{[0,1]} \gamma'(s-(s-\check{x}_{j_{s}-1}^{\gamma})(-t+t\tau)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^{2}},$$

wherefore the term can be continuously extended at t = 0 by  $\frac{\langle \gamma''(s), \gamma'(s)^{\perp} \rangle}{2|\gamma'(s)|^2}$ . It is q - 2 times continuously differentiable on [0, 1]. This implies that the integrand in the second summand of (5.27) is q - 2 times continuously differentiable as well.

5.5. Numerical Approximation of  $|r_h|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_h(x_j))}$ . Let  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . In this subsection we use the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{split} \check{r}_{h}(s) &= r_{h}(\gamma(s)) \\ \check{P}(s,t) &:= \frac{|\check{r}_{h}(s) - \check{r}_{h}(t)|^{2}}{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|^{2}} \\ h_{\ell} &:= \check{x}_{\ell} - \check{x}_{\ell-1} \\ \check{P}_{\ell,q}(s,t) &:= \check{P}(\check{x}_{\ell-1} + h_{\ell}s, \check{x}_{q-1} + h_{q}t) \\ \gamma'_{\ell}(s) &:= \gamma'(\check{x}_{\ell-1} + h_{\ell}s) \end{split}$$

There holds

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{h}|_{H^{1/2}(\omega_{h}(x_{j}))} &= \int_{\omega_{h}(x_{j})} \int_{\omega_{h}(x_{j})} \frac{|r_{h}(x) - r_{h}(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{2}} \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j-1}, \tilde{x}_{j+1}]} \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j-1}, \tilde{x}_{j+1}]} \frac{|\check{r}(s) - \check{r}(t)|^{2}}{|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|^{2}} |\gamma'(s)| |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &= \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j-1}, \tilde{x}_{j}]} \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j-1}, \tilde{x}_{j}]} \check{P}(s, t) |\gamma'(s)| |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &+ 2 \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j}, \tilde{x}_{j+1}]} \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j-1}, \tilde{x}_{j+1}]} \check{P}(s, t) |\gamma'(s)| |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &+ \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j}, \tilde{x}_{j+1}]} \int_{[\tilde{x}_{j}, \tilde{x}_{j+1}]} \check{P}(s, t) |\gamma'(s)| |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &= h_{j}^{2} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{P}_{j,j}(s, t) |\gamma'_{j}(s)| |\gamma'_{j}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &+ 2h_{j}h_{j+1} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{P}_{j+1,j}(s, t) |\gamma'_{j+1}(s)| |\gamma'_{j}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s) \\ &+ h_{j+1}^{2} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{P}_{j+1,j+1}(s, t) |\gamma'_{j+1}(s)| |\gamma'_{j+1}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(s). \end{aligned}$$

The first summand in (5.28) is due to the symmetry of the integrand just

$$2\int_{[0,1]}\int_{[0,s]}\check{P}_{j,j}(s,s-t)|\gamma_j'(s)||\gamma_j'(s-t)|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$

This term can be treated nearly exactly as in Case 2 of Subsection 5.2. It becomes

$$2\int_{[0,1]}\int_{[0,1]}\check{P}_{j,j}(s,s(1-t))|\gamma'_j(s)||\gamma'_j(s(1-t))|s\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(t)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(s).$$
(5.29)

For the third summand in (5.28) one proceeds analogously. The second one can be treated nearly exactly as in Case 3 of Subsection 5.2. It becomes

$$\int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{P}_{j+1,j}(s,1-st) |\gamma'_{j+1}(s)| |\gamma'_{j}(1-st)| s \, d\lambda(t) \, d\lambda(s) 
+ \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \check{P}_{j+1,j}(st,1-t) |\gamma'_{j+1}(st)| |\gamma'_{j}(1-t)| t \, d\lambda(t) \, d\lambda(s).$$
(5.30)

To approximate the final three integrals, we use Gauss quadrature with weight function 1. Thanks to the following lemma, Theorem 5.1 can be applied.

**Lemma 5.8.** If the parametrization  $\gamma$  is  $q \geq 2$  times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_j]$ for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_{\gamma}\}$  and if  $r_h \circ \gamma$  is q-1 times continuously differentiable on  $[\check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_j]$ for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , the integrands of the final terms in (5.29) and (5.30) are q-1 times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . *Proof.* For  $s, t \in (0, 1]$  there holds

$$\begin{split} \check{P}_{j,j}(s,s(1-t)) &= \frac{|\check{r}_h(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js)-\check{r}_h(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js(1-t))|^2}{|\gamma(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js)-\gamma(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js(1-t))|^2} \\ &= \frac{|\check{r}_h(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js)-\check{r}_h(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js(1-t))|^2}{(st)^2} \cdot \frac{(st)^2}{|\gamma(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js)-\gamma(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js(1-t))|^2} \\ &= \frac{\left|\int_{[0,1]}\check{r}_h'(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js(1-t)+h_jst\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)\right|^2}{\left|\int_{[0,1]}\gamma'(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js(1-t)+h_jst\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)\right|^2}. \end{split}$$

At s = 0 or t = 0, this term can be continuously extended by

$$\frac{|\check{r}_h|'_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_j]}(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js)|^2}{|\gamma|'_{[\check{x}_{j-1},\check{x}_j]}(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_js)|^2}.$$

It is q-1 times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ . Therefore, the integrand in (5.29) has the same smoothness.

For  $s \in (0, 1], t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{split} \check{P}_{j+1,j}(s,1-st) &= \frac{|\check{r}_h(\check{x}_j+h_{j+1}s) - \check{r}_h(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_j(1-st))|^2}{|\gamma(\check{x}_j+h_{j+1}s) - \gamma(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_j(1-st))|^2} \\ &= \frac{|\check{r}_h(\check{x}_j+h_{j+1}s) - \check{r}_h(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_j(1-st))|^2}{s^2} \cdot \frac{s^2}{|\gamma(\check{x}_j+h_{j+1}s) - \gamma(\check{x}_{j-1}+h_j(1-st))|^2} \\ &= \frac{|\int_{[\check{x}_j-h_jst,\check{x}_j+h_{j+1}s]} \check{r}'_h(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2}{s^2} \cdot \frac{s^2}{|\int_{[\check{x}_j-h_jst,\check{x}_j+h_{j+1}s]} \gamma'(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2} \\ &= \frac{|\int_{[-h_jt,h_{j+1}]} \check{r}'_h(\check{x}_j+s\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2}{|\int_{[-h_jt,h_{j+1}]} \gamma'(\check{x}_j+s\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(\tau)|^2} \end{split}$$

At s = 0 this term can be continuously extended by

$$\frac{(h_j t \check{r}'^{\ell}(\check{x}_j) + h_{j+1} \check{r}'^{r}(\check{x}_j))^2}{|h_j t \gamma'^{\ell}(\check{x}_j) + h_{j+1} \gamma'^{r}(\check{x}_j)|^2}.$$

It is q-1 times continuously partially differentiable on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ . Therefore, the first integrand in (5.30) has the same smoothness. For the second one, one proceeds analogously.

## 6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we apply Alogrithm 4.15 developed in Section 4 to Symm's integral equation  $V\phi = Kg + g/2$  of Section 5. From Section 1, we see that the normal derivative of a weak solution of the Laplace problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions, i.e.

$$-\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$
(6.1)

solves Symm's integral equation. Conversely the weak solution of the Laplace problem can be calculated via the representation formula from the solution of Symm's integral equation.

We present three test examples for which the exact solution is known. In each example, the parametrization  $\gamma$  of the boundary  $\Gamma$  has the special form

$$\gamma(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_i^{\gamma} R_{i, p_{\gamma}}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma}, \mathcal{W}^{\gamma}}(t)$$
(6.2)

for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Here,  $p_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}$  is the polynomial degree,  $\check{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma}$  and  $\mathcal{W}^{\gamma}$  are periodic knots and weights of length  $N_{\gamma}$  as in Definition 4.13 and  $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are  $N_{\gamma}$ -periodic control points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Curves of this type are called NURBS curves.



FIGURE 6.1. Geometries for the experiments.

We choose the same polynomial degree  $p := p_{\gamma}$  for our approximation spaces  $S(\mathcal{T}_k)$ . As initial knots and weights for the algorithm, we take the same as for the geometry, i.e.  $\check{\mathcal{K}}_0 := \check{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_0 := \mathcal{W}^{\gamma}$ . This approach just reflects the main idea of isogeometric analysis. For comparison, we shall also consider a rather conventional approach by choosing

$$\check{\mathcal{K}}_0 := (\underbrace{\check{x}_1^{\gamma}, \dots, \check{x}_1^{\gamma}}_{p+1 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\check{x}_2^{\gamma}, \dots, \check{x}_2^{\gamma}}_{p+1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\check{x}_{n_{\gamma}}^{\gamma}, \dots, \check{x}_{n_{\gamma}}^{\gamma}}_{p+1 \text{ times}}),$$

with the nodes  $(\check{x}_j^{\gamma})_{j=1}^{n_{\gamma}}$  of  $\mathcal{K}^{\gamma}$ , and all weights equal to one. According to Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.2, (viii), the start space coincides with the transformed space of piecewise polynomials on [a, b) with break points  $\{\check{x}_j^{[0]} : j = 1, \ldots, n_0 - 1\}$ . Recalling that the refined weights are just convex combinations of the old ones, we see that the space  $S(\mathcal{T}_k)$ coincides with the transformed space of piecewise polynomials on [a, b) with break points  $\{\check{x}_j^{[k]} : j = 1, \ldots, n_k - 1\}$  which are p - 1 times differentiable at each point of  $\{\check{x}_j^{[k]} : j =$  $1, \ldots, n_k - 1\} \setminus \{\check{x}_j^{[0]} : j = 1, \ldots, n_0 - 1\}$ . In each case we choose  $\kappa_{\max}$  as  $2\kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}}_0)$ . We consider uniform refinement, i.e.  $\vartheta = 1$ , and adaptive refinement with  $\vartheta = 0.75$ . For uniform refinement and smooth solution  $\phi$  we expect the convergence rate  $\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2+p}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2-p})$ in accordance to [SS11, Corollary 4.1.34].

To calculate the energy error, we use that the error is orthogonal to the approximation space

$$\|\phi - \phi_k\|_V^2 = \|\phi\|_V^2 - \|\phi_k\|_V^2.$$

The energy norm of the discrete solution  $\phi_k$  reads  $\|\phi_k\|_V^2 = c_{h_k} \cdot V_{h_k} c_{h_k}$  with the stiffness matrix  $V_{h_k}$  and the coefficient vector  $c_{h_k}$  as in Section 5. The unknown energy norm  $\|\phi\|_V$  is either computed exactly or obtained by Aitkin's  $\Delta^2$  extrapolation of the sequence of values for discrete solutions of the conventional approach with adaptively refined meshes.

The code for the following experiments can be found in Appendix B.

6.1. Smooth solution on circle. We consider problem (6.1) on the circle with midpoint (0,0) and radius 1/10 with exact solution  $u(x,y) = x^2 + 2xy - y^2$  and solve the corresponding Symm's integral equation. The normal derivative  $\phi := \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$  is smooth on the whole boundary

$$\phi(x,y) = 20(x^2 + 2xy - y^2). \tag{6.3}$$

The geometry is parametrized on [0, 1] by the NURBS curve induced by<sup>11</sup>

$$p_{\gamma} = 2,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma} = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, 1, 1, 1\right),$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{\gamma} = \left(1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1, 1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

$$(C_i)_{i=1}^{N_{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{10} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}-1\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}-1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}-1\\-1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\-1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\-1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

In Figure 6.1 we can see the geometry and the  $\gamma$ -values of the initial nodes. As can be seen in Figure 6.2,  $\phi \circ \gamma$  is smooth on the whole parameter domain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Notice that this parametrization does not coincide with  $t \mapsto (\cos(t), \sin(t))$ .



FIGURE 6.2. Experiment on circle geometry. The smooth solution  $\phi \circ \gamma$  is plotted.



FIGURE 6.3. Experiment on circle geometry. Error and estimator are plotted versus the number of nodes.

Figure 6.3 and Figure 6.4 show the error and the error estimator for the conventional approach with piecewise polynomials and for the isogeometric one with NURBS corresponding to the geometry. All values are shown on a log-log scale so that the experimental convergence rates are visible as the slope of the corresponding curves. For the calculation of the error we used the exact energy norm of the solution  $\|\phi\|_V^2 = \pi/5000$ , calculated in Maple. As expected, the conventional approach with uniform refinement leads to the optimal rate  $n^{-7/2}$ , and adaptive refinement leads to the same rate. The isogeometric approach shows nearly the same convergence behavior. In each case, the curves for the error and its corresponding estimator are parallel up to a multiplicative constant, which appears in the log-log scale as additive constant, nearly identical. This empirically confirms the proven efficiency and reliability of the Faermann estimator  $\eta^{F_1}$ . In Figure 6.5 the error is plotted over the computational time. All curves show similar rates. However, since the solution does not

lack any regularity, we can observe (at least) a better multiplicative constant for uniform refinement.



FIGURE 6.4. Experiment on circle geometry. The error is plotted versus the number of nodes.



FIGURE 6.5. Experiment on circle geometry. The error is plotted versus the computational time.

6.2. **Piecewise smooth solution on square.** We consider problem (6.1) on the halved unit square  $\Omega = [0, 1/2]^2$  with exact solution  $u(x, y) = \exp(x)\cos(y)$  and solve the corresponding Symm's integral equation. The normal derivative  $\phi := \partial u / \partial \nu$  is piecewise smooth on the boundary with discontinuities at the corners

$$\phi(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(x)\cos(y) \\ -\exp(x)\sin(y) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nu(x,y).$$
(6.4)



FIGURE 6.6. Experiment on square geometry. The piecewise smooth solution  $\phi \circ \gamma$ .



FIGURE 6.7. Experiment on square geometry. Error and estimator are plotted versus the number of nodes.

The geometry is parametrized on [0, 1] by the NURBS curve induced by

$$p_{\gamma} = 1,$$
  

$$\check{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma} = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, 1\right),$$
  

$$\mathcal{W}^{\gamma} = (1, 1, 1, 1),$$
  

$$(C_i)_{i=1}^{N_{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

The geometry and the  $\gamma$ -values of the initial nodes are plotted in Figure 6.1. In Figure 6.6 we can observe that  $\phi \circ \gamma$  is piecewise smooth on the parameter domain with jumps at the initial nodes. In this special example the approximation space  $S(\mathcal{T}_k)$  for the isogeometric approach, transformed onto the parameter domain, consists of all piecewise linear continuous



FIGURE 6.8. Experiment on square geometry. The error is plotted versus the number of nodes.



FIGURE 6.9. Experiment on square geometry. The error is plotted versus the computational time.

polynomials on the mesh. In contrast, the conventional approach does allow discontinuities at the initial nodes. Therefore, we expect the conventional approach to be superior in this case.

Indeed, in Figure 6.7 and in Figure 6.8 we can observe suboptimal convergence rate  $n^{-1}$  for IGA with uniform refinement. However this problem can be solved by adaptivity. Now, one obtains (at least asymptotically) a similar convergence rate as for the conventional approach, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-5/2})$ . For the calculation of the error we use extrapolation and get  $\|\phi\|_V^2 = 0.219715794638521$ . The efficiency and reliability of the Faermann estimator  $\eta^{F_1}$  is again confirmed. In Figure 6.9, the error is plotted over the computational time. For adaptive refinement, we plot in Figure 6.10 the indices of the knots in (a, b] = (0, 1] of the last refinement at this point. The conventional approach refines nearly uniformly outside


FIGURE 6.10. Experiment on square geometry. The indices of the last knot vector versus the knots are plotted.

of the interval [0.25, 0.5], where the transformed solution  $\phi \circ \gamma$  vanishes. As expected, the isogeometric approach mainly refines at the jump points of  $\phi \circ \gamma$ , 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75, to deal with the discontinuity of the solution there. Note that by periodicity, 0 resp. 1 is as well a jump point. However, here no refinement is necessary, since the elements of the approximation space do not need to be periodic.



FIGURE 6.11. Experiment on pacman geometry. The singular solution  $\phi \circ \gamma$  with jump is plotted.

6.3. Singular solution on pacman. As third example we consider, for the parameter  $\tau = 4/7$ , problem (6.1) on

$$\Omega := \left\{ r(\cos(\alpha), \sin(\alpha)) : 0 \le r < \frac{1}{10}, \alpha \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2\tau}, \frac{\pi}{2\tau}\right) \right\}$$
(6.5)



FIGURE 6.12. Experiment on pacman geometry. Error and estimator are plotted versus the number of nodes.



FIGURE 6.13. Experiment on pacman geometry. The error is plotted versus the number of nodes.

with exact solution

$$u(x,y) = r^{\tau} \cos(\tau \alpha)$$
 in polar coordinates  $(x,y) = r(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$ . (6.6)

The normal derivative of u is

$$\phi(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\alpha)\cos(\tau\alpha) + \sin(\alpha)\sin(\tau\alpha) \\ \sin(\alpha)\cos(\tau\alpha) - \cos(\alpha)\sin(\tau\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nu(x,y) \cdot \tau \cdot r^{\tau-1}.$$
(6.7)

It has a singularity at (0,0). With  $w = \cos(\pi/\tau)$ , the geometry is parametrized on [0,1] by the NURBS curve induced by



FIGURE 6.14. Experiment on pacman geometry. The error is plotted versus the computational time.

$$\begin{split} p_{\gamma} &= 2, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma} &= \left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, 1, 1, 1\right), \\ \mathcal{W}^{\gamma} &= (1, w, 1, w, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, w), \\ (C_{i})_{i=1}^{N_{\gamma}} &= \frac{1}{10} \cdot \left( \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot (-1)/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot (-1)/6)} \right), \frac{1}{w} \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot 0/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot 0/6)} \right), \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot 1/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot 1/6)} \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{w} \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot 2/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot 2/6)} \right), \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot 3/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot 3/6)} \right), \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot 3/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot 3/6)} \right), \left( \frac{0}{0} \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot (-3)/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot (-3)/6)} \right), \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot (-3)/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot (-3)/6)} \right), \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot (-3)/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot (-3)/6)} \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{w} \left( \frac{\cos(\pi/\tau \cdot (-2)/6)}{\sin(\pi/\tau \cdot (-2)/6)} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

In Figure 6.11, the solution is plotted over the parameter domain. We can see that it has beside the singularity at t = 0.8 as well two jumps, one at 0 resp. 1 and one at 0.6.

In Figure 6.12 and in Figure 6.13, the error and the error estimator for the conventional approach with piecewise polynomials and for the isogeometric one with NURBS corresponding to the geometry are plotted. For the calculation of the error, we have extrapolated  $\|\phi\|_V^2 = 0.083525924784109$ . Since the solution lacks regularity, the conventional approach with uniform refinement leads to the suboptimal rate  $n^{-4/7}$ , whereas adaptive refinement leads to the optimal rate  $n^{-7/2}$ . The isogeometric approach shows nearly the same convergence behavior. In Figure 6.14, the error is plotted over the computational time. This time, adaptive refinement is by far superior to uniform refinement. The conventional and the isogeometric approach show the same rate. For adaptive refinement, we plot in Figure 6.15 the

indices of the knots in (a, b] = (0, 1] of the last refinement step over the knots. Big increase of the curve at any point t indicates high refinement at t. For the conventional approach, we see that the algorithm mainly refines the mesh at t = 0.8, which is the point where the singularity occurs. The isogeometric algorithm refines additionally at the jump point t = 0.6but not at the jump point t = 0 resp. t = 1. As in the previous example, this follows from the continuity of the functions in  $S(\mathcal{T}_k) \circ \gamma$  at the point t = 0.6.



FIGURE 6.15. Experiment on pacman geometry. The indices of the last knot vector versus the knots are plotted.

## APPENDIX A. DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY

**Definition A.1.** A regular closed curve is a closed path  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $d \ge 2$ , with the following properties: It is continuous, piecewise continuously differentiable, and  $\gamma|_{[a,b)}$  is bijective. The (b-a)-periodic extension of  $\gamma$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $\gamma$  as well. Furthermore, we demand  $\gamma'^{\ell}(t) \neq 0, \gamma'^{r}(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and that  $\gamma'^{\ell}(t) + c\gamma'^{r}(t) \neq 0$  for all c > 0, where  $\gamma'^{\ell}$  and  $\gamma'^{r}$  denote the left resp. right derivative of  $\gamma$ .

We call two regular closed curves  $\gamma_1 : [a_1, b_1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\gamma_2 : [a_2, b_2] \to \mathbb{R}^d$  equivalent, if there exists a *parameter transformation* from  $[a_1, b_1]$  to  $[a_2, b_2]$ , i.e. a bijective, continuous and piecewise continuously differentiable  $\varphi : [a_1, b_1] \to [a_2, b_2]$  such that  $\varphi'^{\ell}(t) > 0$  resp.  $\varphi'^{r}(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ , with  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \circ \varphi$ .

Note that if  $\gamma_2 : [a_2, b_2] \to \Gamma$  is a regular closed curve and  $\varphi : [a_1, b_1] \to [a_2, b_2]$  is a parameter transformation,  $\gamma_2 \circ \varphi$  is also a regular closed curve.

*Remark* A.2. The inverse of a parameter transformation is again a parameter transformation. Therefore, the defined relation between regular closed curves is symmetric. Since the composition of parameter transformations is again a parameter transformation, it is as well transitive. Moreover it is obviously reflexive and hence an equivalence relation.

In the following we consider some assertions about regular closed curves.

**Theorem A.3.** Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma$  be a regular closed curve. Then  $\gamma|_{(r+a, r+b)}^{-1}$  is locally Lipschitz continuous for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that r = 0. First we show that  $\gamma|_{(a,b)}^{-1}$  is continuous. Let  $(\gamma(t_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $t_n \in (a, b)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence converging to some limit  $\gamma(t)$ with  $t \in (a, b)$ . If  $(\gamma(t_{n_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence, compactness of [a, b] provides a convergent subsequence of  $(t_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with limit  $t' \in [a, b]$ . Since  $\gamma$  is continuous, we have  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$ and hence t = t'. This proves the continuity. In particular, this implies that  $\gamma|(a, b)$  is a homeomorphism from (a, b) to  $\Gamma \setminus {\gamma(a)}$ .

Now, let  $x \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(a)\}$ ,  $\check{x} \in (a, b)$  with  $\gamma(\check{x}) = x$ , and  $I \subseteq (a, b)$  a compact interval such that the interior of I contains  $\check{x}$  and  $\gamma$  is differentiable on  $I \setminus \{\check{x}\}$ . Since  $\gamma|_{(a,b)}$  is a homeomorphism,  $\gamma(I)$  is a neigbourhood of x. It remains to show that the function

$$g: I \times I \setminus \underbrace{\left\{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : s \neq t\right\}}_{=:D} \to \mathbb{R} : (s,t) \mapsto \left|\frac{\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)}{t - s}\right|$$

has a positive infimum. Because of the substitution rule, there holds for  $s, t \in I \times I \setminus D$ 

$$g(s,t) = \left| \frac{1}{t-s} \int_s^t \gamma'(\rho) \,\mathrm{d}\rho \right| = \left| \int_0^1 \gamma'(\rho(t-s)+s) \,\mathrm{d}\rho \right|.$$

Let  $((s_n, t_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an infinizing sequence in  $I \times I \setminus D$  which converges to some limit  $(s_{\infty}, t_{\infty}) \in I \times I$ . If the limit is in  $I \times I \setminus D$  the infimum is a positive minimum. If the limit is in  $D \setminus \{(\check{x}, \check{x})\}$ , the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields

$$g(s_n, t_n) \to \left| \int_0^1 \gamma' \left( \rho(t_\infty - t_\infty) + t_\infty \right) \mathrm{d}\rho \right| = |\gamma'(t_\infty)| \neq 0.$$

Finally, we consider the case  $(s_{\infty}, t_{\infty}) = (\check{x}, \check{x})$ . Without loss of generality we, assume that either  $s_n, t_n \leq \check{x}$ , or  $s_n \leq \check{x} \leq t_n$ , or  $\check{x} \leq s_n, t_n$ , each for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the cases  $s_n, t_n \leq \check{x}$  resp.  $\check{x} \leq s_n, t_n$ , we have

 $g(s_n, t_n) \to |\gamma^{\prime_\ell}(\check{x})| \neq 0$  resp.  $g(s_n, t_n) \to |\gamma^{\prime_r}(\check{x})| \neq 0.$ 

Finally for  $s_n \leq \check{x} \leq t_n$ , there holds

$$g(s_n, t_n) = \left| \int_0^{\frac{\tilde{x} - s_n}{t_n - s_n}} \gamma' \left( \underbrace{\rho(t - s) + s}_{\leq \tilde{x}} \right) \mathrm{d}\rho + \int_{\frac{\tilde{x} - s_n}{t_n - s_n}}^1 \gamma' \left( \underbrace{\rho(t - s) + s}_{\geq \tilde{x}} \right) \mathrm{d}\rho \right|.$$
(A.1)

Because of  $\frac{\check{x}-s_n}{t_n-s_n} \in [0,1]$ , we can assume convergence of  $\left(\frac{\check{x}-s_n}{t_n-s_n}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  to some limit  $q\in[0,1]$ . For any  $\rho\in[0,q)$ , it holds  $\rho<\frac{\check{x}-s_n}{t_n-s_n}$  for sufficiently large  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  and therefore

$$\gamma'(\rho(t_n - s_n) + s_n) \to \gamma'^{\ell}(\check{x}).$$

Hence we may use Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, to see that the first summand in (A.1) converges to  $q\gamma'^{\ell}(\check{x})$ . Analogous considerations for the second summand show that

$$g(s_n, t_n) \to |q\gamma^{\prime}(\check{x}) + (1-q)\gamma^{\prime}(\check{x})| \neq 0.$$

We conclude that in each case the limit of  $(g(s_n, t_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which is just the infimum of g on  $I \times I \setminus D$ , is greater than zero.

**Lemma A.4.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces and  $f : X \to Y$  locally Lipschitz continuous. Then  $f|_K$  is Lipschitz continuous for each compact subset  $K \subseteq X$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that X = K. We prove the assertion by contradiction. If f was not Lipschitz continuous, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there would exist  $x_n, x'_n \in X$  with

$$d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) > nd_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)).$$
 (A.2)

Because of the compactness, we can choose  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that they converge to some limit  $x \in X$  resp.  $x' \in X$ . Moreover the range of the mapping f(X) is compact, since f is continuous and X is compact. This implies the boundedness of f(X) and hence

$$d_X(x_n, x'_n) < \frac{1}{n} d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) \to 0.$$

We therefore have x = x'. In a sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of x, the function f is Lipschitz continuous. Thus, there exists a constant C > 0 with

$$\forall \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}' \in X: \quad \max\left(d_X(x, \widetilde{x}), d_X(x, \widetilde{x}')\right) < \epsilon \Rightarrow d_Y\left(f(\widetilde{x}), f(\widetilde{x}')\right) \le C d_X(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}')$$

For sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\max \left( d_X(x, x_n), d_X(x, x'_n) \right) < \epsilon$ , and hence

$$d_Y(f(\widetilde{x}), f(\widetilde{x}')) \le C d_X(x_n, x_n')$$

This contradicts (A.2) and concludes the proof.

Remark A.5. If X is even locally compact, a function  $f : X \to Y$  is locally Lipschitz continuous if and only if  $f|_K$  is Lipschitz continuous for each compact subset  $K \subseteq X$ .

**Corollary A.6.** Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma$  be a regular closed curve. Then, for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma|_{(r+a,r+b)}^{-1}$  is Lipschitz continuous on each compact subset of  $\Gamma \setminus \{\gamma(r+a)\}$ .

**Lemma A.7.** Each regular closed curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Gamma$  with length L is equivalent to a unique regular closed curve  $\gamma_L : [0, L] \to \Gamma$  with  $|\gamma_L^{\prime_\ell}(t)| = 1$  for  $t \in (0, L]$  and  $|\gamma_L^{\prime r}(t)| = 1$  for  $t \in [0, L)$ .

*Proof.* We define the parameter transformation

$$\psi: [a,b] \to [0,L]: t \mapsto \int_a^t |\gamma'(\tau)| \,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Then the inverse  $\varphi:=\psi$  is also a parameter transformation. It holds for  $t\in[0,L]$ 

$$(\gamma \circ \varphi)^{\prime_{\ell,r}}(t) = \gamma^{\prime_{\ell,r}}(\varphi(t))\varphi^{\prime_{\ell,r}}(t) = \gamma^{\prime_{\ell,r}}(\varphi(t))\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime_{\ell,r}}(\varphi(t))} = \frac{\gamma^{\prime_{\ell,r}}(\varphi(t))}{|\gamma^{\prime_{\ell,r}}(\varphi(t))|}.$$

Hence  $\gamma_L := \gamma \circ \varphi$  has the desired properties.

The uniqueness of  $\gamma_L$  resp. the corresponding parameter transformation  $\varphi$  follows from the uniqueness of the inverse  $\psi$ . The inverse is unique because for  $t \in [a, b]$ 

$$|\gamma'^{\ell,r}(t)| = \left|\gamma_L'^{\ell,r}(\psi(t))\right| |\psi'^{\ell,r}(t)| = \psi'^{\ell,r}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(a) = 0.$$

This concludes the proof.

## APPENDIX B. IMPLEMENTATION

In this section, the generation of the Galerkin matrix for Symm's integral equation  $V_h$  and of the right-hand side vector  $F_h$  of Section 5 is implemented for the special case that the parametrization  $\gamma$  is a NURBS curve. This means

$$\gamma(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C_i^{\gamma} R_{i, p_{\gamma}}^{\check{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma}, \mathcal{W}^{\gamma}}(t)$$
(B.1)

for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Here,  $p_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}$  is the polynomial degree,  $\check{\mathcal{K}}^{\gamma}$  and  $\mathcal{W}^{\gamma}$  are periodic knots and weights of length  $N_{\gamma}$  as in Definition 4.13, and  $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are  $N_{\gamma}$ -periodic control points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover, we implement the Faermann estimator  $\eta_h^{F_1}$  for the corresponding solution, Dörfler marking, and a refinement procedure which refines all marked elements such that the shape regularity constant stays bounded.

B.1. Functions.h. In this file we define some help functions and the Dirichlet boundary conditions g.

```
#ifndef _Functions_h
1
  #define _Functions_h
2
3
  #include <stdio.h>
4
5
  #include <stdlib.h>
  #include <math.h>
6
  #define EPS 1e-15
7
8
9
   inline int mod(int x, int y) {
10
11
       // returns x mod y for integer x and positive integer y
       int z=x%y;
12
       if (z<0) {z=z+y; }
13
       return z;
14
   }
15
16
17
   inline int floordiv(int x, int y) {
18
19
       // returns floor(((double)x)/((double)y)) for int x and pos. int y
       if (x \ge 0) {return x/y; }else{return (x-y+1)/y; }
20
21
   }
22
23
24
   inline double min(double x, double y) {
       if (x<=y) {return x; }else{return y; }</pre>
25
26
   }
27
28
   inline double max(double x, double y) {
29
       if (x<=y) {return y; }else{return x; };</pre>
30
31
   }
32
33
34 inline int nearly_equal(double x, double y) {
                                              80
```

```
if (fabs(x-y) \le PS \le (fabs(x), fabs(y)))
35
36
            return 1;
37
       }else{
38
            return 0;
39
       }
40
   }
41
42
   inline double norm(double* vector) {
43
44
       return sqrt(vector[0]*vector[0]+vector[1]*vector[1]);
   }
45
46
47
   inline double q(double * x) {
48
       // returns g(x) for x in Gamma
49
50
       // quadratic:
51
       return x[0]*x[0]-x[1]*x[1]+2*x[0]*x[1];
52
53
54
       // exponential:
       // return exp(x[0]) *cos(x[1]);
55
56
       // singular:
57
       // double tau=4.0/7.0;
58
59
       // return pow(norm(x),tau)*cos(tau*atan2(x[1],x[0]));
60
   }
61
62
63
   #endif
```

B.2. Structures.h. In this file we define the structures NURBSData and QuadData.

```
#ifndef _Structures_h_
1
  #define _Structures_h_
\mathbf{2}
3
  #include <stdio.h>
  #include <stdlib.h>
4
5
6
   // NURBSData
7
  typedef struct _NURBSData_{
8
       double a; // left point of real interval [a,b]
9
       double* knots; // non-decreasing points in (a,b],
10
       //t_1=knots[0],...,t_N=knots[N-1]=b with #t_i<=p+1</pre>
11
       int N; // number of t_i in (a,b
12
       double * weights; // positive weights correspoding to knots
13
       int p; // polynomial degree
14
       double* nodes; // unique(knots)
15
       int n; // number of nodes
16
       int is_rational; // 0 if all weights are one, 1 else
17
   }NURBSData;
18
19
20
```

```
NURBSData* new_NURBSData(double a, double* knots, int N, double* weights, int p,
21
                              double* nodes, int n, int is_rational) {
22
23
       NURBSData* Data = malloc(sizeof(NURBSData));
24
25
       Data \rightarrow a = a;
       Data->knots = knots;
26
27
       Data \rightarrow N = N;
28
       Data->weights = weights;
29
       Data \rightarrow p = p;
30
       Data->nodes=nodes;
       Data->n=n;
31
32
       Data->is_rational=is_rational;
33
34
       return Data;
35
   }
36
37
   NURBSData* del NURBSData(NURBSData* Data) {
38
       if (Data != NULL) {
39
40
           free(Data);
41
       }
       return NULL;
42
43
   }
44
45
46
  // get NURBSData
47 inline double get_NURBSData_a(NURBSData* Data) {return Data->a;}
  inline double* get_NURBSData_knots(NURBSData* Data) {return Data->knots;}
48
49 inline int get_NURBSData_N(NURBSData* Data) {return Data->N; }
50 | inline double* get_NURBSData_weights(NURBSData* Data) {return Data->weights;}
51
   inline int get_NURBSData_p(NURBSData* Data) {return Data->p;}
52 inline double* get_NURBSData_nodes(NURBSData* Data){return Data->nodes;}
53 inline int get_NURBSData_n (NURBSData* Data) {return Data->n; }
54 inline int get_NURBSData_is_rational(NURBSData* Data)
   {return Data->is rational;}
55
56
57
58
59
   // QuadData
60
61
   typedef struct QuadData {
       double* nodes; // nodes of Gauss quadrature in [0,1]
62
       double* weights; // weights of Gauss quadrature in [0,1]
63
       int n; // number of nodes resp. weights
64
   }QuadData;
65
66
67
   QuadData* new_QuadData(double* nodes, double* weights, int n) {
68
       QuadData* Data = malloc(sizeof(QuadData));
69
70
       Data->nodes = nodes;
71
72
       Data->weights = weights;
       Data \rightarrow n = n;
73
```

```
74
75
       return Data;
76
   }
77
78
   QuadData* del QuadData(QuadData* Data) {
79
       if (Data != NULL) {
80
81
            free(Data);
82
       }
       return NULL;
83
   }
84
85
86
87
   // get QuadData
  inline double* get_QuadData_nodes(QuadData* Data) {return Data->nodes;}
88
   inline double* get_QuadData_weights(QuadData* Data) {return Data->weights; }
89
   inline int get_QuadData_n(QuadData* Data) {return Data->n; }
90
91
  #endif
92
```

B.3. Spline.h and Spline.c. In Spline.c the evaluation of NURBS and its first derivative is implemented. It is mainly based on [dB86, Algorithm 9 and Algorithm 10].

```
#ifndef _Spline_h
1
  #define _Spline_h
\mathbf{2}
3
  #include "Structures.h"
4
5
  #include "Functions.h"
6
  /* parameters:
\overline{7}
   Data...NURBSData*, see Structures.h
8
    i...arbitrary unfixed integer
9
    t...arbitrary unfixed evaluation point in [a,b)
10
11
    wcpoints1...first component of weighted control points w_l*C_l
    for geometry corresponding to knots
12
    wcpoints2...second component of weighted control points w_l*C_l
13
    for geometry corresponding to knots
14
    */
15
16
17
  inline double knotseq(NURBSData* Data, int i);
18
  // returns t_i, (knots (b-a)-periodic extended)
19
20
  int find_Span(NURBSData* Data, double t);
21
  // returns integer index between 0 and N-1 with t in [t_index,t_{index+1})
22
  // using binary search
23
24
  double deBoor_help(NURBSData* Data, int i, double* coeffdata, int coefftype,
25
                       int l,double t);
26
27
  // help function for function deBoor which returns
28 // a_i^[1](t)=(1-\beta_{i,p-l+1}(t))*a_{i-1}^[1-1](t)
```

```
29
  // + beta_{i,p-l+1}(t) *a_i^{[l-1]}(t), where a_i^{[0]=c_{i+1}}
30
  // (see B-Spline Basics: Algorithm 9 with k=p+1 and a_i=c_{i+1}),
31
  // for parameter description see function deBoor
32
33
34 double deBoorDeriv_help(NURBSData* Data, int i, double* coeffdata,
                           int coefftype, int l, double t);
35
36
  // help function for function deBoorDeriv which returns
  // (not derivative of function a_i^[1])
37
  // a_i'^[l](t)=(1-\beta_{i,p-l})*a_{i-1}'^[l-1]+\beta_{i,p-l}*a_i'^[l-1] with
38
  // a_i'^[0]=a_i'=p*(a_i-a_{i-1})/(t_{i+p}-t_i)
39
  // (see B-Spline Basics: Algorithm 9 and Algorithm 10 with k=p+1 and
40
  // a_i=c_{i+1}), for parameter description see function deBoorDeriv
41
42
43
  double deBoor(NURBSData* Data, double* coeffdata, int coefftype,double t);
44
  // returns sum_{i in Zc_i*B_{i,p}(t) using deBoor algorithm, where:
45
  // if coefftype=0: coeffdata[i+p-1]=c_i for i=(1-p)...(N-#b+1)
46
  // if coefftype=1: coeffdata[i-1]=c_i for i=1...N, c_i N-periodic extended
47
48
  // if coefftype=2: coeffdata[0] is unique integer i where c_i!=0, it is c_i=1
49
  double deBoorDeriv(NURBSData* Data, double* coeffdata, int coefftype, double t);
50
  // returns sum_{i in Z}c_i*D^r(B_{i,p})(t) using deBoor algorithm and formula
51
  // for derivative coefficients c_i', where:
52
53
  // if coefftype=0: coeffdata[i+p-1]=c_i for i=(1-p)...(N-#b+1)
54 // if coefftype=1: coeffdata[i-1]=c_i for i=1...N, c_i N-periodic extended
   // if coefftype=2: coeffdata[0] is unique integer i where c_i!=0, it is c_i=1
55
56
  double eval_NURBS(NURBSData* Data, int i, double t);
57
58
  // returns R_{i,p}(t)
59
60
  double eval_NURBSDeriv(NURBSData* Data, int i, double t);
61
  // returns D^r(R_{i,p})(t) by using quotient rule
62
63
64
65
  void eval NURBSCurve(double* output, NURBSData* Data, double* wcpoints1,
                        double* wcpoints2, double t);
66
  // turns output[0] resp. output[1] into first resp. second coordinate of
67
  // sum_{l in Z} C_l*R_{l,p}(t)
68
69
  // =(sum_{l in Z} w_l*C_l*B_{l,p}(t))/(sum_{l in Z} w_l*B_{l,p}(t))
70
  void eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(double* output, NURBSData* Data, double* wcpoints1,
71
72
                             double* wcpoints2, double t);
73 // turns output[0] resp. output[1] into first resp. second coordinate of
   // right derivative of
74
  // sum_{l in Z} C_l*R_{l,p}(t)
75
76
  // =(sum_{1 in Z} w_1*C_1*B_{1,p}(t))/(sum_{1 in Z} w_1*B_{1,p}(t))
  // using quotient rule
77
78
79 double eval_NURBSComb(NURBSData* Data, double* wcoeffs, double t);
80
  // returns sum_{l in Z} c_l*R_{l,p}(t), where wcoeffs[l+p-1]=w_l*c_l
81 // for l=(1-p),..., (N-#b+1)
```

```
82
83
84 #endif
```

```
#include "Spline.h"
1
\mathbf{2}
3
  inline double knotseq (NURBSData * Data, int i) {
4
5
       double a= get_NURBSData_a(Data);
\mathbf{6}
       double* knots=get_NURBSData_knots(Data);
7
       int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
8
9
       double b=knots[N-1];
10
       // t_i=t_{i mod N}+(b-a) *floor(i/N)
11
       if (mod(i,N)!=0) {
12
13
           return knots[mod(i,N)-1]+(b-a)*floordiv(i,N);
14
       } else {
15
           return a+(b-a) *floordiv(i,N); // a=t_0
16
       }
17
   }
18
19
   int find_Span(NURBSData* Data, double t) {
20
21
22
       int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
       int low=0, high=N+1; // t in [t_low,t_high)
23
24
       int index=(low+high)/2;
       double t_index=knotseq(Data,index); // t_index
25
       double t_indexp1=knotseq(Data,index+1); // t_{index+1}
26
27
       while (t<t_index || t>=t_indexp1) {
28
            if (t<t_index) {</pre>
29
30
                high=index;
31
            } else {
32
                low=index;
33
            }
           index=(low+high)/2;
34
35
           t_index=knotseq(Data, index);
           t_indexp1=knotseq(Data, index+1);
36
37
38
       return index;
39
   }
40
41
  double deBoor_help(NURBSData* Data, int i, double* coeffdata, int coefftype,
42
                        int l, double t) {
43
44
       int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
45
       int p=get_NURBSData_p(Data);
46
47
       int k,m;
```

```
double beta; // beta_{k,p-m+1}
48
        double t_k,t_kppmmp1; // t_k, t_{k+p-m+1}
49
50
        double A[1+1]; // help vector
51
52
        // calculation of a_k^{[0]}=a_k=c_{k+1}=A[k+1-i]
        for (k=(i-1); k<=i; k=k+1) {
53
             switch(coefftype) {
54
55
                 case 0:
                      A[k+l-i]=coeffdata[k+p]; break;
56
                 case 1:
57
                      if (mod(k+1,N)!=0) {
58
                          A[k+1-i] = coeffdata[mod(k+1,N)-1];
59
                      } else {
60
61
                          A[k+1-i] = coeffdata[N-1];
                      } break;
62
63
                 case 2:
                      // c_{k+1} = \Delta_{k+1}, coeffdata[0] 
64
                      if ( ((int) coeffdata[0]) == (k+1) ) {
65
                          A[k+1-i]=1;
66
                      } else {
67
                          A[k+1-i]=0;
68
69
                      }break;
70
             }
71
        }
72
73
        // calculation of a_k^{m} = A[k+1-i-m]
        for (m=1;m<=1;m=m+1) {
74
75
             for (k=(i-l+m); k<=i; k=k+1) {</pre>
76
                 t_k=knotseq(Data, k);
                 t_kppmmp1=knotseq(Data,k+p-m+1);
77
78
                 // \beta_{k,p-m+1}
                 if (!(nearly_equal(t_k,t_kppmmp1))) {
79
                     beta=(t-t_k)/(t_kppmmp1-t_k);
80
                 } else {
81
                     beta=0;
82
                 }
83
84
                 // a_k^[m]=(1-\beta_{k,p-m+1}) *a_{k-1}^[m-1]
                 // + \beta_{k,p-m+1}*a_k^{[m-1]}
85
                 A[k+l-i-m] = (1-beta) * A[k+l-i-m] + beta * A[k+l-i-m+1];
86
            }
87
88
        }
89
        return A[0];
90
91
    }
92
93
    double deBoorDeriv_help(NURBSData* Data, int i, double* coeffdata,
94
95
                               int coefftype, int 1, double t) {
96
97
        int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
        int p=get_NURBSData_p(Data);
98
99
        int k,m;
        double beta; // beta_{k,p-m}
100
```

```
101
        double t_k,t_kppmm,t_kpp; // t_k, t_{k+p-m}, t_{k+p}
102
        double tmp1,tmp2;
103
        double A_prime[1+1]; // help vector A'
104
105
        // calculation of a_k'^[0]=a_k'=c_{k+1}'=A'[k+1-i]
106
        for (k=(i-l); k<=i; k=k+1) {
107
108
             t_k=knotseq(Data,k);
109
            t_kpp=knotseq(Data,k+p);
110
             switch(coefftype) {
                 case 0:
111
                      if (nearly_equal(t_kpp,t_k)) {
112
                          A_prime[k+l-i] = 0;
113
114
                      }else{
                          A_prime[k+l-i]=p*(coeffdata[k+p]-coeffdata[k+p-1])
115
                          /(t_kpp-t_k);
116
                      } break;
117
                 case 1:
118
                      if (mod(k,N)!=0) {
119
120
                          tmp1=coeffdata[mod(k,N)-1]; // c_k
121
                      } else {
122
                          tmp1=coeffdata[N-1]; // c_k
123
                      }
                      if (mod(k+1,N)!=0) {
124
125
                          tmp2=coeffdata[mod(k+1,N)-1]; // c_{k+1}
126
                      } else {
                          tmp2=coeffdata[N-1]; // c_{k+1}
127
128
                      1
129
                      if (nearly_equal(t_kpp,t_k)) {
130
                          A_prime[k+l-i] = 0;
131
                      } else {
132
                          A_prime[k+l-i]=p*(tmp2-tmp1)/(t_kpp-t_k);
133
                      } break;
                 case 2:
134
                      // c \{k+1\} = \Delta \{k+1, coeffdata[0]\}
135
136
                      if (((int) coeffdata[0]) == (k+1)){
137
                          if (nearly_equal(t_kpp,t_k)) {
                              A_prime[k+1-i]=0;
138
                          }else{
139
                              A_prime[k+l-i]=p/(t_kpp-t_k);
140
141
                          }
142
                      } else {
                          if (((int) coeffdata[0]) == k) {
143
                               if (nearly_equal(t_kpp,t_k)){
144
145
                                   A_prime[k+l-i] = 0;
                               }else{
146
147
                                   A_prime[k+l-i] = -p/(t_kpp-t_k);
148
                               }
                          } else {
149
150
                              A_prime[k+l-i]=0;
151
                          }
152
                      } break;
153
             }
```

```
154
        }
155
156
        // calculation of a_k'^[m]=A'[k+l-i-m]
        for (m=1; m<=1; m=m+1) {
157
158
             for (k=(i-l+m); k<=i; k=k+1) {</pre>
                 t_k=knotseq(Data,k);
159
160
                 t_kppmm=knotseq(Data,k+p-m);
161
                 // \beta_{k,p-m}
162
                 if (!(nearly_equal(t_k,t_kppmm))) {
163
                      beta=(t-t_k)/(t_kppmm-t_k);
                 } else {
164
165
                      beta=0;
                 }
166
167
                 // a_k'^{[m]=(1-beta_{k,p-m})*a_{k-1}'^{[m-1]}
                 // +\beta_{k,p-m}*a_k'^[m-1]
168
                 A_prime[k+l-i-m] = (1-beta) * A_prime[k+l-i-m]
169
                 + beta*A_prime[k+l-i-m+1];
170
171
             }
        }
172
173
174
        return A_prime[0];
175
    }
176
177
178
    double deBoor(NURBSData* Data, double* coeffdata, int coefftype, double t) {
179
        int p=get_NURBSData_p(Data);
180
        double index=find_Span(Data,t);
181
182
        return deBoor_help(Data, index, coeffdata, coefftype, p, t);
183
184
185
186
   double deBoorDeriv (NURBSData* Data, double* coeffdata, int coefftype, double t) {
187
188
189
        int p=get_NURBSData_p(Data);
190
        double index=find_Span(Data,t);
191
        return deBoorDeriv_help(Data, index, coeffdata, coefftype, p-1, t);
192
193
    }
194
195
    double eval_NURBS (NURBSData * Data, int i, double t) {
196
197
198
        int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
        double* weights=get_NURBSData_weights(Data);
199
200
        int is_rational=get_NURBSData_is_rational(Data);
201
        double weight, nominator, denominator;
202
        double coeffdata[1]={ (double) i };
203
204
        if (is_rational==0) {
205
             return deBoor(Data, coeffdata, 2, t);
        }else{
206
```

```
207
            if (mod(i,N)!=0) {
208
                 weight=weights[mod(i,N)-1]; // w_i
209
            } else {
                 weight=weights[N-1]; // w_i
210
211
            }
            nominator=weight*deBoor(Data, coeffdata, 2, t);
212
213
            denominator=deBoor(Data,weights,1,t);
214
            return nominator/denominator;
215
        }
216
    }
217
218
   double eval_NURBSDeriv (NURBSData * Data, int i, double t) {
219
220
221
        int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
222
        double* weights=get_NURBSData_weights(Data);
        int is_rational=get_NURBSData_is_rational(Data);
223
        double weight, nominator, nominator_prime, denominator, denominator_prime;
224
        double coeffdata[1]={ (double) i };
225
226
227
        if (is_rational==0) {
            return deBoorDeriv(Data, coeffdata, 2, t);
228
229
        }else{
230
            if (mod(i,N)!=0) {
231
                 weight=weights[mod(i,N)-1]; // w_i
232
            } else {
                 weight=weights[N-1]; // w_i
233
234
            }
235
            nominator=weight*deBoor(Data, coeffdata, 2, t);
            nominator_prime=weight*deBoorDeriv(Data, coeffdata, 2, t);
236
237
            denominator=deBoor(Data,weights,1,t);
            denominator_prime=deBoorDeriv(Data,weights,1,t);
238
            return (nominator_prime*denominator-nominator*denominator_prime)
239
            /(denominator*denominator);
240
        }
241
242
    }
243
244
   void eval_NURBSCurve(double* output, NURBSData* Data, double* wcpoints1,
245
                           double* wcpoints2, double t) {
246
247
        int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
248
249
        double* weights=get_NURBSData_weights(Data);
        int is_rational=get_NURBSData_is_rational(Data);
250
251
        double nominator1, nominator2, denominator;
252
253
        if (is_rational==0) {
254
            output[0]=deBoor(Data,wcpoints1,1,t);
255
            output[1]=deBoor(Data,wcpoints2,1,t);
256
        }else{
            nominator1=deBoor(Data,wcpoints1,1,t);
257
258
            nominator2=deBoor(Data,wcpoints2,1,t);
            denominator=deBoor(Data,weights,1,t);
259
```

```
260
            output[0]=nominator1/denominator;
261
262
            output[1]=nominator2/denominator;
263
        }
264
   }
265
266
   void eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(double* output, NURBSData* Data, double* wcpoints1,
267
268
                               double* wcpoints2, double t) {
269
270
        int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
        double* weights=get_NURBSData_weights(Data);
271
272
        int is_rational=get_NURBSData_is_rational(Data);
273
        double nominator1, nominator2, denominator;
        double nominator1_prime, nominator2_prime, denominator_prime;
274
275
        if (is_rational==0) {
276
            output[0]=deBoorDeriv(Data,wcpoints1,1,t);
277
            output[1]=deBoorDeriv(Data,wcpoints2,1,t);
278
279
        }else{
            nominator1=deBoor(Data,wcpoints1,1,t);
280
281
            nominator2=deBoor(Data,wcpoints2,1,t);
            denominator=deBoor(Data,weights,1,t);
282
283
            nominator1 prime=deBoorDeriv(Data,wcpoints1,1,t);
284
            nominator2_prime=deBoorDeriv(Data,wcpoints2,1,t);
285
            denominator_prime=deBoorDeriv(Data,weights,1,t);
286
            output[0] = (nominator1_prime*denominator-nominator1*denominator_prime)
287
288
            /(denominator*denominator);
            output[1]=(nominator2_prime*denominator-nominator2*denominator_prime)
289
290
            /(denominator*denominator);
        }
291
292
   }
293
294
   double eval_NURBSComb(NURBSData* Data, double* wcoeffs, double t) {
295
296
        double* knots=get_NURBSData_knots(Data);
297
        int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data);
298
        double* weights=get_NURBSData_weights(Data);
299
300
        int p=get NURBSData p(Data);
        int is_rational=get_NURBSData_is_rational(Data);
301
302
        double b=knots[N-1];
        int multb=0; // #b
303
304
        while (nearly_equal(knots[N-multb-1],b)) {multb=multb+1;}
        double weight, nominator, denominator;
305
306
307
        if (is_rational==0) {
308
            return deBoor(Data,wcoeffs,0,t);
        }else{
309
            nominator=deBoor(Data,wcoeffs,0,t);
310
311
            denominator=deBoor(Data,weights,1,t);
            return nominator/denominator;
312
```

313 314 }

B.4. Vmatrix.h and Vmatrix.c. The computation of the Galerkin matrix is based on Subsection 5.1.

```
#ifndef _Vmatrix_h
1
  #define _Vmatrix_h
\mathbf{2}
3
  #include <math.h>
4
  #include <stdio.h>
5
  #include "Spline.h"
6
\overline{7}
  /* parameters:
8
   Data_Gamma...NURBSData* for geometry Gamma, see Structures.h
9
    wcpoints1_gam...first component of weighted control points
10
    w l^\qamma *C l^\qamma for geometry corresponding to knots
11
    wcpoints2_gam...second component of weighted control points
12
13
    w_l^\gamma *C_l^\gamma for geometry corresponding to knots
14
    Data_Basis...NURBSData* for Basis of approximation space
    Data_Gauss...QuadData* for quadrature with weight function 1 om [0,1],
15
16
    see Structures.h
    Data_LogGauss...QuadData* for quadrature with weight function -log(x)
17
    on [0,1], see Structures.h
18
19
20
    comments:
21
    we assume that:
22
   -)path gamma induced by Data_Gammais and wcpoints_gam is positively
    orientated regular closed curve,
23
    which parametizes boundary Gamma of Lipschitz domain Omega with diam(Omega)<1
24
   -) #t_i^gamma<=p_gam+1</pre>
25
    -)number of different entries in knots of Data Gamma >= 4
26
    -) {t_i^gamma:i=1...N_gam}<={t_i:i=1...N}
27
28
    −) #t_i<=p+1</p>
    */
29
30
31
  double SquareIntegrand_V_smooth (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
32
                                     double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
33
                                     double s, double t, int i, int k,
34
35
                                     double denominator, double Jdet);
  // returns -1/2pi*log(|\gamma(s)-\gamma(t)|/denominator)
36
  // *\tilde{R}_i(s) *\tilde{R}_k(t) *Jdet,
37
  // where s!=t in [a,b), i,k in \{1-p, \ldots, N-\#b+1\}, denominator>0 and Jdet in R
38
39
40
  double SquareIntegrand_V_log(NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
41
                                  double* wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData* Data_Basis,
42
                                  double s,double t,int i,int k,double Jdet);
43
  // returns 1/2pi*\tilde{R}_i(s)*\tilde{R}_k(t)*Jdet,
44
45 // where s!=t in [a,b), i,k in \{1-p,\ldots,N-\#b+1\} and Jdet in \backslash R
                                            91
```

```
46
47
48
   double SquareIntegral_V_Identical (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
                                      double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
49
50
                                      QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss,
                                      int i, int k, int l);
51
  // returns \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \check{G}_{1,1}(s,t) \tilde{R}_{i,1}(s)
52
   // tilde{R}_{k,1}(t) dt ds,
53
  // where i,k in {1-p,...,N-#b+1} and
54
  // l in {max(i,1),...,min(i+p,N)} \cap {max(k,1)...,min(k+p,N)} with H_1>0
55
56
57
  double SquareIntegral_V_Adjacent(NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
58
                                     double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
59
                                     QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss,
60
                                     int i, int k, int 11, int 12);
61
  // returns \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \check{G}_{11,12}(s,t)
62
  // \tilde{R}_{i,11}(s) \tilde{R}_{k,12}(t) dt ds for adjacent elements,
63
64 // i.e. \gamma([t_{1_1-1},t_{1_1} \cap \gamma([t_{1_2-1},t_{1_2}]) consists
65
  // of one point, singularity at s=0 and t=1,
  // i,k in {1-p,...,N-#b+1}, 11 in {max(i,1),...,min(i+p,N)} and
66
  // 12 in {max(k,1),...,min(k+p,N)} with min(H_11,H_12)>0
67
68
69
70
  void build_Vmatrix(double* output,NURBSData* Data_Gamma,double* wcpoints1_gam,
71
                       double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
                       QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss);
72
  // turns output[(i+p-1)+(k+p-1)*(N-#b+1+p)] into
73
  // <V \ \{R\}_i, \ \{R\}_k>_{L^2(Gamma)} for i, k=1-p...N-\#b+1,
74
   // \hat{R}_i=R_{i,p} circ gamma^(-1) are the transformed basis functions
75
76
  #endif
77
```

```
#include "Vmatrix.h"
1
2
3
  double SquareIntegrand_V_smooth (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
4
                                     double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
5
                                     double s, double t, int i, int k,
6
                                     double denominator, double Jdet) {
7
8
       double tmp1[2];
9
       double tmp2[2];
10
       double diff_gam[2];
11
       double R_til_i,R_til_k; // \tilde{R}_i(s), \tilde{R}_k(t)
12
13
14
       eval_NURBSCurve(tmp1,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
15
       // gamma(s)
       eval_NURBSCurve(tmp2,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
16
17
       // gamma(t)
18
       diff_gam[0] = tmp1[0] - tmp2[0];
```

```
19
       diff_gam[1] = tmp1[1] - tmp2[1];
20
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp1,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
21
       // qamma'(s)
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp2,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
22
23
       // qamma'(t)
       R_til_i = eval_NURBS(Data_Basis, i, s) * norm(tmp1);
24
       R_til_k = eval_NURBS(Data_Basis, k, t) * norm(tmp2);
25
26
       return -log(norm(diff_gam)/denominator) / (2*M_PI)*R_til_i *R_til_k*Jdet;
27
28
29
   double SquareIntegrand_V_log(NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
30
                                  double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
31
32
                                  double s, double t, int i, int k, double Jdet) {
33
34
       double tmp1[2];
35
       double tmp2[2];
36
       double R_til_i,R_til_k; // \tilde{R}_i(s), \tilde{R}_k(t)
37
38
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp1,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
39
40
       // qamma'(s)
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp2,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
41
42
       // gamma'(t)
43
       R_til_i = eval_NURBS(Data_Basis, i, s) * norm(tmp1);
       R_til_k = eval_NURBS(Data_Basis, k, t) * norm(tmp2);
44
       return R_til_i *R_til_k*Jdet/(2*M_PI);
45
46
   }
47
48
49
   double SquareIntegral_V_Identical (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
                                       double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
50
                                       QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss,
51
                                       int i, int k, int l) {
52
53
       double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
54
55
       double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
       int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
56
       double* nodes_loggauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_LogGauss);
57
       double* weights_loggauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_LogGauss);
58
59
       int n_loggauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_LogGauss);
60
       int q1,q2;
61
       double t_lm1=knotseq(Data_Basis, 1-1); // t_{1-1}
       double t_l=knotseq(Data_Basis, l); // t_{1}
62
63
       double H_l=t_l-t_lm1; // H_l
       double squareint=0; // integral over square
64
65
       double intpoint1, intpoint2; // first and second integration point
66
       double denominator;
       double Jdet; // Jacobi determinant for Duffy transformation
67
68
       // smooth integrals
69
70
       for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
           for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
71
```

```
// first double integral
72
                intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*nodes_gauss[q1];
73
                intpoint2 = t_lm1 + H_l*(nodes_gauss[q1] * (1-nodes_gauss[q2]));
74
                denominator=nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2];
75
76
                Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];
                squareint += weights_gauss[q1] * weights_gauss[q2]
77
                *SquareIntegrand_V_smooth(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
78
                                            Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k,
79
                                            denominator,Jdet);
80
                // second double integral
81
                intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*(1-nodes_gauss[q1]);
82
                intpoint2 = t_lm1 + H_l * (1+nodes_gauss[q1]*(nodes_gauss[q2]-1));
83
                denominator=nodes_gauss[q1] *nodes_gauss[q2];
84
85
                Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];
                squareint += weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
86
                *SquareIntegrand_V_smooth(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
87
                                            Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k,
88
                                            denominator,Jdet);
89
            }
90
91
        }
        // integrals with s-logarithmic singularity
92
        for (q1=0;q1<n_loggauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
93
            for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
94
                // first double integral
95
96
                intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*nodes_loggauss[q1];
                intpoint2 = t_lm1 + H_l*(nodes_loggauss[q1]*(1-nodes_gauss[q2]));
97
98
                Jdet=nodes_loggauss[q1];
                squareint += weights_loggauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
99
                *SquareIntegrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
100
                                         Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k, Jdet);
101
102
                // second double integral
                intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*(1-nodes_loggauss[q1]);
103
                intpoint2 = t_lm1+H_l*(1+nodes_loggauss[q1]*(nodes_gauss[q2]-1));
104
                Jdet=nodes_loggauss[q1];
105
                squareint += weights_loggauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
106
107
                *SquareIntegrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
108
                                         Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k, Jdet);
109
            }
110
        }
        // integrals with t-logarithmic singularity
111
        for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
112
            for (q2=0;q2<n_loggauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
113
114
                // first double integral
                intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*nodes_gauss[q1];
115
                intpoint2 = t_lm1+H_l*(nodes_gauss[q1]*(1-nodes_loggauss[q2]));
116
117
                Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];
                squareint += weights_gauss[q1] *weights_loggauss[q2]
118
119
                *SquareIntegrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
                                         Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k, Jdet);
120
                // second double integral
121
122
                intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*(1-nodes_gauss[q1]);
123
                intpoint2 = t_lm1+H_l*(1+nodes_gauss[q1]*(nodes_loggauss[q2]-1));
                Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];
124
```

```
125
                squareint += weights_gauss[g1] *weights_loggauss[g2]
                *SquareIntegrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
126
                                         Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k, Jdet);
127
            }
128
129
        }
        return squareint;
130
131
   }
132
133
134
   double SquareIntegral_V_Adjacent (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
135
                                       double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
                                       QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss,
136
137
                                       int i, int k, int 11, int 12) {
138
139
        double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
140
        double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
141
        int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
142
        double* nodes_loggauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_LogGauss);
143
144
        double* weights_loggauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_LogGauss);
145
        int n_loggauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_LogGauss);
146
        int q1, q2;
        double t_l1m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1-1); // t_{1_1-1}
147
        double t_l1=knotseq(Data_Basis,l1); // t_{1_1}
148
149
        double H_l1=t_l1-t_l1m1; // H_{1_1}
150
        double t_l2m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2-1); // t_{1_2-1}
        double t_l2=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2); // t_{1_2}
151
152
        double H_12=t_12-t_12m1; // H_{1_1}
        double squareint=0; // integral over square
153
        double intpoint1, intpoint2; // first and second integration point
154
155
        double denominator;
        double Jdet; // Jacobi determinant of Duffy transformation
156
157
        // smooth integrals
158
        for (q1=0;q1<n gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
159
            for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
160
161
                // first double integral
                intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1];
162
                intpoint2 = t_l2ml + H_l2 * (1-nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2]);
163
                denominator=nodes_gauss[q1];
164
                Jdet=nodes gauss[g1];
165
                squareint += weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
166
167
                *SquareIntegrand_V_smooth(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
                                            Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k,
168
169
                                            denominator,Jdet);
                // second double integral
170
171
                intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2];
172
                intpoint2 = t_12m1 + H_12 * (1-nodes_gauss[q2]);
                denominator=nodes_gauss[q2];
173
174
                Jdet=nodes_gauss[q2];
                squareint += weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
175
176
                *SquareIntegrand_V_smooth(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
                                            Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k,
177
```

```
178
                                             denominator, Jdet);
179
            }
180
        }
        // integral with s-logarithmic singularity
181
182
        for (q1=0;q1<n_loggauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
            for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
183
                 intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_loggauss[q1];
184
                 intpoint2 = t_l2ml+H_l2* (1-nodes_loggauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2]);
185
                 Jdet=nodes_loggauss[q1];
186
187
                 squareint += weights_loggauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
                 *SquareIntegrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
188
                                         Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k, Jdet);
189
            }
190
191
        }
        // integral with t-logarithmic singularity
192
        for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
193
            for (q2=0;q2<n_loggauss;q2=q2+1) {
194
                 intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_loggauss[q2];
195
                 intpoint2 = t_l2m1 + H_l2 * (1-nodes_loggauss[q2]);
196
197
                 Jdet=nodes_loggauss[q2];
                 squareint += weights_gauss[q1] *weights_loggauss[q2]
198
                 *SquareIntegrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
199
                                         Data_Basis, intpoint1, intpoint2, i, k, Jdet);
200
201
            }
202
        }
203
        return squareint;
204
205
206
   void build_Vmatrix(double* output,NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
207
208
                        double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
209
                        QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss) {
210
        double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
211
212
        double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
213
        int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
214
        double* nodes_loggauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_LogGauss);
        double* weights_loggauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_LogGauss);
215
        int n_loggauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_LogGauss);
216
217
        double* knots=get_NURBSData_knots(Data_Basis);
218
        int N=get NURBSData N(Data Basis);
        int p=get_NURBSData_p(Data_Basis);
219
220
        double tmp[2];
        int i,k,l1,l2,q1,q2;
221
222
        double b=knots[N-1];
        int multb=0; // #b
223
224
        while (nearly_equal(knotseq(Data_Basis,N-multb),b)) {multb=multb+1;}
225
        double R_til[N-multb+1+p][p+1][n_gauss];
226
        // R_til[i-1+p][l1-i][q1]=\tilde{R}_{i,l1} (nodes_gauss[q1])
227
        double gamma1[N][n_gauss];
228
        // gamma1[l1-1][q1] is first component of
229
        // gamma(t_{11-1}+H_11*nodes_gauss[q1])
        double gamma2[N][n_gauss];
230
```

```
231
        // gamma2[11-1][q1] is second component of
232
        // gamma(t_{11-1}+H_11*nodes_gauss[g1])
233
        double squareint; // integral over square
        double t_l1m1,t_l1,H_l1,t_l2m1,t_l2,H_l2;
234
235
        // t_{11-1},t_11,H_11,t_{12-1},t_12,H_12
        double intpoint; // integration point
236
237
238
        // calculation of R_til
239
240
        // R i
241
        for (i=1-p;i<=(N-multb+1);i=i+1) {</pre>
             // elements with nonemty intersection with support of R_i
242
            for (l1=max(i,1);l1<=min(i+p,N);l1=l1+1) {</pre>
243
                 // quadrature points
244
                 for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
245
                     t_l1m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1-1);
246
                     t_l1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1);
247
                     H l1=t l1-t l1m1;
248
                     intpoint=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1];
249
250
                     eval NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp,Data Gamma,wcpoints1 gam,
251
                                             wcpoints2_gam, intpoint);
                     R_til[i-1+p][l1-i][q1]=eval_NURBS(Data_Basis, i,intpoint)
252
                     *norm(tmp);
253
254
                 }
255
             }
256
        }
        // calculation of gamma1, gamma2
257
        for (l1=1;l1<=N;l1=l1+1) {</pre>
258
            for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
259
                 t_l1m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1-1);
260
261
                 t_l1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1);
262
                 H_l1=t_l1-t_l1m1;
263
                 intpoint=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[g1];
                 eval_NURBSCurve(tmp,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
264
265
                                   intpoint);
266
                 gamma1[l1-1][q1]=tmp[0];
267
                 gamma2[l1-1][q1]=tmp[1];
268
            }
269
        }
270
271
        // calculation of Vmatrix
272
        // R_i
273
        for (i=1-p;i<=(N-multb+1);i=i+1) {</pre>
274
275
             //Rk
             for (k=1-p; k<=i; k=k+1) {
276
277
                 output[i+p-1+(k+p-1)*(N-multb+1+p)]=0;
278
                 // elements with nonemty intersection with support of R_i
279
                 for (l1=max(i,1);l1<=min(i+p,N);l1=l1+1) {</pre>
280
                      // elements with nonemty intersection with support of R_k
281
                     for (l2=max(k,1);l2<=min(k+p,N);l2=l2+1) {</pre>
282
                          t_l1m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1-1);
                          t_l1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1);
283
```

```
284
                          t_l2m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2-1);
                          t_l2=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2);
285
                          H l1=t l1-t l1m1;
286
                          H_12=t_12-t_12m1;
287
288
                          // quadrature
                          if (0<min(H 11,H 12)) {
289
                              // elements with no intersection
290
291
                              squareint=0;
292
                              if ((!nearly_equal(t_l1m1,t_l2m1))
293
                                   && (!nearly_equal(t_l1m1,t_l2))
294
                                   && (!nearly_equal(t_l1,t_l2m1))
                                   && (!nearly_equal(t_11,t_12))
295
                                   && ((l1!=(N-multb+1)) || (l2!=1))
296
297
                                   && ((l2!=(N-multb+1)) || (l1!=1))) {
                                   for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
298
299
                                       for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
                                            tmp[0] = gamma1[11-1][q1]
300
                                           - gamma1[12-1][g2];
301
302
                                           tmp[1]=gamma2[11-1][q1]
303
                                           - gamma2[12-1][q2];
304
                                            squareint+=
                                           -weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
305
                                            *log(norm(tmp))*R_til[i-1+p][l1-i][q1]
306
307
                                            * R_til[k-1+p][l2-k][q2]/(2*M_PI);
308
                                       }
309
                                   }
                              }
310
311
                              // elements with intersection
312
                              else {
                                   // identical elements
313
314
                                   if (11==12) {
315
                                       squareint=SquareIntegral_V_Identical(
316
                                       Data_Gamma, wcpoints1_gam, wcpoints2_gam,
                                       Data_Basis, Data_Gauss,
317
318
                                       Data LogGauss, i, k, l1);
319
                                   }
320
                                   // adjacent elements
                                   else{
321
322
                                       // singularity at s=0,t=1
323
                                       if (nearly_equal(t_l1m1,t_l2)
324
                                            || ((12==(N-multb+1)) && (11==1))){
                                            squareint=SquareIntegral_V_Adjacent(
325
326
                                           Data_Gamma, wcpoints1_gam, wcpoints2_gam,
                                           Data_Basis,Data_Gauss,Data_LogGauss,
327
328
                                            i,k,l1,l2);
                                       }
329
330
                                       // singularity at s=1,t=0
331
                                       else{
332
                                            squareint=SquareIntegral_V_Adjacent(
333
                                           Data_Gamma, wcpoints1_gam, wcpoints2_gam,
334
                                           Data_Basis,Data_Gauss,Data_LogGauss,
335
                                            k,i,12,11);
                                       }
336
```

```
337
                                     }
338
                                }
339
                                output[i+p-1+(k+p-1)*(N-multb+1+p)]+=
                                H_l1*H_l2*squareint;
340
341
                           }
                       }
342
343
                  }
344
                  if (i!=k) {
                       // V symmetric
345
346
                       output[k+p-1+(i+p-1)*(N-multb+1+p)] =
347
                       output[i+p-1+(k+p-1)*(N-multb+1+p)];
348
                  }
             }
349
350
         }
351
```

B.5. Fvector.h and Fvector.c. The computation of the right-hand side vector is based on Subsection 5.2.

```
#ifndef _Fvector_h
1
  #define _Fvector_h
2
3
  #include <math.h>
4
  #include <stdio.h>
5
  #include "Spline.h"
6
7
   /* parameters:
8
9
   Data_Gamma...NURBSData* for geometry Gamma, see Structures.h
   wcpoints1_gam...first component of weighted control points
10
   w_l^\gamma *C_l^\gamma for geometry corresponding to knots
11
   wcpoints2_gam...second component of weighted control points
12
   w_l^\gamma *C_l^\gamma for geometry corresponding to knots
13
   Data_Basis...NURBSData* for Basis of approximation space
14
15
   Data_Gauss...QuadData* for quadrature with weight function 1 on [0,1],
   see Structures.h
16
   Data_Gauss_small...QuadData* (see Structures.h) for quadrature with weight
17
    function 1 on [0,1] (with smaller number of nodes as Data_Gauss),
18
   used for quadrature for identical elements or adjacent elements
19
20
    in function build_Fvector
21
22
   comments:
23
   we assume that:
24
   -)path gamma induced by Data_Gammais and wcpoints_gam is positively
   orientated regular closed curve, which parametizes boundary Gamma
25
   of Lipschitz domain Omega with diam(Omega) <1
26
   -) #t_i^gamma<=p_gam+1</pre>
27
   -)number of different entries in knots of Data_Gamma >= 4
28
   -) {t_i^gamma:i=1...N_gam} <= {t_i:i=1...N}
29
   -) #t_i<=p+1
30
31
    */
32
```

**double** SquareIntegrand\_K (**NURBSData**\* Data\_Gamma, **double**\* wcpoints1\_gam, 33 double \* wcpoints2\_gam, NURBSData \* Data\_Basis, 34 35 int i, double s, double t, double Jdet); // returns \partial\_\nu\check{G} (s,t) \*\tilde{g}(t) \*\tilde{R}\_i (s) \*Jdet, 3637 // where s!=t in [a,b), i,k in  $\{1-p,\ldots,N-\#b+1\}$  and Jdet in  $\backslash R$ 38 3940 double SquareIntegral\_K\_Identical (NURBSData\* Data\_Gamma, double\* wcpoints1\_gam, double\* wcpoints2\_gam,NURBSData\* Data\_Basis, 41 42QuadData\* Data\_Gauss, int i, int l); 43 // returns \int\_0^1 \int\_0^1 \partial\_\nu\check{G}\_{1,1}(s,t) 44  $// \operatorname{tilde}_{R}_{i,1}(s) \operatorname{tilde}_{g}(t) dt ds,$ 4546 // where i in  $\{1-p, ..., N-\#b+1\}$  and l in  $\{max(i, 1), ..., min(i+p, N)\}$  with  $H_{-} > 0$ 4748 **double** SquareIntegral\_K\_Adjacent (**NURBSData**\* Data\_Gamma, **double**\* wcpoints1\_gam, 49double \* wcpoints2\_gam, NURBSData \* Data\_Basis, 50QuadData\* Data\_Gauss, 5152int i, int l1, int l2, int singtype); // returns  $int_0^1 int_0^1 partial_nu check{G}_{11,12}(s,t)$ 5354 // \tilde{R}\_{i,ll}(s) \tilde{g}(t) dt ds for adjacent elements, // i.e. \gamma([t\_{1\_1-1},t\_{1\_1} \cap \gamma([t\_{1\_2-1},t\_{1\_2}]) consists 55// of one point, if singtype=0: singularity at s=0 and t=1 5657// else singularity at s=1 and t=0, // i in {1-p,...,N-#b+1}, l1 in {max(i,1),...,min(i+p,N)} and l2 in {1,...,N} 58// with min(H\_11, H\_12) >0 5960 61**void** build\_Fvector(**double**\* output,**NURBSData**\* Data\_Gamma,**double**\* wcpoints1\_gam, 6263 double \* wcpoints2\_gam, NURBSData \* Data\_Basis, QuadData\* Data\_Gauss, QuadData\* Data\_Gauss\_small); 64 // turns output[i+p-1] into <Kg+g/2, \hat{R}\_i>\_{L\_2(Gamma)} 65// for i=1-p...N-#b+1,  $hat\{R\}_i=R_{i,p} \in amma^{(-1)}$  are the transformed 66 // basis functions 67 68 69 #endif 70

```
#include "Fvector.h"
1
2
   double SquareIntegrand_K (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
3
                              double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
4
                              int i, double s, double t, double Jdet) {
5
\mathbf{6}
       double tmp1[2];
7
8
       double tmp2[2];
       double diff_gam[2]; // gamma(s)-gamma(t)
9
       double R_til_i,g_nu1,g_nu2; // \tilde{R}_{i,p}(s), first resp. second
10
       // coordinate of \check{g}(t) | \gamma'(t) | \nu(\gamma(t))
11
12
```

```
13
       eval_NURBSCurve(tmp1,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
14
       // gamma(s)
15
       eval_NURBSCurve(tmp2,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
16
       // gamma(t)
17
       diff_gam[0] = tmp1[0] - tmp2[0];
       diff_gam[1] = tmp1[1] - tmp2[1];
18
       g_nu1 = g(tmp2);
19
20
       g_nu2 = g(tmp2);
21
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp1,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
22
       // gamma'(s)
23
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp2,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
24
       // gamma'(t)
25
       R_til_i = eval_NURBS(Data_Basis, i, s) * norm(tmp1);
26
       g_nu1 *= tmp2[1];
27
       g_nu2 *= -tmp2[0];
       return ((diff_gam[0]*g_nu1 + diff_gam[1]*g_nu2) / (2*M_PI*norm(diff_gam)))
28
       *(Jdet/norm(diff_gam)) * R_til_i;
29
30
31
32
  double SquareIntegral_K_Identical (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
33
                                       double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
34
                                       QuadData* Data_Gauss, int i, int 1) {
35
36
37
       double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
38
       double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
39
       int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
40
       int q1, q2;
41
       double t_lm1=knotseq(Data_Basis, 1-1); // t_{1-1}
       double t_l=knotseq(Data_Basis, l); // t_{1}
42
43
       double H_l=t_l-t_lm1; // H_l
       double squareint=0; // integral over square
44
       double intpoint1, intpoint2; // first and second integration point
45
       double Jdet; // Jacobi determinant for Duffy transformation
46
47
       for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
48
49
           for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
               // first double integral
50
               intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*nodes_gauss[q1];
51
               intpoint2 = t_lm1 + H_l * nodes_gauss[q1] * (1 - nodes_gauss[q2]);
52
53
               Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];
               squareint+=weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
54
55
               *SquareIntegrand_K(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
56
                                    Data_Basis, i, intpoint1, intpoint2, Jdet);
               // second double integral
57
               intpoint1=t_lm1+H_l*nodes_gauss[q1];
58
59
               intpoint2 = t_lm1
60
               +H_l*(nodes_gauss[q1]+nodes_gauss[q2]*(1-nodes_gauss[q1]));
               Jdet=(1-nodes_gauss[q1]);
61
               squareint+=weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
62
63
               *SquareIntegrand_K(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
64
                                    Data Basis, i, intpoint1, intpoint2, Jdet);
65
           }
```

```
66
        }
67
        return squareint;
68
   }
69
70
   double SquareIntegral K Adjacent (NURBSData* Data Gamma, double* wcpoints1 gam,
71
                                       double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
72
73
                                       QuadData* Data_Gauss,
                                       int i, int l1, int l2, int singtype) {
74
75
76
        double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
77
        double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
        int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
78
        int q1, q2;
79
80
        double t_l1m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1-1); // t_{1_1-1}
        double t_l1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1); // t_{1_1}
81
        double H_l1=t_l1-t_l1m1; // H_{1_1}
82
        double t_l2m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2-1); // t_{1_2-1}
83
        double t_l2=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2); // t_{1_2}
84
85
        double H_12=t_12-t_12m1; // H_{1_1}
        double squareint=0; // integral over square
86
        double intpoint1, intpoint2; // first and second integration point
87
        double Jdet; // Jacobi determinant of Duffy transformation
88
89
90
        if (singtype==0) {
91
            for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
                for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
92
                     // first double integral
93
                     intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1];
94
                     intpoint2 = t_l2ml+H_l2*(1-nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2]);
95
96
                     Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];
97
                     squareint+=weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
                     *SquareIntegrand_K(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
98
                                         Data_Basis,i,intpoint1,intpoint2,Jdet);
99
                     // second double integral
100
101
                     intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1]*(1-nodes_gauss[q2]);
102
                     intpoint2 = t_l2m1 + H_l2 * nodes_gauss[q2];
                     Jdet=1-nodes_gauss[q2];
103
                     squareint+=weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
104
                     *SquareIntegrand_K(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
105
106
                                         Data_Basis, i, intpoint1, intpoint2, Jdet);
107
                 }
108
            }
109
        } else {
            for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
110
111
                for (q2=0;q2<n_qauss;q2=q2+1) {
112
                     // first double integral
113
                     intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*(1-nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2]);
                     intpoint2 = t_l2m1 + H_l2 *nodes_gauss[q2];
114
                     Jdet=nodes_gauss[q2];
115
                     squareint+=weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
116
117
                     *SquareIntegrand K(Data Gamma, wcpoints1 gam, wcpoints2 gam,
                                         Data_Basis, i, intpoint1, intpoint2, Jdet);
118
```

```
119
                    // second double integral
                    intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1];
120
121
                    intpoint2 = t_12m1
                    + H_12 * nodes_gauss[q2] * (1 - nodes_gauss[q1]);
122
123
                    Jdet=1-nodes_gauss[q1];
                    squareint+=weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2]
124
125
                    *SquareIntegrand_K(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
126
                                         Data_Basis, i, intpoint1, intpoint2, Jdet);
127
                }
128
            }
129
        }
130
        return squareint;
131
    }
132
133
   void build_Fvector(double* output,NURBSData* Data_Gamma,double* wcpoints1_gam,
134
                        double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
135
                        QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_Gauss_small) {
136
137
138
        double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
139
        double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
        int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
140
        double* nodes_gauss_small=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss_small);
141
        double* weights_gauss_small=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss_small);
142
143
        int n_gauss_small=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss_small);
144
        double* knots_gam=get_NURBSData_knots(Data_Gamma);
        int N_gam=get_NURBSData_N(Data_Gamma);
145
146
        double* knots=get_NURBSData_knots(Data_Basis);
147
        int N=get_NURBSData_N(Data_Basis);
        int p=get_NURBSData_p(Data_Basis);
148
149
        double tmp[2];
150
        int i,k,l1,l2,q1,q2;
        double b=knots[N-1];
151
        int multb=0; // #b
152
        while (nearly equal(knotseq(Data Basis, N-multb), b)) {multb=multb+1;}
153
154
        double R_til[N-multb+1+p][p+1][n_gauss];
155
        // R_til[i-1+p][l1-i][q1]=\tilde{R}_{i,l1} (nodes_gauss[q1])
        double gamma1[N][n_gauss];
156
        // gamma1[l1-1][q1] is first component of
157
        // gamma(t_{11-1}+H_11*nodes_gauss[q1])
158
159
        double gamma2[N][n_gauss];
        // gamma2[11-1][q1] is second component of
160
        // gamma(t_{l1-1}+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1])
161
        double g_nu1[N][n_gauss];
162
163
        // g_nu1[12-1][q2] is first component of
           {{0,1}, {-1,0}}*qamma'(t_{12-1}+H_12*nodes_qauss[q2])
164
165
        // *\check{g}(t_{12-1}+H_12*nodes_gauss[q2])
166
        double g_nu2[N][n_gauss];
167
        // g_nu1[12-1][q2] is second component of
        // {{0,1}, {-1,0}}*gamma'(t_{12-1}+H_12*nodes_gauss[q2])
168
        // *\check{g}(t_{12-1}+H_12*nodes_gauss[q2])
169
170
        double squareint; // integral over square
        double Jdet; // Jacobi determinant of Duffy transformation
171
```

```
172
        double diff_gam[2];
        double t_l1m1,t_l1,H_l1,t_l2m1,t_l2,H_l2;
173
        // t_{11-1},t_11,H_11,t_{12-1},t_12,H_12
174
        double intpoint1, intpoint2; // first and second integration point
175
176
        int index; // help index
177
        // calculation of R til
178
        // R_i
179
180
        for (i=1-p;i<=(N-multb+1);i=i+1) {</pre>
181
             // elements with nonemty intersection with support of R_i
182
            for (l1=max(i,1);l1<=min(i+p,N);l1=l1+1) {</pre>
183
                 // quadrature points
                 for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
184
185
                     t_l1m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1-1);
186
                     t_l1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1);
                     H_l1=t_l1-t_l1m1;
187
                     intpoint1=t_l1m1+H_l1*nodes_gauss[q1];
188
                     eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,
189
                                             wcpoints2_gam, intpoint1);
190
191
                     R_til[i-1+p][l1-i][q1] = eval_NURBS(Data_Basis, i, intpoint1)
192
                     * norm(tmp);
193
                 }
194
             }
195
        }
196
197
        // calculation of gamma1, gamma2, g_nu1, g_nu2
198
        for (12=1;12<=N;12=12+1) {
199
            for (q2=0;q2<n_qauss;q2=q2+1) {
200
                 t_l2m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2-1);
201
202
                 t_l2=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2);
203
                 H_12=t_12-t_12m1;
204
                 intpoint2=t_l2m1+H_l2*nodes_gauss[q2];
205
                 eval_NURBSCurve(tmp,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
206
                                   intpoint2);
207
                 gamma1[12-1][q2]=tmp[0];
208
                 gamma2[12-1][q2]=tmp[1];
                 g_nu1[12-1][q2] = g(tmp);
209
210
                 g_nu2[12-1][q2] = g(tmp);
                 eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
211
212
                                        intpoint2);
                 g_nu1[12-1][q2] *= tmp[1];
213
214
                 g_nu2[12-1][q2] *= -tmp[0];
215
             }
216
        }
217
218
        // calculation of \langle Kq, hat \{R\}_i \rangle \{L_2(Gamma)\}
219
        // R_i
220
221
        for (i=1-p;i<=(N-multb+1);i=i+1) {</pre>
222
            output[i+p-1]=0;
223
            // elements with nonemty intersection with support of R_i
            for (l1=max(i,1);l1<=min(i+p,N);l1=l1+1) {</pre>
224
```

```
225
                 // all elements
                 for (12=1;12<=N;12=12+1) {
226
227
                     t l1m1=knotseq(Data Basis, l1-1);
                     t_l1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l1);
228
229
                     t_l2m1=knotseq(Data_Basis, l2-1);
                     t 12=knotseq(Data Basis, 12);
230
231
                     H l1=t l1-t l1m1;
                     H_12=t_12-t_12m1;
232
233
                     // quadrature
234
                     if (0<min(H_l1,H_l2)) {
235
                          squareint=0;
                          // elements with no intersection
236
237
                          if ((!nearly_equal(t_l1m1,t_l2m1))
238
                              && (!nearly_equal(t_l1m1,t_l2))
                              && (!nearly_equal(t_l1,t_l2m1))
239
                              && (!nearly_equal(t_l1,t_l2))
240
                              && ((l1!=(N-multb+1)) || (l2!=1))
241
                              && ((12!=(N-multb+1)) || (11!=1))) {
242
                              for (q1=0;q1<n_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre>
243
244
                                   for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) {</pre>
245
                                       tmp[0] = gamma1[11-1][q1]
                                       - gamma1[12-1][q2];
246
                                       tmp[1] = gamma2[11-1][q1]
247
248
                                       - gamma2[12-1][q2];
                                       squareint +=
249
250
                                       (weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2] *
                                       (tmp[0]*g_nu1[12-1][q2]+tmp[1]*g_nu2[12-1][q2])
251
252
                                       /norm(tmp))/norm(tmp) *R_til[i-1+p][l1-i][q1]
253
                                       /(2*M_PI);
                                   }
254
255
                              }
256
                          }
257
                          // elements with intersection
                          else {
258
                               // identical elements
259
                              if (11==12) {
260
261
                                   squareint=SquareIntegral K Identical(
                                   Data_Gamma, wcpoints1_gam, wcpoints2_gam,
262
                                   Data_Basis,Data_Gauss_small,i,l1);
263
264
                              }
                              // elements with point intersection
265
266
                              else{
267
                                   // singularity at s=0,t=1
                                   if (nearly_equal(t_l1m1,t_l2)
268
269
                                       || ((l2==(N-multb+1)) && (l1==1))) {
270
                                       index=1;
271
                                       while(!nearly_equal(t_12,knots_gam[index-1])){
272
                                            index=index+1;
273
                                           if (index==(N_gam+1)) {break; }
274
                                       }
275
                                       // t_12 no knot of Gamma
276
                                       if (index==(N_gam+1)) {
277
                                            squareint=SquareIntegral_K_Adjacent(
                                              105
```

278Data\_Gamma, wcpoints1\_gam, wcpoints2\_gam, Data\_Basis,Data\_Gauss\_small,i,l1,l2,0); 279 280 } // t\_12 knot of Gamma 281282else { squareint=SquareIntegral K Adjacent( 283Data Gamma, wcpoints1 gam, wcpoints2 gam, 284285Data\_Basis,Data\_Gauss,i,l1,l2,0); 286} 287} 288// singularity at s=1,t=0 else{ 289index=1; 290291while(!nearly\_equal(t\_l1, knots\_gam[index-1])) { 292 index=index+1; 293if (index==(N\_gam+1)) {break; } } 294// t l1 no knot of Gamma 295**if** (index==(N\_gam+1)) { 296297squareint=SquareIntegral\_K\_Adjacent( 298Data\_Gamma, wcpoints1\_gam, wcpoints2\_gam, Data\_Basis,Data\_Gauss\_small,i,l1,l2,1); 299 } 300 // t\_ll knot of Gamma 301 302 else { 303 squareint=SquareIntegral\_K\_Adjacent( Data\_Gamma, wcpoints1\_gam, wcpoints2\_gam, 304305 Data\_Basis,Data\_Gauss,i,l1,l2,1); } 306 } 307 308 } 309 } 310 output[i+p-1] += H\_l1 \* H\_l2 \* squareint; } 311 312} 313 } 314 } 315 316// calculation of <Kg+g/2,R\_hat\_i>\_{L\_2(Gamma)} 317318// R i for (i=1-p;i<=(N-multb+1);i=i+1) {</pre> 319// elements with nonemty intersection with support of R\_i 320 for (l1=max(i,1);l1<=min(i+p,N);l1=l1+1) {</pre> 321322t\_l1m1=knotseq(Data\_Basis, l1-1); // t\_{11-1} t\_l1=knotseq(Data\_Basis,l1); // t\_l1 323324 H\_l1=t\_l1-t\_l1m1; 325// quadrature 326 **if** (0<H\_11) { 327 for (q1=0;q1<n\_gauss;q1=q1+1) {</pre> 328 eval\_NURBSCurve(tmp,Data\_Gamma,wcpoints1\_gam,wcpoints2\_gam, 329t\_l1m1+H\_l1\*nodes\_gauss[q1]); 330 output[i+p-1] += H\_l1 \* weights\_gauss[q1]

```
      331
      * g(tmp)/2 * R_til[i-1+p][l1-i][q1];

      332
      }

      333
      }

      334
      }

      335
      }

      336
      }
```

B.6. Faer1Estimator.h and Faer1Estimator.c. The computation of the Faermann estimator  $\eta_{h}^{F_{1}}$  is based on Subsection 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5.

```
#ifndef _Faer1Estimator_h
1
  #define _Faer1Estimator_h
2
3
  #include <math.h>
4
  #include <stdio.h>
5
  #include "Spline.h"
6
7
8
9
   /* parameters:
   Data_Gamma...NURBSData* for geometry Gamma, see Structures.h
10
    wcpoints1_gam...first component of weighted control points
11
    w l^{qamma *C} l^{qamma} for geometry corresponding to knots
12
    wcpoints2_gam...second component of weighted control points
13
    w_l^\qamma *C_l^\qamma for geometry corresponding to knots
14
    Data_Basis...NURBSData* (see Structures.h) for basis of approximation space
15
    weighted_c...vector with weighted coefficients
16
    w_{1-p} *c_{1-p}, \ldots, w_{N-\#b+1} *c_{N-\#b+1}
17
18
    of approximative solution corresponding to Data_Basis
    Data_Gauss...QuadData* for quadrature with weight function 1 on [0,1],
19
    see Structures.h
20
    Data_Gauss_V...QuadData* for quadrature with weight function 1 on [0,1],
21
    see Structures.h, used to evaluate V(\phi_h)
22
    Data\_LogGauss\_V...QuadData* for quadrature with weight function -log(x)
23
24
    on [0,1], see Structures.h, used to evaluate V(\phi_h)
    Data_Gauss_F...QuadData* for quadrature with weight function 1 om [0,1],
25
    see Structures.h, used to evaluate right-hand side F
26
27
28
    comments:
    we assume that:
29
    -)path gamma induced by Data_Gamma is and wcpoints_gam is positively
30
31
    orientated regular closed curve,
    which parametizes boundary Gamma of Lipschitz domain Omega with diam(Omega)<1
32
    -) #t_i^gamma<=p_gam+1</pre>
33
    -)number of different entries in knots of Data_Gamma >= 4
34
    -) {t_i^gamma:i=1...N_gam} <= {t_i:i=1...N}
35
    -) #t_i<=p+1</pre>
36
    */
37
38
39
   double Integrand_V_smooth (NURBSData * Data_Gamma, double * wcpoints1_gam,
40
                              double * wcpoints2 gam, NURBSData * Data Basis,
41
                                           107
```

```
double* wcoeffs, double s, double t,
42
                                                         double denominator);
43
     // returns -1/2pi * log(|\gamma(s)-\gamma(t)| / denominator)
44
     // * \psi_h(\gamma(t))*/\gamma'(t)/ for s!=t in [a,b) and denominator>0,
45
     // where wcoeffs is a vector with weighted coefficients
46
     // w_{1-p}*coeffs_{1-p},...,w_{N-#b+1}*coeffs_{N-#b+1} of
47
     // function \psi_h in the approximation space
48
49
50
     double Integrand_V_log(NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
51
                                                   double* wcpoints2_gam,NURBSData* Data_Basis,
52
                                                   double* wcoeffs, double t);
53
     // returns 1/2pi \psi_h(\gamma(t))*/\gamma'(t) | for t in [a,b),
54
     // where wcoeffs is a vector with weighted coefficients
55
     // w_{1-p}*coeffs_{1-p}, \ldots, w_{N-\#b+1}*coeffs_{N-\#b+1} of function \psi_h in
56
     // the approximation space
57
58
59
    double eval_Vpsi (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
60
61
                                       double* wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData* Data_Basis, double* wcoeffs,
                                       QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss, double s);
62
     // returns V(psi_h)(qamma(s)) for s in [a,b)-\{check\{x\}_0,\ldots,check\{x\}_n\},
63
     // where wcoeffs is a vector with weighted coefficients
64
     // w_{1-p}*coeffs_{1-p}, \dots, w_{N-\#b+1}*coeffs_{N-\#b+1} of function \price in (price) of function (price)
65
66
     // the approximation space
67
68
     double Integrand_K(NURBSData* Data_Gamma,double* wcpoints1_gam,
69
                                           double* wcpoints2_gam, double s, double t);
70
     // returns \partial_\nu\check{G}(s,t)*\check{g}(t)*|\qamma'(t)| for
71
72
     // s!=t in [a,b)
73
74
     double eval_F(NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
75
                                 double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
76
                                 QuadData* Data_Gauss, double s);
77
78
      // returns F(\langle gamma(s) \rangle for s in [a,b)-\{check\{x\}_0,\ldots,check\{x\}_n\}
79
80
     double SquareIntegrand_P (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
81
                                                       double * wcpoints2 gam, NURBSData * Data Basis,
82
                                                       double* weighted_c, QuadData* Data_Gauss_V,
83
                                                       QuadData* Data_LogGauss_V, QuadData* Data_Gauss_F,
84
                                                       double s,double t);
85
     // returns \check{P}(s,t)*|gamma'(s)|*|gamma'(t)|
86
87
88
     void build_Faer1Estimator(double* output, NURBSData* Data_Gamma,
89
90
                                                         double* wcpoints1_gam, double* wcpoints2_gam,
                                                         NURBSData * Data_Basis, double * weighted_c,
91
                                                         QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_Gauss_V,
92
93
                                                         QuadData* Data_LogGauss_V, QuadData* Data_Gauss_F);
94 // turns output[j] into j-th component of first element based Faermann
```
```
95 // estimator for j=1,...,n
96
97 #endif
```

```
#include "Faer1Estimator.h"
1
\mathbf{2}
3
   double Integrand_V_smooth (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
4
                               double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
5
                               double* wcoeffs, double s, double t,
\mathbf{6}
                               double denominator) {
7
8
       double s_hat[2]; // \gamma(s)
9
       double t_hat[2]; // \gamma(t)
10
11
       double tmp[2];
       double psi_til; // \tilde{\psi_h}(t)
12
13
       eval_NURBSCurve(s_hat,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
       eval_NURBSCurve(t_hat,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
14
15
16
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
       // \gamma'(t)
17
18
       psi_til = eval_NURBSComb(Data_Basis,wcoeffs,t) * norm(tmp);
       tmp[0]=s_hat[0]-t_hat[0]; // \langle gamma(s)-\langle gamma(t)\rangle
19
       tmp[1]=s_hat[1]-t_hat[1];
20
       return -0.5/M_PI * log(norm(tmp)/denominator) * psi_til;
21
22
23
24
   double Integrand_V_log(NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
25
                            double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
26
                            double* wcoeffs, double t) {
27
28
29
       double tmp[2];
30
       eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
31
32
       // \langle qamma'(t) \rangle
33
       return 0.5/M_PI * eval_NURBSComb(Data_Basis,wcoeffs,t) * norm(tmp);
34
   }
35
36
   double eval_Vpsi (NURBSData * Data_Gamma, double * wcpoints1_gam,
37
                     double* wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData* Data_Basis, double* wcoeffs,
38
                     QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_LogGauss, double s) {
39
40
       double a=get_NURBSData_a(Data_Gamma);
41
       double* nodes=get_NURBSData_nodes(Data_Basis);
42
43
       int n=get_NURBSData_n(Data_Basis);
       double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
44
       double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
45
       int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
46
47
       double* nodes_loggauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_LogGauss);
                                             109
```

```
double* weights_loggauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_LogGauss);
48
        int n_loggauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_LogGauss);
49
50
        int j,q;
51
        double output=0;
52
        double x_ch_jm1,x_ch_j,h_j; // \check{x}_{j-1}, \check{x}_j,h_j
        double intpoint;
53
54
55
        for (j=1; j<=n; j=j+1) {
            if (j==1) {x_ch_jm1=a;} else {x_ch_jm1=nodes[j-2];}
56
            x_ch_j=nodes[j-1];
57
            h_j=x_ch_j-x_ch_jm1;
58
            if ((x_ch_jm1>s) || (x_ch_j<s)) {
59
                for (q=0;q<n_gauss;q=q+1) {</pre>
60
61
                     intpoint = x_ch_jm1 + h_j * nodes_gauss[q];
62
                     output += h_j * weights_gauss[q]
                     * Integrand_V_smooth(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
63
                                           Data_Basis,wcoeffs,s,intpoint,1);
64
65
                }
            }else{
66
67
                // smooth integrals
                for (q=0;q<n_gauss;q=q+1) {</pre>
68
69
                     // first integral
                     intpoint = s - (s - x_ch_jm1) * nodes_gauss[q];
70
71
                     output += (s - x_ch_jm1) * weights_gauss[q]
72
                     * Integrand_V_smooth(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
73
                                           Data_Basis,wcoeffs,s,intpoint,
74
                                           nodes_gauss[q]);
75
76
                     //second integral
                     intpoint = s + (x_ch_j - s) * nodes_gauss[q];
77
78
                     output += (x_ch_j - s) * weights_gauss[q]
                     * Integrand_V_smooth(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
79
                                           Data_Basis,wcoeffs,s,intpoint,
80
                                           nodes_gauss[q]);
81
82
                }
                // log integrals
83
84
                for (q=0;q<n_loggauss;q=q+1) {</pre>
                     // first integral
85
                     intpoint = s - (s - x_ch_jm1) * nodes_loggauss[q];
86
                     output += (s - x_ch_jm1) * weights_loggauss[q]
87
88
                     * Integrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
                                        Data_Basis,wcoeffs,intpoint);
89
90
                     //second integral
91
                     intpoint = s + (x_ch_j - s) * nodes_loggauss[q];
92
93
                     output += (x_ch_j - s) * weights_loggauss[q]
                     *Integrand_V_log(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
94
95
                                       Data_Basis,wcoeffs,intpoint);
                }
96
            }
97
98
        }
99
        return output;
100 }
```

```
101
102
   double Integrand K(NURBSData* Data Gamma, double* wcpoints1 gam,
103
                         double* wcpoints2_gam, double s, double t) {
104
105
        double g_nu[2]; // \check{g}(t) | \gamma'(t) | \nu(\gamma(t))
106
107
        double s_hat[2]; // \gamma(s)
        double t_hat[2]; // \gamma(t)
108
109
        double tmp[2];
110
        eval_NURBSCurve(s_hat,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
111
        eval_NURBSCurve(t_hat,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
112
        eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
113
        // \langle gamma'(t) \rangle
114
        g_nu[0] = g(t_hat) * tmp[1];
115
        g_nu[1] = -g(t_hat) * tmp[0];
116
        tmp[0] = s_hat[0] - t_hat[0]; // \langle qamma(s) - \langle qamma(t) \rangle
117
        tmp[1] = s_hat[1] - t_hat[1];
118
        return 0.5 * (tmp[0]*g_nu[0] + tmp[1]*g_nu[1]) / (M_PI*norm(tmp))
119
120
        /norm(tmp);
121
    }
122
123
    double eval F (NURBSData * Data Gamma, double * wcpoints1 gam,
124
125
                   double* wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData* Data_Basis,
126
                   QuadData* Data_Gauss, double s) {
127
128
        double a=get_NURBSData_a(Data_Gamma);
        double* nodes=get_NURBSData_nodes(Data_Basis);
129
130
        int n=get_NURBSData_n(Data_Basis);
131
        double* nodes_gauss=get_QuadData_nodes(Data_Gauss);
132
        double* weights_gauss=get_QuadData_weights(Data_Gauss);
133
        int n_gauss=get_QuadData_n(Data_Gauss);
        int j,q;
134
135
        double output=0;
136
        double x_ch_jm1, x_ch_j, h_j;
137
        // \left( \frac{x}{j-1} \right), \left( \frac{x}{j, h_j} \right)
        double intpoint; // integration point
138
        double s_hat[2]; // \gamma(s)
139
        eval_NURBSCurve(s_hat,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
140
141
142
        // calculation of Kg(\gamma(s))
143
144
        for (j=1; j<=n; j=j+1) {
            if (j==1) {x_ch_jm1=a;} else {x_ch_jm1=nodes[j-2];}
145
146
            x_ch_j=nodes[j-1];
147
            h_j=x_ch_j-x_ch_jm1;
148
            if ((x_ch_jm1>s) || (x_ch_j<s)) {
149
                 for (q=0;q<n_gauss;q=q+1) {</pre>
150
                      intpoint = x_ch_jm1 + h_j * nodes_gauss[q];
151
152
                      output += h_j * weights_gauss[q] * Integrand_K(
                     Data_Gamma, wcpoints1_gam, wcpoints2_gam, s, intpoint);
153
```

```
154
                }
            }else{
155
                for (q=0;q<n_gauss;q=q+1) {</pre>
156
                     // first integral
157
158
                     intpoint = s - (s - x_ch_jm1) * nodes_gauss[q];
                     output += (s - x_ch_jm1) * weights_gauss[q] * Integrand_K(
159
160
                     Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s,intpoint);
161
                     //second integral
162
                     intpoint = s + (x_ch_j - s) * nodes_gauss[q];
163
                     output += (x_ch_j - s) * weights_gauss[q] * Integrand_K(
                     Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s,intpoint);
164
165
                }
            }
166
167
168
        return output + g(s_hat) *0.5;
169
170
171
   double SquareIntegrand_P (NURBSData* Data_Gamma, double* wcpoints1_gam,
172
173
                              double * wcpoints2_gam, NURBSData * Data_Basis,
174
                              double* weighted_c,QuadData* Data_Gauss_V,
                              QuadData* Data_LogGauss_V, QuadData* Data_Gauss_F,
175
                              double s,double t) {
176
177
178
        double tmp1[2];
179
        double tmp2[2];
        double diff_gam[2]; // gamma(s)-gamma(t)
180
181
        double diff_Res; // \check{r}_h(s)-\check{r}_h(t)
182
        eval_NURBSCurve(tmp1,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
183
184
        // gamma(s)
        eval_NURBSCurve(tmp2,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
185
        // gamma(t)
186
        diff_gam[0] = tmp1[0] - tmp2[0];
187
        diff_gam[1] = tmp1[1] - tmp2[1];
188
189
        diff_Res = eval_Vpsi(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,Data_Basis,
190
                              weighted_c,Data_Gauss_V,Data_LogGauss_V,s)
       -eval_F(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,Data_Basis,Data_Gauss_F,s)
191
       -eval_Vpsi(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,Data_Basis,weighted_c,
192
193
                    Data_Gauss_V, Data_LogGauss_V, t)
        +eval_F(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,Data_Basis,Data_Gauss_F,t);
194
        eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp1,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,s);
195
196
        // gamma'(s)
        eval_NURBSCurveDeriv(tmp2,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,t);
197
198
        // gamma'(t)
        return pow(diff_Res/norm(diff_gam),2) * norm(tmp1)*norm(tmp2);
199
200
   }
201
202
   void build_Faer1Estimator(double* output, NURBSData* Data_Gamma,
203
                               double* wcpoints1_gam, double* wcpoints2_gam,
204
205
                               NURBSData * Data Basis, double * weighted c,
                               QuadData* Data_Gauss, QuadData* Data_Gauss_V,
206
```

07	$\mathbf{QuadData}$ * Data_LogGauss_V, $\mathbf{QuadData}$ * Data_Gauss_F){	
08		
09	<pre>double a=get_NURBSData_a(Data_Gamma);</pre>	
10	<b>double</b> * nodes_gam=get_NURBSData_nodes(Data_Gamma);	
11	int n gam=get NURBSData n(Data Gamma);	
12	<b>double</b> * nodes=get NURBSData nodes(Data Basis);	
13	int n=get NURBSData n(Data Basis);	
14	<b>double</b> * nodes gauss=get OuadData nodes(Data Gauss);	
15	<b>double</b> * weights gauss=get QuadData weights(Data Gauss);	
16	int n gauss=get QuadData n(Data Gauss);	
17	int j,g1,g2;	
18	double x ch im1, x ch i, x ch ip1;	
9	// check{x} {i-1}, check{x} {i}, check{x} {i+1} corresponding to nodes	
)	// exceptionally we set check{x} { $n+1$ }=check{x} 1	
	<b>double</b> h i,h ip1: $//h$ i, h {i+1}	
2	double squareint1:	
	$// 0.5 * \text{int} check{x} {i-1}check{x} i.check{x} {i-1}check{x} i$	
	double squareint2:	
1	$// \check{x} {i-1}\check{x} {i}.\check{x} {i}.\check{x} {i+1}$	
	double squareint3;	
	$// 0.5 * \text{int/check}{x} \text{i/check}{x} \text{i+1}.\text{check}{x} \text{i/check}{x} \text{i+1}$	
	<b>double</b> intpoint1.intpoint2.Jdet:	
	<b>double</b> r patch[n]: $//$  r h  {H^{1/2}}(omega h(x j))}^2	
	int index. // help index	
	Index, // help index	
	$//$ calculation of $ r  h  {H^{1/2}}(omega h(x i)) {^2}$	
	// elements	
	for $(j=1,j<=n,j=j+1)$ {	
	if (i==1) (x ch im1=a·) <b>also</b> (x ch im1=nodes[i=2]·)	
	<pre>x ch j=nodes[j=1].</pre>	
	if (j==n) {v ch inl=nodes[0]·} <b>elee</b> {v ch inl=nodes[j]·}·	
	if (j==n){h inl=nodes[0]-a·} <b>else</b> {h inl=v ch inl_v ch i·}	
	<b>TT</b> (]n) (n_)pr-nodes[0]-a, ( <b>ETSE</b> (n_)pr-x_Cn_)pr-x_Cn_); }	
	// definition of equarciants	
	// definition of squareflics	
	$\mathbf{L}  (\mathbf{J}^{=\pm}) $	
	squareinti="";	
	<pre>}else{</pre>	
	squareint1=squareint3;	
	}	
	<pre>squareint2=0; squareint3=0;</pre>	
	// quadrature	
	<pre>for (ql=0;ql<n_gauss;ql=ql+1) pre="" {<=""></n_gauss;ql=ql+1)></pre>	
	<pre>for (q2=0;q2<n_gauss;q2=q2+1) pre="" {<=""></n_gauss;q2=q2+1)></pre>	
	<b>if</b> (j==1) {	
	// squareint1	
	<pre>intpoint1=x_ch_jm1+h_j*nodes_gauss[q1];</pre>	
	intpoint2 = x_ch_jm1	
	+ h_j * nodes_gauss[q1] * (1 - nodes_gauss[q2]);	
	<pre>Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];</pre>	

```
260
                         squareint1+=
261
                         h_j*h_j*weights_gauss[q1] *weights_gauss[q2] *Jdet
262
                         *SquareIntegrand_P(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
                                              Data_Basis, weighted_c, Data_Gauss_V,
263
264
                                              Data_LogGauss_V, Data_Gauss_F,
                                              intpoint1, intpoint2);
265
266
                     }
267
                     // squareint2: first double integral
                     intpoint1=(x_ch_jp1-h_jp1)+h_jp1*nodes_gauss[q1];
268
269
                     intpoint2 = x_ch_jm1
270
                     +h_j*(1-nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2]);
271
                     Jdet=nodes_gauss[q1];
272
                     squareint2+=
273
                     h_j*h_jp1*weights_gauss[q1]*weights_gauss[q2]*Jdet
                     *SquareIntegrand_P(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
274
                                         Data_Basis,weighted_c,Data_Gauss_V,
275
                                         Data_LogGauss_V, Data_Gauss_F,
276
277
                                         intpoint1, intpoint2);
                     // squareint2: second double integral
278
279
                     intpoint1=+(x_ch_jp1-h_jp1)
                     +h_jp1*nodes_gauss[q1]*nodes_gauss[q2];
280
                     intpoint2 = x_ch_jm1+h_j*(1-nodes_gauss[q2]);
281
                     Jdet=nodes_gauss[q2];
282
                     squareint2+=
283
284
                     h_j*h_jp1*weights_gauss[q1]*weights_gauss[q2]*Jdet
285
                     *SquareIntegrand_P(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
286
                                         Data_Basis,weighted_c,Data_Gauss_V,
                                         Data_LogGauss_V, Data_Gauss_F,
287
288
                                         intpoint1, intpoint2);
289
290
                     // squareint3
                     intpoint1=(x_ch_jp1-h_jp1)+h_jp1*nodes_gauss[q1];
291
                     intpoint2 = (x_ch_jp1-h_jp1)
292
                     +h_jp1 * nodes_gauss[q1] * (1 - nodes_gauss[q2]);
293
                     Jdet=nodes gauss[g1];
294
295
                     squareint3+=
296
                     h_jp1*h_jp1*weights_gauss[q1]*weights_gauss[q2]*Jdet
                     *SquareIntegrand_P(Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,
297
298
                                         Data_Basis,weighted_c,Data_Gauss_V,
                                         Data_LogGauss_V, Data_Gauss_F,
299
300
                                         intpoint1, intpoint2);
301
                 }
302
            }
            r_patch[j-1] = 2*(squareint1+squareint2+squareint3);
303
        }
304
305
306
        // element based estimator
307
        for (j=1; j<=n; j=j+1) {
            if (j==1) {
308
                 output[j-1]=sqrt(r_patch[n-1]+r_patch[j-1]);
309
            }else{
310
311
                 output[j-1]=sqrt(r_patch[j-2]+r_patch[j-1]);
312
            }
```

313 314 } }

B.7. MEX files. All the codes of the previous subsections can be used in MATLAB with the help of MEX. Here one example.

```
1
  #include <mex.h>
\mathbf{2}
  #include "Spline.c"
  #include "Vmatrix.c"
3
4
5
   void mexFunction(int nlhs, mxArray* plhs[], int nrhs, const mxArray* prhs[]) {
6
\overline{7}
       double a=*mxGetPr(prhs[0]);
       double* knots_gam=mxGetPr(prhs[1]);
8
       double * weights_gam=mxGetPr(prhs[2]);
9
       int p_gam=(int) *mxGetPr(prhs[3]);
10
11
       double* nodes_gam=mxGetPr(prhs[4]);
       int is_rational_gam=(int) *mxGetPr(prhs[5]);
12
13
       double* cpoints_gam=mxGetPr(prhs[6]);
14
       double* knots=mxGetPr(prhs[7]);
       double* weights=mxGetPr(prhs[8]);
15
16
       int p=(int) *mxGetPr(prhs[9]);
       double* nodes=mxGetPr(prhs[10]);
17
       int is_rational=(int) *mxGetPr(prhs[11]);
18
       double* nodes_gauss=mxGetPr(prhs[12]);
19
20
       double* weights_gauss=mxGetPr(prhs[13]);
       double * nodes_loggauss=mxGetPr(prhs[14]);
21
22
       double* weights_loggauss=mxGetPr(prhs[15]);
       double* output;
23
24
       int 1;
25
       int N_gam=mxGetM(prhs[1]);
26
27
       int n_gam=mxGetM(prhs[4]);
28
       int N=mxGetM(prhs[7]);
29
       int n=mxGetM(prhs[10]);
30
       int n_gauss=mxGetM(prhs[12]);
31
       int n_loggauss=mxGetM(prhs[14]);
       double b;
32
33
       int multb=0; // #b
       NURBSData* Data_Basis;
34
35
       NURBSData * Data_Gamma;
       QuadData* Data_Gauss;
36
37
       QuadData * Data_LogGauss;
       double wcpoints1_gam[N_gam];
38
       double wcpoints2_gam[N_gam];
39
40
41
       b=knots[N-1];
       while (nearly_equal(knots[N-multb-1],b)) {
42
           multb=multb+1;
43
           if (multb==N) {break; }
44
45
       }
```

```
plhs[0]=mxCreateDoubleMatrix(N-multb+1+p,N-multb+1+p,mxREAL);
46
       output=mxGetPr(plhs[0]);
47
48
       if (is_rational_gam==0) {
49
50
           for (l=0;l<N_gam;l=l+1) {</pre>
               wcpoints1_gam[1]=cpoints_gam[0+2*1];
51
                wcpoints2_gam[1]=cpoints_gam[1+2*1];
52
53
       }else{
54
           for (l=0;l<N_gam;l=l+1) {</pre>
55
               wcpoints1_gam[l]=weights_gam[l]*cpoints_gam[0+2*1];
56
               wcpoints2_gam[1]=weights_gam[1]*cpoints_gam[1+2*1];
57
           }
58
59
       }
60
       Data_Basis=new_NURBSData(a,knots,N,weights,p,nodes,n,is_rational);
61
       Data_Gamma=new_NURBSData(a,knots_gam,N_gam,weights_gam,p_gam,nodes_gam,
62
                                  n_gam, is_rational_gam);
63
       Data_Gauss=new_QuadData(nodes_gauss,weights_gauss,n_gauss);
64
65
       Data_LogGauss=new_QuadData(nodes_loggauss, weights_loggauss, n_loggauss);
66
       build_Vmatrix(output,Data_Gamma,wcpoints1_gam,wcpoints2_gam,Data_Basis,
67
                      Data_Gauss, Data_LogGauss);
68
69
70
       Data_Basis=del_NURBSData(Data_Basis);
71
       Data_Gamma=del_NURBSData(Data_Gamma);
       Data_Gauss=del_QuadData(Data_Gauss);
72
73
       Data_LogGauss=del_QuadData(Data_LogGauss);
74
```

## B.8. markElements.m. This file implements Dörfler marking.

```
1 function marked = mark_Elements(indicators, theta)
\mathbf{2}
  % input:
       indicators...column vector of error indicators
   00
3
       theta...constant for Doerfler marking
4
   8
   % output:
5
6
   8
       marked...column vector of indices of marked elements
7
8
   if theta<1</pre>
9
       [indicators_tmp,tmp] = sort(indicators, 'descend');
10
       sum_indicatorssq = cumsum(indicators_tmp.^2);
11
       index = find(sum indicatorssq >= (sum indicatorssq(end) *theta),1);
12
       marked = sort(tmp(1:index));
13
  else
14
       marked=(1:length(indicators))';
15
16
  end
```

B.8.1. refine\_BoundaryMesh.m. Here, refinement of the marked elements is implemented.

```
function [knots_fine,weights_fine]=refine_BoundaryMesh(a,knots,weights,...
1
\mathbf{2}
       p,marked,kappa_max)
3
   % input:
       a...left interval boundary of [a,b]
  00
4
  00
       knots...column vector of knots in (a,b],
5
           where kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}})<=kappa_max</pre>
6
   00
   00
       weights...column vector of positive weights corresponding to knots
7
8
   %
       marked...column vector with indices of marked elements
   2
       kappa max...maximal allowed mesh constant
9
   % output:
10
  00
      knots_fine...column vector of refined knots (via knot insertion),
11
           where kappa(\check{\mathcal{T}}_fine) <= kappa_max, at least all
12
  00
  00
           marked elements are
13
14
   00
           refined, no element is refined more than one time
       weights_fine...column vector of new weights corresponding to knots_fine
  00
15
  % comments:
16
   % we assume that
17
      -) number of knots N<=p+1
18
   00
   00
      -) number of nodes n \ge 4
19
20
  b=knots (end);
21
22
23 % start data
24 weights_current=weights;
  knots_current=knots;
25
26 N current=length(knots current);
27 nodes current=unique(knots current);
28 n_current=length(nodes_current);
  nodes0_current=[a;nodes_current];
29
30 marked_current=marked; % marked elements of current mesh
31
   % loop, in each step one refinement
32
  while ~isempty(marked_current)
33
34
       refine=marked_current(1); % element to be refined
35
       l=find(knots_current==nodes_current(refine),1);
       % marked element = [t_{l-1},t_1]
36
37
       t_lm1=knotseq(a, knots_current, l-1);
       t_l=knotseq(a, knots_current, l);
38
39
40
       % length of first marked element and its neighbours
41
       if (refine \sim = 1)
42
           h_ch_left=nodes0_current(refine)-nodes0_current(refine-1);
       else
43
           h ch left=b-nodes0 current(n current);
44
45
       end
46
       h_ch=nodes0_current(refine+1)-nodes0_current(refine);
       if (refine ~ = n_current)
47
           h_ch_right=nodes0_current(refine+2)-nodes0_current(refine+1);
48
49
       else
           h_ch_right=nodes0_current(2)-a;
50
51
       end
52
```

```
% mark neighbours of first marked element if necessary
53
        % to guarantee kappa<=kappa_max (+1e-15)
54
        if max((h_ch/2)/h_ch_left,h_ch_left/(h_ch/2))>(kappa_max+1e-15)
55
            marked_current=unique([refine-1+n_current*(refine==1);...
56
57
                marked current]);
        end
58
        if max((h_ch/2)/h_ch_right,h_ch_right/(h_ch/2))>(kappa_max+1e-15)
59
60
            marked_current=unique([marked_current;...
                 refine+1-n_current*(refine==n_current)]);
61
62
        end
63
        % refine element
64
        t_pr=(t_lm1+t_l)/2; % t'
65
66
        weights_tmp=zeros(N_current+1,1); % w'''
        weights_tmp(1:(l-p))=weights_current(1:(l-p));
67
        for i=max(1,(l+1-p)):1
68
            t_im1=knotseq(a,knots_current,i-1);
69
            t_imlpp=knotseq(a,knots_current,i-1+p);
70
71
            if t_im1<t_im1pp</pre>
72
                beta=(t_pr-t_im1)/(t_im1pp-t_im1);
            else
73
74
                beta=0;
75
            end
76
            weights_tmp(i) = (1-beta) *weights_current(i-1+N_current*(i==1))...
77
                +beta*weights_current(i);
78
        end
        weights_tmp((l+1):(N_current+1))=weights_current(l:N_current);
79
80
        weights_current(1:min(N_current+1, l+N_current+1-p))=...
            weights_tmp(1:min(N_current+1, l+N_current+1-p));
81
        for i=(l+N_current+2-p):(N_current+1)
82
83
            t_im2=knotseq(a,knots_current,i-2);
            t_im2pp=knotseq(a,knots_current,i-2+p);
84
85
            if t_im2<t_im2pp</pre>
                beta=(t_pr+b-a-t_im2)/(t_im2pp-t_im2);
86
            else
87
                beta=0;
88
89
            end
            weights_current(i) = (1-beta) *weights_tmp(i-1)...
90
91
                 +beta * weights_tmp(i);
        end
92
93
        % update data
94
95
        knots_current=[knots_current(1:(1-1));t_pr;knots_current(1:end)];
96
        N_current=N_current+1;
97
        nodes_current=unique(knots_current);
98
        n_current=length(nodes_current);
99
        nodes0_current=[a;nodes_current];
100
        marked_current=[marked_current(marked_current<refine);...</pre>
            1+marked_current(marked_current>refine)];
101
  end
102
   knots_fine=knots_current;
103
104
   weights_fine=weights_current;
```

```
105 end
```

```
106
107 function output=knotseq(a, knots, i)
108 % returns t_i
109 b=knots(end);
100 N=length(knots);
111 if (mod(i,N) ~= 0)
112 output=knots(mod(i,N))+(b-a)*floor(i/N);
113 else
114 output=a+(b-a)*floor(i/N);
115 end
116 end
```

## References

- [BS08] Susanne C. Brenner and L.Ridgway Scott. The mathematical theory of finite element methods. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Springer, 3rd ed. edition, 2008.
- [CF01] C. Carstensen and B. Faermann. Mathematical foundation of a posteriori error estimates and adaptive mesh-refining algorithms for boundary integral equations of the first kind. Eng. Anal. Bound. Elem., 25(7):497–509, 2001.
- [CHB09] J Austin Cottrell, Thomas JR Hughes, and Yuri Bazilevs. *Isogeometric analysis: toward integration of CAD and FEA*. John Wiley & amp; Sons, 2009.
- [dB86] Carl de Boor. *B* (asic)-spline basics. Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1986.
- [Fae00] Birgit Faermann. Localization of the Aronszajn-Slobodeckij norm and application to adaptive boundary element methods. I: The two-dimensional case. IMA J. Numer. Anal., 20(2):203–234, 2000.
- [Geo67] G. Georganopoulos. Sur l'approximation des fonctions continues par des fonctions lipschitziennes. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A, 264:319–321, 1967.
- [Kal11] Michael Kaltenbäck. Analysis 3. Lecture Notes of TU Vienna, August 2011.
- [Kal13] Michael Kaltenbäck. Analysis 3. Lecture Notes of TU Vienna, September 2013.
- [McL00] William Charles Hector McLean. Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations. Cambridge university press, 2000.
- [NPV11] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci. Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional sobolev spaces. 04 2011.
- [Pra06] Dirk Praetorius. Numerische Mathematik. Lecture Notes of TU Vienna, 2006.
- [Rud91] Walter Rudin. Functional analysis. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second edition, 1991.
- [SS11] Stefan A. Sauter and Christoph Schwab. Boundary element methods. Berlin: Springer, 2011.
- [Ste08] Olaf Steinbach. Numerical approximation methods for elliptic boundary value problems. *Finite and Boundary Elements*, 2008.